

Multiple Sclerosis & Employment in Europe

Literature Summary



Stephen Bevan and Karen Steadman

December 2015



About us

Through its rigorous research programmes targeting organisations, cities, regions and economies, now and for future trends; The Work Foundation is a leading provider of analysis, evaluation, policy advice and know-how in the UK and beyond.

The Work Foundation addresses the fundamental question of what Good Work means: this is a complex and evolving concept. Good Work for all by necessity encapsulates the importance of productivity and skills needs, the consequences of technological innovation, and of good working practices. The impact of local economic development, of potential disrupters to work from widereconomic governmental and societal pressures, as well as the business-needs of different types of organisations can all influence our understanding of what makes work good. Central to the concept of Good Work is how these and other factors impact on the well-being of the individual whether in employment or seeking to enter the workforce.

For further details, please visit <u>www.theworkfoundation.com</u>.

Director of Research: Prof Stephen Bevan Executive Director, Fit for Work: Antonella Cardone Authors: Stephen Bevan, Karen Steadman Design and Layout: Stephanie Scott-Davies, David Shoesmith

Acknowledgements

This report has been prepared, written and edited by the Work Foundation and the European Multiple Sclerosis Platform (EMSP) and financially supported by Novartis International AG who have had no editorial input.

The authors would also like to thank Emma Rogan and Elisabeth Kasilingam at European Multiple Sclerosis Platform and who provided input and supported the development of this paper. We would also like to thank colleagues at The Work Foundation for their support.

Table of Contents

What is MS?	5
Prevalence and incidence of MS	6
MS symptoms and their impact	7
Caregivers	9
MS and working life years	9
Costs of MS	12
Conclusion and Evidence Gaps	15
References	17



Literature Summary

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic disease that impairs functioning of the central nervous system. MS is estimated to affect some 2.3 million across the world (MSIF 2013). In Europe, it is estimated that between 500,000 and 700,000 people are living with MS (Kobelt and Kasteng, 2009; International MS Society (MSIF) 2013).

What is MS?

MS is an inflammatory disease, which causes damage to (demyelination and scarring) nerve axons in the brain and spinal cord. A variety of neurological symptoms associated with MS result from a weakening ability of the cells to conduct nerve signals. MS can cause disability progressively over time, including difficulty with mobility and upper limb function, bladder, bowel, and sexual dysfunction, speech and swallowing, vision and cognition. Treatment reduces the symptoms, but currently there is no cure to stop the disease.

Even with advances in medicine, it remains difficult to diagnose MS with certainty (Fox, Bensa, Bray and Zajicek, 2004). Several MS subtypes are defined by the progression of the disease (WHO, 2007). The patterns of progression are (see figure 1):

• The majority of patients (80 per cent) are diagnosed with **relapsing-remitting** MS, which is characterized by periodic disease exacerbations. Exacerbations arise when a sudden onset or increase in symptoms occurs. The symptoms recede fully or partially over the course of a few weeks or months. As time progresses, the relapses may become more severe and recovery may be less complete.

• As the disease progresses, patients may receive another diagnosis of **secondary progressive** MS, which is similar to primary progressive course (see below). About 50 per cent of people with relapsing-remitting MS develop secondary progressive MS. Symptoms continue to worsen gradually without distinct remission periods.

• Patients diagnosed with the **primary progressive** course of MS experience a steady worsening of symptoms without preceding exacerbations. About 10 per cent to 15 per cent of patients experience gradual progress of disability from the onset of the disease. This is the second most common course of MS.

• Some patients who have progressive MS from the start also experience relapses on top of the clear progression. This is sometimes described as **progressive relapsing MS**¹

• **Benign MS** is diagnosed retrospectively when accumulated disability from relapsing-remitting MS is mild or non-existent after a long period.

¹ <u>https://www.mssociety.org.uk/what-is-ms/types-of-ms/primary-progressive-ppms</u>

Figure 1: Progression of MS by type



(a) Relapsing-remitting



(c) Progressive relapsing



(b) Secondary progressive



(d) Primary progressive

Source: Lublin and Reingold (1996)

Prevalence and incidence of MS

Often diagnosed between the ages of 20 and 40 (Rumrill, 2009), the prevalence rate of MS in Europe is highest for the 35 to 64 years age group (Pugliatti, Rosati, Carton, Riise, Drulovic, Vecsei et al., 2006). This suggests, MS often impacts individuals during their most economically productive middle years (Richards, Sampon, Beard and Tappenden, 2002).

The prevalence of MS is greater in women – as is the case with other autoimmune conditions (Koutsouraki et al, 2010; Harbo et al, 2013). Across all age-groups, the incidence of relapseremitting MS per 100,000 person years is 6.6 for women and 2.6 for men, and the incidence of primary progressive MS per 100,000 person years is 0.5 for women and 0.5 for men (Alonso, Jick, Olek and Hernán, 2007). This indicates the gender gap is less clear for primary progressive MS, but many more women than men experience relapsing-remitting MS. This trend might be increasing (Koutsouraki et al, 2010) - with the MS prevalence ratio of women to men increasing markedly during the last decades (Harbo et al, 2014).

Though MS is found across the world, reported prevalence rates are notably higher in European and North American countries (MSIF 2013). To some extent this may be associated with varying levels of diseases ascertainment across countries (Pugliatti et al., 2006). The highest prevalence in Europe is 189 per 100,000 in Sweden, and the lowest is 22 per 100,000 in Albania (MSIF 2013)

Figure 2: Prevalence of MS by country 2013



Source: Multiple Sclerosis International Federation (2014) Atlas of MS 2013

Though the cause of MS is not known, it is believed that MS risk is determined by genetic factors (such as frequencies of Human Leukocyte Antigen alleles) interacting in a complex manner with environmental risk factors, such as UV exposure and smoking (Handel, Handunnetthi, Giovannoni, Ebers and Ramagopalan, 2010; Ebers, 2008).

MS symptoms and their impact

Sampson, Beard and Tappenden, 2002). It can be unpredictable in its course, and the fluctuating nature of MS can be particularly difficult. We have been unable to ascertain which behavioural and psychological factors moderate the relationship between functional limitation, disability and quality of life (Stuifbergen, Brown and Phillips, 2009).

The uncertainty associated with a diagnosis of MS, has been suggested to encompass three levels of uncertainty (Bevan, S., Zheltoukova, K., McGee, R. & Blazey, R. 2011):

- 1. Day to day variability in symptoms;
- 2. Month to years variability in terms of relapses and residual disability;
- 3. Long-term variability in how disability will accumulate.

Though the presentation can vary considerably in individuals, the following symptoms are seen as relatively commonly experienced (MS Society, 2010; Richards, Sampson, Beard and Tappenden, 2002):

- fatigue,
- pain,
- visual impairment,
- numbness,
- bladder or bowel problems (frequency and incontinence);
- sensory symptoms (disturbances in touch, for example),
- weakness,
- loss of balance,
- loss of mobility,
- spasticity,
- depression, and
- cognitive problems.

Individuals with MS consistently report **lower health-related quality of life** compared with other conditions (Jones, Pohar, Warren, Turpin and Warren, 2008; Warren, Turpin, Pohar, Jones and Warren, 2009). A more aggressive disease course is associated with lower levels of health-related quality of life, marked by patient rated emotional adjustment to illness and patient rated handicap (Benito-Léon, Morales, Rivera-Navarro and Mitchell, 2003). Comorbidity – co-occurring long-term health conditions - can further worsen health-related quality of life, for example, urinary incontinence and depression, as can other health-related factors such as cognitive impairment and fatigue (Warren et al., 2009; Benito-Léon, Morales, Rivera-Navarro and Mitchell, 2003).

Approximately 80 per cent of MS patients experience restrictions in daily activities, primarily due to **fatigue** (Wynia, Middel, van Dijk, de Keyser and Reijneveld, 2008). Fatigue may make balancing work and life responsibilities difficult. For example, after working all day, some may have little energy for family and/or social life, and this may factor into decisions to leave work earlier (Malcomson, Lowe-Strong and Dunwoody, 2008).

Many people with MS are also living with **depression** (Marrie, Horwitz, Cutter, Tyry, Campagnolo and Vollmer, 2009). The MS Trust (2010) suggests that about 50 per cent of people with MS will experience an episode of depression. The prevalence of depressive disorders among MS patients is two to three times that of the general population (Kraft, Johnson, Yorkston, Amtmann, Bamer, Bombardier et al. 2008). As reflects prevalence in the general population, women with MS have a higher rate of major depression compared to men with MS (Patten, Metz and Reimer, 2000). Though causes of depression are complex, it has been suggested that the unpredictable disease course and uncertainty associated with MS is a contributing factor (MS Trust, 2010). It is likely that many other MS patients have depressive symptoms that are unrecognized and remain untreated (McGuigan and Hutchinson, 2006; Sollom and Kneebone, 2007). This is of particular concern because depression affects psychosocial functioning and adherence to treatment (Zwibel, 2009).

High levels of **stress and anxiety** are also associated with having MS – with the additional challenges of managing the disease and dealing with unpredictable exacerbations of symptoms likely influences (Malcomson, Lowe-Strong and Dunwoody, 2008).For individuals with MS, anxiety (Chwastiak and Ehde, 2007) and stress are associated with reduced quality of life, treatment adherence and functional status (Mohr and Cox, 2000; Chwastiak and Ehde, 2007). Anecdotally, many MS patients identify stress as a potential contributing factor to relapse (Bevan et al., 2010), though there is insufficient evidence to suggest high stress as a cause of relapse.

It is estimated that between 43 per cent and 70 per cent of individuals with MS have **cognitive impairment** (Chiaravalloti and DeLuca, 2008). Cognitive impairment may play an important role in

functional capability, which includes ability to work and participate in social activities (Chiaravalloti and DeLuca, 2008). Aspects of cognitive functioning that might be affected include the following (Chiaravalloti and DeLuca, 2008; Prakash, Snook, Lewis, Motl and Kramer, 2008):

- attention,
- executive functioning,
- information processing efficiency,
- memory and learning,
- motor functioning,
- mood and psychological status,
- processing speed.

Prakash et al. (2008) identified **motor functioning and mood status** as the cognitive impairments most frequently reported for individuals with relapsing-remitting MS. Some of these impairments may occur early on in the disease stage or may progress with the duration of the diagnosis; additionally fatigue and depression may influence cognitive function (Chiaravalloti and DeLuca, 2008). The role of cognitive functioning is highlighted by the findings of Honarmand, Akbar, Kou and Feinstein (2011) who established the scores on the Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite – a composite measure of both physical and cognitive functioning – to be the most robust predictor of employment status, exceeding the predictive value of scores on a measure of general disability (the Expanded Disability Status Scale), and individual and global measures of cognitive decline.

Caregivers

MS does not only impact the person diagnosed with it, but also family and friends who may provide informal care. Caregivers play an important role in supporting individuals with MS. The fine balance between providing care and assistance, but also enabling the individuals with MS to continue living and functioning to the best of their ability can be hard to find. MS may also strain relationships, because family members may feel a need to take on additional responsibilities (Halper, 2007). Caregiving partners may feel uncertainty about the future, financial difficulties, social disruption and isolation (Halper, 2007).

MS and working life years

MS is seen as having an adverse effect on employment outcomes. Along with the symptoms of the condition (see box A), this effect may be worsened by other disease-factors, in particular the unpredictable nature of the onset, severity, and length of relapses. The majority of people with MS self-report that it affects their ability to remain in and progress in work (Green, Todd and Pevalin, 2007). This is reflected in income, with people with MS identified as much more likely to have a 'below average' household income (Green, Todd and Pevalin, 2007).

Though unemployment rates for people with MS vary across studies and across countries, it is clear from the data that they are much higher than the un employment rates of the usual population (Julian et al;, 2008). A review of MS across nine countries in Europe found employment rates highest in Italy (42%) and the lower in Spain (26%) (Kobelt, Berg, Lindgren, Fredrikson and Jonsson, 2006a). A similar pattern (though much higher rates) were found in later study looking at MS patients across five European countries (the TRIBUNE study), where the highest rates of employment/self-employment were in Italy (78%), and the lowest in Spain (51%) (Karampampa et al 2012).

Effect of MS symptoms on work

- Fatigue, anxiety and depression affecting work patterns and ability to concentrate;
- Pain and heat intolerance affecting interactions and comfort with the work environment;
- Limitations in mobility place restrictions on physical access to work environment;
- Reduced dexterity complicates handwriting, working on a keyboard, performing manual tasks;
- Slurred speech (dysarthria) impacts communication, use of phone and presentation skills;
- Urinary and faecal frequency and urgency with fear of incontinence presents emotional challenges;
- Visual impairment affects reading;
- Cognitive impairment causing memory and concentration difficulties.

Source: British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine (BSRM), 2010; Julian, Vella, Vollmer, Hadjinichael and Mohr, 2008; Simmons, Tribe and McDonald, 2010

People with MS are more likely to leave employment earlier than the usual population, and unemployment rates increase with longer duration of MS (O'Connor, Cano, Torrenta, Thompson and Playford, 2005). Around 15 years after the onset of MS, between 60 per cent and 80 per cent of patients would have lost their jobs (Zwibel, 2009). Kobelt aet al (2006a) found an estimated thirty-five per cent of MS patients in Europe retired early because of the condition. The mean retirement age found among MS patients across nine European countries ranged from 45.1 to 53.4 years – the lowest average age of early retirement was found in Belgium and the highest in Austria (Kobelt, et al., 2006a). The variation in early retirement rates across countries could be a result of differences in general workforce participation and welfare systems (Kobelt et al., 2006a). The data suggests a loss on average of over 10working years. Later data from the TRIBUNE study found that 23 per cent had retired early due to their MS, though this varied hugely by country – with 27 per cent of the Spanish cohort retiring early against just 4 per cent in Italy (Karampampa et al, 2011).

Perhaps the most obvious predictor of employment is condition severity. Naci, Fleurence, Birt and Duhig (2010) highlight a 1998 study² which found less than four in ten (37%) of individuals with mild MS are employed, with the employment rate dropping to just 4 per cent for those with severe MS. As outlined in Bevan et al (2010), Karampampa et al (2011) identified that older age and higher levels of disability (an Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) score of 5 or more) are associated with early retirement among people with MS. They further identified that those who have retired early due to having MS were more likely then those who were still employed to have depression – found in 53 per cent compared to 30 per cent of those employed, and urinary incontinence (53 per cent) compared with those still working (29 per cent).³

A number of studies have examined which aspects of MS influence people's ability or inability to continue working. One of the factors identified is the course of the disease. Unsurprisingly higher employment rates are found among benign MS patients compared with non-benign groups (83 per cent compared to 35 per cent) (Glad, Nyland, Aarseth, Riise and Myhr, 2010). However, amongst those experiencing a non-benign form of MS, variations in ability to work can still be seen with a non-

² Auty A, Belanger C, Bouchard JP, et al. Burden of illness of multiple sclerosis: part II. Quality of life. Can J Neurol Sci 1998; 25 (1): 31-8)

³ The data are cross-sectional. Therefore, the data do not indicate a causal relationship between retiring due to MS and health outcomes

remittent course negatively influencing people's ability to work (Grønning, Hannisdal and Mellgren, 1990; Glad et al., 2010; Honarmand, Akbar, Kou, and Feinstein, 2011). The longer the course of the illness, and the greater the degree of disability experienced by the individual, have also been identified as risk factors for unemployment in MS (Honarmand, Akbar, Kou, and Feinstein, 2011; O'Connor et al., 2005). As mentioned earlier, unemployment rates range from between 60 per cent and 80 per cent around 15 years after the onset of MS (Zwibel, 2009). Individuals with MS have a 'below average' household income compared to those without MS even though many have higher education levels and social class (Green, Todd and Pevalin, 2007). With that said, research from Denmark suggests that if individuals with MS remain in work, they maintain a similar income as the general population (Pfleger, Flachs and Koch-Henriksen, 2010a).

Many of factors relating to the ability to remain in work are disease related. A study by O'Connor et al. (2005) identified fatigue (28 per cent), and difficulties with handwriting (26 per cent), balance and walking difficulties (45 per cent) as all having a significant impact on more than a quarter of respondents' ability to work. Similarly, Simmons, Tribe and McDonald (2010) found that for those individuals who left work as a result of their MS, the most common reasons given were related to MS symptoms, particularly: fatigue, problems with legs, feet, arms or hands, difficulty with memory, concentration or thinking, balance or dizziness, and heat sensitivity.

The impact of MS-related symptoms on individuals' ability to work is also highlighted by the finding that significant worsening of symptoms in the last six months were found to be predictive of employment loss when changes in employment over time in MS were examined (Julian et al., 2008). A key aspect of these findings is that it is not simply the physical symptoms that affect the person's ability to work, but a combination of both physical and cognitive functioning. One stakeholder explained that it is difficult to understand when an MS patient will '*look the same but not feel or function in the same.'* This can be particularly difficult for employers to understand.

With symptomatic factors playing a strong role in the ability to remain in work, as well as to perform certain kinds of jobs, Simmons, Tribe and McDonald (2010) conclude that more effective symptom management in the workplace is likely to be an important factor in maintaining employment.

The employment environment can also play a role in employment outcomes for individuals with MS. The type of work carried out appears to influence whether a person with MS is able to stay in work. While Simmons et al. (2010) found no clear pattern to suggest an association between leaving employment due to MS and occupation type, other findings have indicated that individuals who undertake physical work should be considered at higher risk for early unemployment due to MS (Grønning, Hannisdal and Mellgren, 1990; Pfleger, Flachs and Koch-Henriksen, 2010b; Glad et al., 2010; Pompeii, Moon and McCrory, 2005). While the evidence reviewed above suggests symptom related issues more strongly influence the ability to stay in work than factors relating to the workplace, this highlights that workplace issues should not be ignored. Specific issues that have been identified are difficulties in travelling to and from work, and access while at work (e.g. wheelchair access) (O'Connor et al., 2005). Furthermore, research conducted by the MS Society highlights particular challenges for individuals with MS in that symptoms may not always be visible to employers or colleagues, and there is a perceived lack of knowledge about the symptoms associated with MS, particularly their fluctuating nature, and a lack of understanding about the impact of these symptoms (Staley and Hanley, 2006).

Research examining job satisfaction and turnover intentions (i.e. plans to voluntarily leave one's job) amongst individuals with MS may also be helpful in considering what the precursors are to individuals leaving employment. Roessler, Fitzgerald and Rumrill (2004) find that person-job fit, in addition to adequacy of income, was predictive of job satisfaction in employed people with MS and argue that acknowledgement of a poor job match may reflect perceived early signs of inability to perform the job and to meet personal needs through work. Poorly recognised mismatch between job demands, work patterns and changing individual capacity contributes to reduced employment among people with MS (BSRM, 2010).

Additional, external factors influencing participation of people with MS in the labour market include, poor adjustment of the employment structures to the needs of patients, including physical obstacles, such as open plan offices or inaccessible toilet, inflexible work patterns and lack of employer/ colleagues' support (Johnson, Klasner, Amtmann, Kuehn, and Yorkston, 2004). Pack, Turner, Roessler and Robertson (2007) examined the issue from the perspective of turnover intentions amongst employed adults with MS and showed that symptom severity, perceived stress levels and coping ability, job satisfaction, and employer support were all significant predictors of turnover intention. Pack, Turner, Roessler and Robertson (2007) argue that focusing rehabilitation on each of these areas may enable people with MS to retain employment. Again, creative workplace design may resolve many issues that challenge productivity of individuals with MS.

A further perspective that should be considered is the extent to which individuals with MS are able to re-enter the labour market. Much of the focus of welfare reform is the provision of support for people to find employment which is appropriate to their functional capacity. With a fluctuating condition such as MS it might be assumed that re-entering the labour market after having left it might be an especially difficult challenge. Julian et al. (2008) examined this issue in their study looking at changes in employment across time and found evidence to suggest that employment status in MS should be considered a dynamic process, with 5 per cent of those not working at the first assessment entering into employment by the second time point. Those with higher levels of educational attainment and who were younger were more likely to continue working, as well as those with reductions in symptoms relating to mobility, hand function and cognitive function. The findings relating to the demographic variables of age and educational attainment mirror the relationship found elsewhere showing age and educational attainment as correlates of unemployment in MS (Grønning, Hannisdal and Mellgren, 1990; Pfleger, Flachs and Koch-Henriksen, 2010b).

A particular challenge in moving into employment for individuals with MS is the unpredictability of their symptoms, the need to have a realistic understanding of their capacity for work, and to be able to anticipate feeling unwell in the future even if they feel well at the current time (Staley and Hanley, 2006). Flexibility in the workplace in terms of being able to adapt working patterns to fit with periods when individuals are feeling well was identified as a key component of employer support in overcoming some of these issues (Staley and Hanley, 2006). However, it has also been identified that there are a high proportion of individuals who do not receive any support to remain in employment, both for individuals looking to return to work and those who are currently in work (O'Connor et al., 2005). This highlights the need to address some of the issues identified here that influence whether people are able to participate fully in the labour market.

Costs of MS

MS is one of the most costly neurological diseases, due to its early onset, long duration and significant effects on work and daily activities (Battaglia, Zagami and Messmer, 2000). Management of relapses, progressing disability and comorbidities linked to MS may present a significant financial burden for the patients, the health care system and the economy. In a review of 29 cost-of-illness studies, Naci, Fleurence, Birt and Duhig (2010) identify the following types of costs:

- **Direct medical costs:** hospital stay, inpatient and outpatient care, tests and imaging, pharmaceuticals, transport, social assistance, physiotherapy sessions.
- **Direct non-medical costs:** adaptive devices (e.g. wheelchair), domestic help, retraining, informal care, personal expenses.
- **Indirect costs:** total productivity costs (short-term and long-term absence, early retirement), changes in employment status of patients and carers, societal losses, disability payments, lost opportunity costs, foregone income due to premature mortality and disability, patient and caregiver time loss, community assistance, home modifications.

• **Intangible costs:** costs associated with pain, social functioning, ability to perform daily activities, anxiety, quality of life, health-related quality of life.

The **direct medical costs** associated with MS can be considerable. For example, individuals with MS visit the hospital and consultants twice as much as individuals without MS (Naci, Fleurence, Birt and Duhig, 2010). Costs associated with disease modifying drugs vary across Europe, but they typically comprise one of the largest direct medical costs (Naci, Fleurence, Birt and Duhig, 2010). An exception to this is the UK where disease modifying drugs constitute only a small proportion of costs (McCrone, Hesin, Knapp, Bull and Thompson, 2008) - though it is noted that some of those costs are carried by individuals with MS. Other **direct non-medical costs** that may fall on individuals or social services include those associated with home or residential care, adaptations to the home (e.g. grab rails, hoists, stairlifts and shower rooms) or provision of wheelchairs (Curtis, 2010).

A large proportion of MS-related costs are **indirect**, and felt through **reduced workability** (Battaglia, Zagami and Messmer, 2000). A recent review of the literature on societal costs of MS, suggested that on average, 55% of all costs associated with MS are 'indirect', highlighting in particular the effect MS can have on a person's ability to work, as well as their need for informal care and supports.

Indeed, the costs associated with lost working capacity are larger than the costs to health care and social services (McCrone et al., 2008). A study for the Swiss MS Society (Knülle et al, 2011) estimated that the costs of MS across Europe were in excess of \in 15bn, with lost productivity accounting for 36 per cent of these costs. Indirect costs may increase as patients and carers have to change their employment status or even leave the labour market (Phillips and Humphreys, 2009). According to Hakim et al. (2000, as cited in WHO, 2007) who studied the social impact of MS in the UK, 53 per cent of MS patients in the UK who were employed at the time of diagnosis stopped working and for 37 per cent of patients and their families the standard of living declined as a direct result of the disease. As earning power diminishes, it may become increasingly more difficult for patients to afford the direct out-of-pocket expenses associated with MS, which are estimated to be between £1,100 – £2,600 a year (Tyas, Kerrigan, Russell and Nixon, 2007).

A few studies suggest a higher proportion of the costs are attributed to **informal care** in the UK compared to other European countries, Canada and the US (Kobelt, Lindgren, Parkin, Francis, Johnson, Bates et al., 2000; Naci, Fleurence, Birt and Duhig, 2010). Caregiver burden measured by caregivers' lost time and foregone income contributes to overall societal costs of the disease (Murphy, Confavreux, Haas, König, Roullet, Sailer et al.,1998). For example, professional careers of 57 per cent of relatives were adversely affected by the patient's MS (Hakim et al., 2000, as cited in WHO, 2007). Additionally, the quality of life of MS caregivers was estimated to be lower than quality of life of diabetic and psychiatric carers: it appeared to correlate strongly with perceived patients' quality of life and was associated with carers developing fear of MS (Alshubaili, Ohaeri, Awadalla and Mabrouk, 2008).

Some researchers also seek to measure the **intangible costs** associated with MS – pain, helplessness, anxiety, and other symptoms associated with MS can dramatically affect quality of life in patients and their caregivers(Casado, Romero, Gubieras, Alonso, Moral, Martinez-Yelamoz et al., 2007). While difficult to quantify, an increasing number of studies consider the burden of intangible costs. Some research suggests that intangible costs account for between 17.5 per cent and 47.8 per cent of total costs of MS; the wide range may be the result of the varied approaches to evaluating quality of life (Wundes, Brown, Bienen and Coleman, 2010).

As mentioned, MS is unpredictable in its course. Therefore, the costs associated with MS can vary widely by patient. For example, the costs in the UK are estimated to vary from £12,000 for patients with low disability scores to £60,000 for patients with severe disability stage (Kobelt et al., 2006b). Naci, Fleurence, Birt and Duhig (2008) and Kobelt et al. (2006a) suggest that working to delay the progression of MS can reduce the associated costs.

Due to the significant variation of MS costs between patients with mild and severe disability it is difficult to extrapolate the average per-patient cost of the disease onto a societal scale. One comparative analysis across thirty European countries (and over 13000 patients) estimated the total annual mean costs per patient (classified using the EDSS) at being €18000 for mild disease, and €36500 for moderate disease and €62000 for severe disease, with intangible costs estimated at a €13000 per patient (Kobelt et al., 2006b). The study gathered evidence from national registries and published sources, as well as self-reported data from a patient questionnaire in order to provide maximally comprehensive assessment of direct, indirect and intangible costs incurred by the disease (Kobelt et al., 2006b).

The above does not consider costs in terms of welfare benefits. In Bevan et al 2010 it is suggested that in the UK, the costs to the welfare system of premature loss of work for someone with MS who could have been supported to stay in work might be substantial – at £61000 per individual who has an average early retirement. Further costs will occur through the loss of income tax.

Conclusion and Evidence Gaps

MS is an unpredictable and fluctuating condition that impacts individuals differently. It affects both mental and physical health. Many of the symptoms are invisible to others and can worsen or improve rapidly. A number of symptoms and co-occurring conditions accompany a diagnosis of MS, including fatigue, depression, incontinence and pain. In the work setting, MS symptoms play a significant role in maintaining employment, but the work environment is also an important factor. Some of the most costly aspects of MS are related to indirect costs, such as the loss in ability to work and informal caregiving. This impacts household finances as well as the greater society. Yet most people who develop MS are of working age and want to work.

Focusing on job retention, with adjustments, could provide both clinical and financial benefits. If people with MS across Europe lose at (on average) at least 10 years of working life, and those who are not working have worse health outcomes (including higher rates of depression and disability), then clinical and workplace interventions that retain people in employment could greatly benefit not only individuals but also wider society.

Overall, the literature is helpful in mapping out the prevalence and impact of MS on employment and productivity. However, we have identified a number of evidence gaps. These are set out below:

• There are few employer-based case studies which focus on the detail of interventions to promote job retention, rehabilitation, workplace adjustments etc;

• There is very little evidence of interventions in Small & Medium-Sized Enterprises (SMEs) which takes account of the specific challenges these employers face;

• There are very few economic evaluations of the cost-effectiveness of workplace interventions which might contribute to a 'business case' argument for action;

• Many of the published studies originate in Western Europe and North America, with relatively little evidence from Eastern Europe;

• The evidence on the therapeutic benefits of remaining in work for people living with MS is not extensively researched. While there is research on the health benefits of 'Good Work' across a range of chronic conditions and for mental health especially, the specific health benefits of work in the case of MS have not been extensively examined;

• For some conditions there is evidence that improving employment rates for people living with chronic illness reduces healthcare resource utilisation. However, this is a gap in the MS & employment literature;

• Although self-management of MS is increasingly part of the clinical literature, it features less prominently in employment settings where, with other conditions, there is evidence that equipping people with self-management & self-advocacy tools can improve confidence and job retention;

• While there is some evidence that people living with MS can have an elevated risk of developing comorbid conditions such as depression, there is relatively little research looking at how this might affect both employment rates and success in sustaining job retention and return to work efforts.



References

• Alonso, A., Jick, S.S., Olek, M.J. and Hernán, M.A. (2007). Incidence of multiple sclerosis in the United Kingdom: Findings from a population-based cohort. Journal of Neurology, 254, 1736-1741.

• Alshubaili, A.F., Ohaeri, J.U., Awadalla, A.W. and Mabrouk, A.A. (2008). Family caregiver quality of life in multiple sclerosis among Kuwaitis: a controlled study. BMC Health Services Research, 8, 206-214.

• Askey-Jones, S., Silber, E., David, A., Chalder, T., Shaw, P. and Gray, R. (2008). From efficacy to effectiveness: does a nurse-led cognitive behaviour therapy intervention work in patients with multiple sclerosis in the real-life clinical setting? Poster presentation. Multiple Sclerosis, 14, S137.

• Bartley, M., Sacker, A. and Clarke, P. (2004). Employment status, employment conditions, and limiting illness: Prospective evidence from the British Household Panel Survey 1991-2001. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 58, 501-506.

• Battaglia, M.A., Zagami, P. and Messmer, M. (2000). A cost evaluation of multiple sclerosis. Journal of NeuroVirology, 6(Suppl. 2), S191-S193.

• Benito-León, J., Morales, J.M., Rivera-Navarro, J. and Mitchell, A.J. (2003). A review about the impact of multiple sclerosis on health-related quality of life. Disability and rehabilitation, 25 (23), 1291-1303.

• Bevan, S., Zheltoukova, K., McGee, R. & Blazey, R. (2011). Ready to work? Meeting the employment and career aspirations of people with multiple sclerosis. London: The Work Foundation.

• British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine. (2010). Vocational assessment and rehabilitation for people with long-term neurological conditions: Recommendations for best practice. London: British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine. Retrieved 7 March 2011 from: <u>http://www.bsrm.co.uk/</u>

• Burks, J.S., Bigley, G.K. and Hill, H.H. (2009). Rehabilitation challenges in multiple sclerosis. Annals of Indian Academy of Neurology, 12(4), 296-306.

• Campion, K. (1997). Multiple sclerosis. Professional issues. Nursing Times, 93, 57-60.

• Carter Batiste J, (2013), Employees with Multiple Sclerosis, Job Accommodation Network (JAN): Office of Disability Employment Policy of the U.S. Department of Labour.

• Casado, V., Romero, L., Gubieras, L., Alonso, L., Moral, E., Martínez-Yélamos, S. et al. (2007). An approach to estimating the intangible costs of multiple sclerosis according to disability in Catalonia, Spain. Multiple Sclerosis, 13, 800-804.

• Cheng, E.M., Crandall, C.J., Bever C.T., Jr., Giesser, B., Haselkorn, J.K., Hays, R.D. et al. (2010). Quality indicators for multiple sclerosis. Multiple Sclerosis, 16(8), 970-980.

• Chiaravalloti, N.D. & DeLuca, J. (2008). Cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis. The Lancet Neurology, 7, 1139-1151.

• Chwastiak, L., Ehde, D.M., Gibbons, L.E., Sullivan, M., Bowen, J.D. and Kraft G.H. (2002). Depressive symptoms and severity of illness in multiple sclerosis: Epidemiologic study of a large community sample. American Journal of Psychiatry, 159,1862-1868.

• Chwastiak, L.A. and Ehde, D.M. (2007). Psychiatric issues in multiple sclerosis. Psychiatric Clinics of North America, 30(4), 803-817.

• Clegg, A., Bryant, J. and Milne, R. (2000). Disease-modifying drugs for multiple sclerosis: A rapid and systematic review. Health Technology Assessment, 4(9).

• Coyle, P.K. (2009). Disease-modifying agents in multiple sclerosis. Annals of Indian Academy of Neurology, 12(4), 273-282.

• Curtis, L. (2010). Unit Cost of Health and Social Care. Personal Social Services Research Unit. Retrieved 17 May 2011 from <u>https://www.education.gov.uk/publications/eOrderingDownload/</u> <u>PSSRU-1368-230X.pdf</u>

• Dalgas. U., Stenager, E., Jakobsen, J., Petersen, T., Overgaard, K. and Ingemann-Hansen, T. (2010). Muscle fiber size increases following resistance training in multiple sclerosis. Multiple Sclerosis, 16(11), 1367-1376.

• De Broe, S., Christopher, F. and Waugh, N. (2001). The role of specialist nurses in multiple sclerosis: A rapid and systematic review. Health Technology Assessment, 5(17).

• Department of Health. (2010). Equity and excellence: Liberating the NHS. White Paper. Retrieved 17 May 2011 from <u>http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/</u> <u>PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_117353</u>

• Dewa, C.S., Lin, E., Kooehoorn, M. and Goldner, E. (2007). Association of chronic work stress, psychiatric disorders and chronic physical conditions with disability among workers. Psychiatric Services, 58, 5, 652-658.

• Doogan, C. & Playford, E. D. (2014). Supporting work for people with multiple sclerosis. Multiple Sclerosis Journal, 20(6), 646-650.

• DWP. (2009). Prevalence of multiple sclerosis. Retrieved 28 August 2015 from <u>http://www.dwp.gov.uk/publications/specialist-guides/medical-conditions/a-z-of-medical-conditions/multiple-sclerosis/prevalence-ms.shtml</u>

• Dyck, I. and Jongbloed, L. (2000), Women with multiple sclerosis and the workplace: Environment in analysis of employment issues, Canadian Journal of Occupational Therapy ,67(5), 337-346.

• Ebers, G.C. (2008). Environmental factors and multiple sclerosis. Lancet Neurology, 7, 268-277.

• Ferrie, J.E., Shipley, M.J., Stansfeld, S.A. and Marmot, M.G. (2002). Effects of chronic job insecurity and change in job security on self reported health, minor psychiatric morbidity, physiological measures, and health related behaviors in British civil servants: the Whitehall II study. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 56, 450-454.

• Forbes, R.B., Wison, S.V. and Swingler RJ. (1999). The prevalence of multiple sclerosis in Tayside, Scotland: do latitudinal gradients really exist? Journal of Neurology, 246, 1033-1040.

• Ford, H.L., Gerry, E., Johnson, M., and Williams, R. (2002). A prospective study of the incidence, prevalence and mortality of multiple sclerosis in Leeds. Journal of Neurology, 249, 260-265.

• Fox CM, Bensa S, Bray I and Zajicek JP. (2004). The epidemiology of multiple sclerosis in Devon: a comparison of the new and old classification criteria. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry, 75, 56-60.

• Freedman, M.S. (2009). 'Time is brain' also in multiple sclerosis. Multiple Sclerosis, 15, 1133-1134.

• Glad, S.B., Nyland, H., Aarseth, J.H., Riise, T., and Myhr, K. (2010). How long can you keep working with benign multiple sclerosis? Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry, 82(1), 78-82.

• Glanz, B.I., Dégano, I.R., Rintell, D.J., Chitnis, T., Weiner, H.L. & Healy, B.C. (2012). Work productivity in relapsing multiple sclerosis: associations with disability, depression, fatigue, anxiety, cognition, and health-related quality of life. Value in Health, 15(8), 1029-1035.

• Gold, R., Wolinsky, J.S., Amato, M.P. and Comi, G. (2010). Evolving expectations around early management of multiple sclerosis. Therapeutic Advances in Neurological Disorders, 3(6), 351-367.

• Goldberg, L.D., Edwards, N.C., Fincher, C., Doan, Q.V., Al-Sabbagh, A. and Meletiche, D.M. (2009). Comparing the cost-effectiveness of disease-modifying drugs for the first-line treatment of relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. Journal of Managed Care Pharmacy, 15(7), 543-555.

• Goodin, D.S. and Bates, D. (2009). Treatment of early multiple sclerosis: the value of treatment initiation after a first clinical episode. Multiple Sclerosis, 15, 1175-1182.

• Green G., Todd, J. & Pevalin, D. (2007). Biographical disruption associated with multiple sclerosis: Using propensity scoring to assess the impact. Social Science and Medicine, 65, 524-535.

• Grima, D.T., Torrance, G.W., Francis, G., Rice, G., Rosner, A.J. and Lafortune, L. (2000). Cost and health related quality of life consequences of multiple sclerosis. Multiple Sclerosis, 6(2), 91-98.

• Grønning, M., Hannisdal, E. and Mellgren, S.I. (1990). Multivariate analyses of factors associated with unemployment in people with multiple sclerosis. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry, 53, 388-390.

• Halper, J. (2007). The psychosocial effect of multiple sclerosis: The impact of relapses. Journal of the Neurological Sciences, 256, S34-S38.

• Handel, A.E., Handunnetthi, L., Giovannoni, G., Ebers, G..C. and Ramagopalan, S.V. (2010).

Genetic and environmental factors and the distribution of multiple sclerosis in Europe. European Journal of Neurology, 17, 1210-1214.

• Harbo, HF ; Gold, R; Tintoré, M (2013) Sex and gender issues in multiple sclerosis. Therapeutic Advances in Neurological Disorders, 2013, Vol.6(4), pp.237-248

• Heinonen, R. and Dorning, H. (2011). Experiences of people using MS specialist nurse services. London: Multiple Sclerosis Society.

• Henze, T., Rieckmann, P., Toyka, K.V.; Multiple Sclerosis Therapy Consensus Group of the German Multiple Sclerosis Society (MSTCG). (2006). Symptomatic treatment of multiple sclerosis. European Neurology, 56(2), 78-105.

• Honarmand, K., Akbar, N., Kou, N. and Feinstein, A. (2011). Predicting employment status in multiple sclerosis patients: the utility of the MS functional composite. Journal of Neurology, 258 (2), 244-249.

• Jackson, M., Quaal, C. and Reeves, M. (1991). Effects of multiple sclerosis on occupational and career patterns. Axone, 13, 16-22.

• Jeffery, D.R. (2002). Early intervention with immunomodulatory agents in the treatment of multiple sclerosis. Journal of the Neurological Sciences, 197, 1-8.

• Johnson, J., Goldstone, L. and Smith, P. (2001). Evaluation of MS specialist nurses. A review and development of the role. South Bank University/MS Research Trust. Retrieved 7 March 2011 from: http://www.mstrust.org.uk/

• Johnson, K.L., Klasner, E.R., Amtmann, D., Kuehn, C.M. and Yorkston, K.M. (2004). Medical, psychological, social and programatic barriers to employment for people with multiple sclerosis. Journal of Rehabilitation, 70(1), 38-49.

• Jones, C.A., Pohar, S.L., Warren, S., Turpin, K.V.L. and Warren, K.G. (2008). The burden of multiple sclerosis: A community health survey. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 6 (1).

• Jones, K.H., Ford, D.V., Jones, P.A., John, A., Middleton, R.M., Lockhart-Jones, H. & Noble, J.G. (2013). How people with multiple sclerosis rate their quality of life: an EQ-5D survey via the UK MS register. PloS one, 8(6), e65640.

• Julian, L.J., Vella, L., Vollmer, T., Hadjimichael, O. and Mohr, D.C. (2008). Employment in multiple sclerosis: Exiting and re-entering the work force. Journal of Neurology, 255, 1354-1360.

• Karampampa et al. (2011). Treatment experience, burden, and unmet needs (TRIBUNE) in Multiple Sclerosis results from the United Kingdom (UK). Manuscript in preparation.

• Karampampa, K., Gustavsson, A., Miltenburger, C. & Eckert, B. (2012). Treatment experience, burden and unmet needs (TRIBUNE) in MS study: Results from five European countries. Multiple Sclerosis Journal, 18(2), 7-15.

• Khan, F., Ng. L. and Turner-Stokes, L. (2009). Effectiveness of vocational rehabilitation intervention on the return to work and employment of persons with multiple sclerosis. (Review). The Cochrane Library, 1.

• Khan, F., Pallant, J.F., Brand, C. and Kilpatrick, T.J. (2008). Effectiveness of rehabilitation intervention in persons with multiple sclerosis: a randomised controlled trial. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry, 79,1230-1235.

• Kirk-Brown A, Van Dijk P, Simmons R, Bourne M and Cooper B, (2014), Disclosure of diagnosis of multiple sclerosis in the workplace positively affects employment status and job tenure, Multiple Sclerosis Journal, Vol. 20(7) 871–876.

• Kirker, S.G.B., Young, E. and Warlow, C.P. (1995). An evaluation of a multiple sclerosis liaison nurse. Clinical Rehabilitation, 9, 219-226.

• Kobelt, G. & Kasteng, F. (2009). Access to innovative treatments in multiple sclerosis in Europe. Available at: <u>http://www.comparatorreports.se/Access%20to%20MS%20</u> <u>treatments%20-200ctober%202009.pdf</u> (last accessed 22/09/2015).

• Kobelt, G. and Kasteng, F. (2009). Access to innovative treatments in multiple sclerosis in Europe. Retrieved 14 Sept 2015 from <u>http://www.comparatorreports.se/Access%20to%20MS%20</u> <u>treatments%20-%200ctober%202009.pdf</u>

• Kobelt, G., Berg, J., Lindgren, P., Fredrikson, S. and Jönsson, B. (2006b), Costs and quality of life of

patients with multiple sclerosis in Europe. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry, 77, 918-926.

• Kobelt, G., Berg, J., Lindgren, P., Kerrigan, J., Russell, N. & Nixon, R. (2006a). Costs and quality of life of multiple sclerosis in the United Kingdom. The European Journal of Health Economics, 7(2), 96-104.

• Kobelt, G., Lindgren, P., Parkin, D., Francis, D. A., Johnson, M., Bates, D. et al. (2000). Costs and quality of life in multiple sclerosis. A cross-sectional observational study in the UK. Working Paper Series in Economics and Finance 398, Stockholm School of Economics.

• Koopmans, P.C., Roelen, C.A.M. and Grotthoff, J.W. (2008). Frequent and long-term absence as a risk factor for work disability and job termination among employees in the private sector. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 65, 494-499.

• Koutsouraki, E ; Costa, V ; Baloyannis, S (2010) Epidemiology of multiple sclerosis in Europe: A Review. International Review Of Psychiatry, Vol.22(1), pp.2-13

• Knülle E, Kobelt G, Lotter C and Goertler I (2011), From disability to ability at work Successful case management approaches in multiple sclerosis, Swiss Multiple Sclerosis Society.

• Kraft, G.H., Johnson, K.L., Yorkston, K., Amtmann, D., Bamer, A., Bombardier, C. et al. (2008). Setting the agenda for multiple sclerosis rehabilitation research. Multiple Sclerosis, 14, 1292-1297.

• Kurtzke, J.F. (1961). On the evaluation of disability in multiple sclerosis. Neurology, 11, 686-694.

- Kurtzke, J.F. (1983). Rating neurologic impairment in multiple sclerosis: an Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS). Neurology, 33, 1444-1452.
- LaRocca, N.G., Kalb, R. and Gregg, K. (1996). A program to facilitate retention of employment among persons with multiple sclerosis. Work, 7, 37-46.
- Lublin, F.D. and Reingold, S.C. (1996). Defining the clinical course of multiple sclerosis: Results of an international survey. Neurology, 46, 907-911.

• Mackenzie, I.S., Morant, S.V., Bloomfield, G.A., MacDonald, T.M. & O'Riordan, J. (2014). Incidence and prevalence of multiple sclerosis in the UK 1990–2010: a descriptive study in the General Practice Research Database. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 85, 76-84.

• Malcomson, K. S. Lowe-Strong, A. S. and Dunwoody, L (2008). What can we learn from the personal insights of individuals living and coping with Multiple Sclerosis? Disability and Rehabilitation, 30 (9), 662-674.

• Malcomson, K.S., Dunwoody, L. and Lowe-Strong, A.S. (2007). Psychosocial interventions in people with multiple sclerosis. Journal of Neurology, 254, 1-13.

• Marmot, M. and et al. (2010). Fair society, healthy lives. Strategic review of health inequalities in England post 2010. Retrieved 27 Sept 2015 from <u>http://www.marmotreview.org/AssetLibrary/pdfs/</u><u>Reports/FairSocietyHealthyLives.pdf</u>

• Marrie, R.A., Horwitz, R., Cutter, G., Tyry, T., Campagnolo, D. and Vollmer, T. (2009). The burden of mental comorbidity in multiple sclerosis: frequent, underdiagnosed, and undertreated. Multiple sclerosis, 15(3), 385-392.

• McCrone, P., Hesin, M., Knapp, M., Bull, P. & Thompson, A. (2008). Multiple sclerosis in the UK: Service use, costs, quality of life and disability. Pharmacoeconomics, 26(10), 847-860.

• McGuigan C. and Hutchinson M. (2006). Unrecognised symptoms of depression in a communitybased population with multiple sclerosis. Journal of Neurology, 253(2), 219-223.

• Mohr, D.C. and Cox, D. (2001). Multiple sclerosis: Empirical literature for the clinical health psychologist. Journal of Clinical Psychology, 57(4), 479-499.

• Motl, R.W. and Gosney, J.L. (2008). Effect of exercise training on quality of life in multiple sclerosis: A meta-analysis. Multiple Sclerosis, 14, 129-135.

• Motl, R.W., Dlugonski, D., Wójcicki, T.R., McAuley, E. and Mohr, D.C. (2011). Internet intervention for increasing physical activity in persons with multiple sclerosis. Multiple Sclerosis, 17, 116-128.

• MS Society (2010). About MS. Retrieved 14 April 2011 from http://www.mssociety.org.uk/about_ms/index.html

• MS Ireland (2015) Societal Costs of Multiple Sclerosis in Ireland 2015. Retrieved 17 December 2015 from: <u>http://ms-society.ie/uploads/File/Living%20with%20MS/Our%20publications/MS%20</u>

Ireland%20-%20Societal%20Cost%20of%20MS%20in%20Ireland%202015cc.pdf

• MS Trust. (2010). Fact Sheet: Depression. Retrieved 23 March 2011 from http://www.mstrust.org.uk/information/publications/factsheets/depression.jsp

• Multiple Sclerosis International Federation (MSIF) (2013) Atlas of MS 2013: Mapping Multiple Sclerosis around the world. Available at: www.msif.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/09/Atlas-of-MS.pdf (last accessed 24/11/2015)

• Multiple Sclerosis International Federation (MISF). (2010). MSIF survey on employment. Available at: <u>http://www.msif.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/10/Survey-on-employment-and-MS.</u> <u>pdf</u> (last accessed 24/09/2015).

• Multiple Sclerosis International Federation (MISF). (2010), Employment and MS, MS in Focus, Issue 16.

• Murphy, N., Confavreux, C., Haas, J., König, N., Roullet, E., Sailer, M. et al. (1998). Economic evaluation of multiple sclerosis in the UK, Germany and France. Pharmacoeconomics, 13(5), 607-622.

• Naci, H., Fleurence, R., Birt, J. & Duhig, A. (2010). Economic burden of multiple sclerosis. A systematic review of literature. Pharmacoeconomics, 28(5), 363-379.

• National Collaborating Centre for Chronic Conditions. (2004). Multiple sclerosis. National clinical guideline for diagnosis and management in primary and secondary care. Royal College of Physicians of London.

• National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE). (2003). Multiple Sclerosis: Management of multiple sclerosis in primary and secondary care. Clinical Guideline 8.

• O'Connor, R.J., Cano, S.J., i Torrenta, L.R., Thompson, A.J. & Playford, E.D. (2005). Factors influencing work retention for people with multiple sclerosis. Journal of Neurology, 252(8), 892-896.

• O'Brien, A., Chiaravalloti, N., Goverover, Y., & DeLuca, J. (2008). Evidence based cognitive rehabilitation for patients with multiple sclerosis: A review of the literature. Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, 89, 761-769.

• Ontaneda, D. and Rae-Grant, A.D. (2009). Management of acute exacerbations in multiple sclerosis. Annals of Indian Academy of Neurology, 12(4), 264-272.

• Paci, M. (2003). Physiotherapy based on the Bobath concept for adults with post-stroke hemiplegia: A review of effectiveness studies. Journal of Rehabilitation Medicine, 35, 2-7.

• Pack, T.G., Turner, R., Roessler, R.T. and Robertson, J. (2007). Prediction of turnover intentions among employed adults with multiple sclerosis. Journal of Rehabilitation, 73, 26-35.

• Parkin, D., Jacoby, A., McNamee, P., Miller, P., Thomas, S. and Bates, D. (2000). Treatment of multiple sclerosis with interferon: An appraisal of cost-effectiveness and quality of life. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry, 68, 144-149.

• Patten, S.B., Metz, L.M. and Reimer, M.A. Biopsychosocial correlates of lifetime major depression in a multiple sclerosis populations. Multiple Sclerosis, 6, 115-120.

• Patti, F., Pozzilli, C., Montanari, E., Pappalardo, A., Piazza, L., Levi, A. et al. (2007). Effects of education level and employment status on HRQoL in early relapsing-remitting multiples sclerosis. Multiple Sclerosis, 13, 783-791.

• Pfleger, C.C.H., Flachs, E.M. and Koch-Henriksen, N. (2010a). Social consequences of multiple sclerosis (I): early pension and temporary unemployment – a historical prospective cohort study. Multiple Sclerosis, 16(1), 121-126.

• Pfleger, C.C.H., Flachs, E.M. and Koch-Henriksen, N. (2010b). Social consequences of multiple sclerosis: clinical and demographic predictors – a historical prospective cohort study. European Journal of Neurology, 17, 1346-1351.

• Phillips, C.J. and Humphreys, I. (2009). Assessing cost-effectiveness in the management of multiple sclerosis. ClinicoEconomics and Outcomes Research, 1, 61-78.

• Pompeii, L.A., Moon, S.D. and McCrory, D.C. (2005). Measures of physical and cognitive function and work status among individuals with multiple sclerosis: a review of the literature. Journal of Occupational Rehabilitation, 15, 69-84.

• Prakash, R.S., Snook, E.M., Lewis, J.M., Motl, R.W. and Kramer, A.F. (2008). Cognitive impairments

in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: a meta-analysis. Multiple Sclerosis, 14, 1250-1261.

• Pryse-Phillips, W. (2001). Twenty questions about multiple sclerosis clinical trials methodologies. Neurological Sciences, 22(2), 187-193

• Pugliatti, M., Rosati, G., Carton, H., Riise, T., Drulovic, J., Vécsei, L., et al. (2006). The epidemiology of multiple sclerosis in Europe. European Journal of Neurology, 13, 700-722.

• Putzki, N., Fischer, J., Gottwald, K., Reifschneider, G., Ries, S., Siever, A. et al. (2009). Quality of life in 1000 patients with early relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. European Journal of Neurology, 16, 713-720.

• Raftery, J. (2010). Multiple sclerosis risk sharing scheme: a costly failure. BMJ, 340, 1672-1675.

• Reynolds, K.J., Vernon, S.D., Bouchery, E. and Reeves, W.C. (2004). The economic impact of chronic fatigue syndrome. Cost Effectiveness and Resource Allocation, 2, 4-12.

• Richards, R.G., Sampson, F.C., Beard, S.M. and Tappenden, P. (2002). A review of the natural history and epidemiology of multiple sclerosis: implications for resource allocation and health economic models. Health Technology Assessment, 6 (10).

• Roessler, R. and Rumrill, P.D., Jr. (2001). Multiple sclerosis and employment barriers: A systemic perspective on diagnosis and intervention. Work, 21(1), 17-23.

• Roessler, R.T., Fitzgerald, S.M. and Rumrill, P.D. (2004). Factors affecting the job satisfaction of employed adults with multiples sclerosis. Journal of Rehabilitation, 70, 42-50.

• Roessler, R.T., Rumrill, P.D., Hennessey, M.L., Vierstra, C., Pugsley, E. and Pittman, A. (2003). Perceived strengths and weaknesses in employment policies and services among people with multiple sclerosis: Results of a national survey. Work: A Journal of Prevention, Assessment and Rehabilitation, 21(1), 25-36.

• Rothwell, P.M. and Charlton, D. (1998). High incidence and prevalence of multiple sclerosis in south east Scotland: evidence of a genetic predisposition. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry, 64, 730-735.

• Rotstein, Z., Hazan, R., Barak, Y. and Achiron, A. (2006). Perspectives in multiple sclerosis health care: Special focus on the costs of multiple sclerosis. Autoimmunity Reviews, 5, 511-516.

• Royal College of Physicians. (2011). MS Audit: Executive Summary. Available at: <u>https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/sites/default/files/ms_audit_executive_summary_2011_1.pdf</u> (last accessed 18/02/2015).

• Rumrill, P.D. (2009). Challenges and opportunities related to the employment of people with multiple sclerosis. Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation, 31, 83-90.

• Schapiro, R.T. (2009). The symptomatic management of multiple sclerosis. Annals of Indian Academy of Neurology, 12(4), 291-295.

• Schuring, M., Burdorf, L, Kunst, A., and Mackenbach, J. (2007). The effects of ill health on entering and maintaining paid employment: Evidence in European countries. Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health, 61, 597-604.

• Shevil, E. and Finlayson, M. (2010). Pilot study of a cognitive intervention program for persons with multiple sclerosis. Health Education Research, 25(1), 41-53.

• Simmons, R.D., Tribe, K.L. and McDonald, E.A. (2010). Living with multiple sclerosis: longitudinal changes in employment and the importance of symptom management. Journal of Neurology, 257, 926-936.

• Smith, M.M. and Arnett, P.A. (2005). Factors related to employment status changes in individuals with multiple sclerosis. Multiple Sclerosis, 11(5), 602-609.

• Sollom, A.C. and Kneebone, I.I. (2007). Treatment of depression in people who have multiple sclerosis. Multiple Sclerosis, 13(5), 632-635.

• Staley, K. and Hanley, B. (2006). Incapacity benefit and employment – the experiences of people with MS or arthritis. Published by the Multiple Sclerosis Society and Arthritis Care.

• Stuifbergen, A., Brown, A. and Phillips, L. (2009). Predictors and moderators of the disablement process in persons with multiple sclerosis. NeuroRehabilitation, 24, 119-129.

• Sutherland JM. (1956). Observations on the prevalence of multiple sclerosis in Northern Scotland. Brain, 79, 635-654.

• Sweetland, J., Riazi, A., Cano, S.J. and Playford, E.D. (2007). Vocational rehabilitation services for people with multiple sclerosis: what patients want from clinicians and employers. Multiple Sclerosis, 13, 1183-1190.

• Swingler, R.J. and Compston D.A.S. (1986). The distribution of multiple sclerosis in the United Kingdom. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry, 49, 1115-1124.

• Tan, H., Yu, J., Tabby, D., Devries, A. and Singer, J. (2010). Clinical and economic impact of a specialty care management program among patients with multiple sclerosis: A cohort study. Multiple Sclerosis, 16, 956-963.

• Tappenden, P., Chilcott, J.B., Eggington, S., Oakley, J. and McCabe, C. (2004). Methods for expected values of information analysis in complex health economic models: developments on the health economics of interferon-and glatiramer acetate for multiple sclerosis. Health Technology Assessment, 8(27).

• The MS Society. (2013). A lottery of treatment and care – MS Services across the UK. Available at: <u>http://mslottery.mssociety.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/04/UK-ms-lottery.pdf</u> (last accessed 14/04/2015).

• Thomas, P.W., Thomas, S., Kersten, P., Jones, R., Nock, A., Slingsby, V. et al. (2010). Multi-centre parallel arm randomised controlled trial to assess the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a group-based cognitive behavioural approach to managing fatigue in people with multiple sclerosis. BMC Neurology, 10, 43-54.

• Townsend, G. (2008). Working with MS: experiences of people with MS and professionals. Way Ahead, 12 (2), 10-12. Retrieved 24 January 2011 from <u>http://www.mstrust.org.uk/professionals/information/wayahead/</u>

• Tyas, D., Kerrigan, J., Russell, N. and Nixon, R. (2007). The distribution of the cost of multiple sclerosis in the UK: How do costs vary by illness severity? Value in Health, 10(5), 386-389.

• Uccelli, M.M., Specchia, C., Battaglia, M.A. and Miller, D.M. (2009). Factors that influence the employment status of people with multiple sclerosis: a multi-national study. Journal of Neurology, 256, 1989-1996.

• Virtanen, M., Kivimaki, M., Vahtera, J., Elovanio, M., Sund, R., Virtanen, R. et al. (2006). Sickness absence as a risk factor for job termination, unemployment, and disability pension among temporary and permanent employees. Occupational and Environmental Medicine, 63(3), 212-217.

• Warren, S.A., Turpin, K.V.L., Pohar, S.L., Jones, C.A., and Warren, K.G. (2009). Comorbidity and health-related quality of life in people with multiple sclerosis. International Journal of MS Care, 11 (6), 6-16

• WHO. (2007). Neurological disorders: Public health challenges. Retrieved 22 March 2011 from http://www.who.int/mental_health/neurology/neurodiso/en/

• Wiles, C.M., Newcombe, R.G., Fuller, K.J., Shaw, S., Furnival-Doran, J., Pickersgill, T.P. et al. (2001). Controlled randomised crossover trial of the effects of physiotherapy on mobility in chronic multiple sclerosis. Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Psychiatry, 70, 174-179.

• Wilson R. (1998). The multiple sclerosis partnership programme. International MS Journal, 5, 30-34.

• Wundes, A., Brown, T., Bienen, E.J. and Coleman, C.I. (2010). Contribution of intangible costs to the economic burden of multiple sclerosis. Journal of Medical Economics 13(4), 626-632.

• Wynia, K., Middel, B., van Dijk, J.P., de Keyser, J.H. and Reijneveld, S.A. (2008). The impact of disabilities on quality of life of people with multiple sclerosis. Multiple Sclerosis, 14, 972-980.

• Yamamoto D and Campbell J, (2012), Cost-Effectiveness of Multiple Sclerosis Disease-Modifying Therapies: A Systematic Review of the Literature, Autoimmune Diseases

• Young, C. (2010). Future oral drug options for multiple sclerosis treatment. Future Prescriber, 11(3), 12-15.

• Zwibel, H. (2009). Health and quality of life in patients with relapsing multiple sclerosis: making the intangible tangible. Journal of the Neurological Sciences, 287, S11-S16.





All rights reserved © The Work Foundation (Lancaster University). No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form without prior written permission of the publishers. For more information contact <u>info@theworkfoundation.com</u>. The Work Foundation Alliance Limited, 21 Palmer Street, London, SW1H 0AD, UK.

he Work Foundation Alliance Limited, 21 Palmer Street, London, SWTH UAD, UK Registered Charity No. 1146813. Registered as a company limited by guarantee No. 7746776. Registered address: Lancaster University, Bailrigg, Lancaster LA1 4YW, UK.

December 2015

