

Biological Agents for Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyradiculoneuropathy

Joerg-Patrick Stübgen, MB ChB, MD

Professor of Clinical Neurology/Attending Neurologist, Department of Neurology and Neuroscience, Weill Cornell Medical College/New York Presbyterian Hospital, New York, New York, US

Abstract

Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (CIDP) is a term for a group of acquired, immune-mediated inflammatory demyelinating disorders of the peripheral nervous system. Most patients with CIDP respond to 'first-line' therapy with intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg), plasmapheresis, and/or corticosteroids. 'Conventional' immunosuppressive drugs are of no proven benefit. Biological agents directed at key aspects of the CIDP immunopathogenic pathway have gained increasing attention due to the unpredictable efficacy and overall health risks of non-targeted immunosuppressive drugs. Presently, there exists insufficient clinical experience with biological therapy to allow specific treatment recommendations for CIDP. The challenge remains to identify drug-naïve or treatment-resistant CIDP patients who will most likely respond to targeted immunotherapy.

Keywords

Biological therapy, CIDP, monoclonal antibodies, interferon, tumor necrosis factor alpha

Disclosure: The author has no conflicts of interest to declare.

Received: March 18, 2013 **Accepted:** April 22, 2013 **Citation:** *US Neurology*, 2014;10(1):38-43 DOI: 10.17925/USN.2014.10.01.38

Correspondence: Joerg-Patrick Stübgen, MB ChB, MD, Department of Neurology and Neuroscience, Weill Cornell Medical College/New York Presbyterian Hospital, 525 East 68th Street, New York NY 10065-4885, US. E: pstuebge@med.cornell.edu

Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) denotes a spectrum of acquired, chronically progressive, or recurrent, immune-mediated disorders of the peripheral nervous system with variable pathology and pathogenesis.¹ The estimated prevalence may be up to nine per 100,000 population.^{2,3} A universally accepted definition of disease does not exist. A variety of clinical and investigational criteria have been proposed and applied in attempts to include the various presentations of CIDP.^{4,5} Perhaps the future development of biological markers will help reliably identify patients with CIDP.⁴

The pathogenesis of CIDP is not fully understood.^{1,6,7} Cell-mediated and/or humoral immune mechanisms are involved in an attack against unidentified target antigen(s) of the myelin sheath and/or Schwann cells, at times leading also to secondary axonal injury.

Randomised controlled trials (class I evidence) showed that most (60–80%) patients respond at least to a degree to treatment with intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg),^{8–11} plasmapheresis,^{12,13} or corticosteroids.¹⁴ Yet, there exists insufficient (class IV) evidence (from open label case series, randomized, and quasi-randomized trials) to assess the benefits of conventional immunosuppressive agents (azathioprine, cyclosporine, methotrexate, cyclophosphamide, or mycophenolate mofetil) in patients with CIDP.^{15,16} Most patients require long-term maintenance treatment.^{17,18} Due to the unpredictable efficacy, financial burden, and/or toxicity of

'broad-spectrum' immunomodulatory/-suppressive drugs, a need exists to develop effective and safe therapeutic strategies.^{19,20} Research is hindered by the current lack of consensus on appropriate outcome measures and definition of treatment failure, as well as the tendency to study potentially effective therapy exclusively on refractory CIDP patients.^{21,22} In order to realize the full potential of any new drug/agent, it may be advisable to identify and exclude from future drug trials any patients with predictably unresponsive (chronically stable and inactive) disease.²⁰ The future development and application of biomarkers could assist in the selection of effective therapy at initiation and long-term.²³ This review offers an updated summary and analysis of the genetically engineered, biological therapeutic agents considered potentially useful in patients with CIDP. Specific recommendations on management strategies are not proposed, as reviews on this subject have been published.^{24–28} Biological therapeutics may rarely induce dysimmune inflammatory neuropathies (discussed elsewhere).²⁹

T-lymphocytes

Activated T-lymphocytes invade peripheral nerve and partake in the pathogenesis of CIDP.^{6,7,30}

Natalizumab

Natalizumab (Tysabri®) is a monoclonal antibody (mAb) targeted at the $\alpha 4$ subunit of $\alpha 4\beta 1$ (VLA4) and $\alpha 4\beta 7$ integrins that are expressed on

the surface of activated lymphocytes and thus blocks the $\alpha 4$ -mediated adhesion of lymphocytes to specific receptors (e.g., VCAM) on the luminal surface of activated vascular endothelium. Moreover, natalizumab perhaps acts *in vivo* by inhibiting the interaction of $\alpha 4$ -expressing leukocytes with corresponding ligand(s) in the extracellular matrix and on parenchymal cells, thus preventing additional recruitment and activity of stimulated immune cells.³¹ In a rat model of EAN, $\alpha 4$ -integrin-blockade led to apoptosis of peripheral nerve infiltrating T-lymphocytes and improvement of clinical disease.³² In the single literature report, a single 300 mg intravenous dose of natalizumab was ineffective in a patient with refractive CIDP;³³ despite demonstration of perivascular T cell activation ($\alpha 4$ integrin-expression) on sural nerve biopsy and mAb attachment to target antigen on circulating T cells. Natalizumab is apparently planned for a CIDP trial,²⁰ but no study is registered (www.clinicaltrials.gov). Optimum patient selection for T-cell-targeted therapy could potentially be based on the development and demonstration of indicators of T-cell activation e.g., (a) increased DR antigen expression in circulating T cells; (b) increased serum concentrations of soluble interleukin (IL)-2 and IL-2R; (c) increased concentrations of IL-6, IL-8, and IL-17, and percentage of IFN γ + IL-4 T cells in cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), and (d) expression of $\alpha 4$ integrin on perivascular T cells.

B-lymphocytes

The concept of an aberrant B cell response is generally accepted as a major element in the pathogenesis of peripheral nerve immune-mediated demyelination.^{6,30,34}

Rituximab

Rituximab (Rituxan[®]) is a chimeric mAb directed against the CD20 surface antigen of B cells. Rituximab (RTX) is an IgG1 κ antibody created by attaching complementarity-determined regions of mouse anti-CD20 antibody (2B8) to human IgG1 κ heavy-chain constant region sequences.³⁵ In case reports, RTX (usually 4-weekly 375 mg/m²) was offered to patients with CIDP that responded progressively less well to IVIG or conventional immunosuppressants; two childhood-onset cases were described.^{36,37} CIDP variants occurred in patients with Morvan syndrome and myasthenia gravis,³⁸ sodium-losing nephropathy,³⁹ lymphomas (Epstein-Barr virus [EBV]-associated,⁴⁰ small lymphocytic B cell⁴¹ and marginal zone B cell⁴²), idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura,⁴³ diabetes,⁴⁴ Evans syndrome,⁴⁵ or elevated anti-SGPG IgM antibody;⁴⁶ one patient developed an IgM band (anti-disialosyl antibodies), cryoglobulins, and cold agglutinins, and fulfilled criteria for the CIDP subset, chronic ataxic neuropathy with ophthalmoplegia, M-protein, agglutinin, and anti-disialosyl antibodies (CANOMAD).⁴⁷ Probable reporting bias of case histories selected for patients responsive to treatment. Some showed no benefit,^{36,48} or improved after transient worsening that coincided with a serum IgM flare-up.⁴² In one patient, the effect of RTX was difficult to assess because concurrent immunosuppressants were used.⁴⁰ Explanations for the inconsistent treatment response may include: (1) possible differences in immunopathogenesis of CIDP patients with IVIG-dependence versus IVIG-resistance, e.g. CD20+ lymphocytes may play a variable role in different patients; (2) existence of concurrent diseases that may have confounded treatment response; (3) lack of 'standard' CIDP regimen so that some patients may require higher RTX doses; and (4) an undetermined element of secondary axonal damage unresponsive to treatment.

A retrospective email survey of all 105 members of the Inflammatory Neuropathy Consortium reported on the experience of 11 physicians with

RTX on 20 more-or-less refractory CIDP patients.⁴⁹ Treatment was considered beneficial (various outcome measures) in 12 of 20 patients, though two patients relapsed (one patient was re-treated). Of these 12 responsive patients, 11 suffered concomitant autoimmune or hematologic diseases. In a nationwide Italian retrospective analysis of various immunosuppressive/modulatory drugs in 110 refractory CIDP patients,⁵⁰ six of 18 patients responded to RTX treatment (defined as improvement by one point on the Rankin Scale). The percentage of patients responsive to RTX was similar to the other empirically chosen immune-altering drugs, so that RTX was not proven a superior treatment modality. Generally, only the presence of a serum monoclonal band predicted a less favorable therapeutic response.

A recent retrospective, observational multicenter study reported on RTX therapy (four doses of weekly 375 mg/m²) in 13 refractory CIDP patients.⁵¹ Nine patients (seven patients with blood disorders) responded to treatment (i.e., improved >2 points on 'standard' clinical scales, or maintained improvement during ongoing IVIG/plasma exchange). RTX benefit manifested after a mean of 2 months and lasted a mean of 1 year. From the above-mentioned information, it seems reasonable to consider RTX when treatment-resistant, active CIDP occurs in the context of other B cell-mediated diseases that might also respond to anti-B cell therapy. Treatment earlier in the course of disease seems to result in a better response. An unstated consensus implies that the lack of controlled trials and risk for adverse events presently preclude the generalized use of RTX in CIDP.

Conceivably, markers could provide useful information on any RTX-induced changes of B cell homeostatic regulation in patients with CIDP. For instance, measurements of serum B cell-activating factor (BAFF) proved a potential useful predictor of responsiveness after the administration of RTX to patients with anti-MAG polyneuropathy.⁵² Moreover, in treatment-naïve CIDP patients, naïve B cells showed impaired expression of Fc γ -receptor IIB and failed to up-regulate as cells progressed to the memory compartment;⁵³ this under-expression was partially restored by clinically effective IVIG treatment. Perhaps the application of Fc γ RIIB expression could serve as a candidate prognostic marker for therapy directed at autoantibodies i.e., B cells).

B- and T-lymphocytes Alemtuzumab

Alemtuzumab (Campath 1H[®]) is a recombinant humanized mAb (IgG1- κ isotype) directed at CD52 antigen that is present on the surface of most B- and T-lymphocytes, macrophages, and monocytes. After binding, alemtuzumab facilitates complement-dependent cytotoxicity, antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity, and apoptosis.⁵⁴ A single intravenous infusion results in rapid and marked lymphopenia. B-cell numbers return to/above normal in about 27 months, CD8+ T cells in 30 months, and CD4+ T cells in 60 months.⁵⁵ It has been suggested that the efficacy of this mAb in autoimmune diseases rests on a rearrangement of the lymphocyte repertoire and not solely on T cell depletion. That said, CD52 expression on mononuclear cells in CIDP patients has not been specifically researched.

In a case report, alemtuzumab administration (30 mg/daily for 5 days) to a patient with IVIG-dependent, relapsing CIDP induced a delayed (8 weeks), relatively long (16 months) clinical remission.⁵⁶ It could not be established whether the clinical efficacy of alemtuzumab correlated with suppression of any particular lymphocyte subsets, because post-treatment counts

were too low. However, a return to normal lymphocyte levels correlated with return of neuropathy clinical activity.

In a small, multicenter, uncontrolled, retrospective study, alemtuzumab was offered to seven patients with refractory, IVIG-dependent CIDP.⁵⁷ Patients received 1–2 infusions of alemtuzumab (12–30 mg/day; maximum 180 mg/course). Following treatment, the mean monthly IVIG requirement decreased by 26 %, and IVIG administration interval increased from a mean of 22 to 136 days. Response to treatment (or re-treatment) was inconsistent: prolonged remission was obtained in two patients; partial response was obtained in two patients; three patients showed no demonstrable benefit. Responding patients had a younger age at disease onset (teens), acute-onset illness (Guillain-Barré syndrome-like), and a shorter duration of disease (i.e., possibly less axonal injury). Secondary autoimmunity developed in three patients and may have been triggered by elevated serum IL-21 levels.⁵⁸ In order to help improve the prediction of alemtuzumab treatment-response, any future CIDP trials should include a close study on depletion/reconstitution of lymphocyte subsets relative to clinical response. To help improve the benefit–risk ratio, it may be necessary to identify and exclude patients predisposed to autoimmunity e.g., genetically determined high levels of IL-21 secretion.⁵⁹

Interferon Type 1

Interferons (IFNs) are extracellular protein molecules with antiviral, anti-proliferative, and immunomodulatory properties that are deemed important for maintaining homeostasis and in-host defense responses.^{60,61} If a molecule is capable of changing a pro-inflammatory cellular immune response (during active inflammation) into an anti-inflammatory response (during recovery),⁶² it should prove useful to treat autoimmune neuropathies; this was the logic behind the experimental use of IFNs type 1 in patients with CIDP.

Interferon-alpha

The method by which IFN α may improve CIDP theoretically rests on the complicated immunomodulating effect exerted via reduction of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IFN γ and tumor necrosis factor alpha [TNF α]) that take part in the process of inflammatory demyelination.

Case histories report on the benefit of IFN α therapy for refractory CIDP,^{63–65} including a 3-year-old child⁶⁶ and a pregnant woman;⁶⁷ in an HCV-infected patient, CIDP responded well to an IFN α protocol aimed against the virus.⁶⁸ The IFN α doses and schedules varied. Patients reported onset of improvement as soon as 2 days after the first infusion. Clinical recovery was documented as soon as 15 days after treatment onset. Nerve studies showed improved motor nerve conduction velocities and distal M-response amplitudes, and reduction of conduction blocks. Improvement persisted as long as 25 months after the last infusion. After exacerbation of CIDP, patients responded to IFN α dose increase or reintroduction, which suggested that improvement of neuropathy during IFN α therapy was not merely coincidental.

As a result of the positive experience in a single patient,⁶⁹ an open-label, prospective, pilot study was launched to treat 16 patients with refractory CIDP with IFN α -2a (3 mU 3x/week) for 6 weeks.⁷⁰ Nine patients improved with gains in the mean MRC and leg sensory scores, though without change of mean grip strength.

Electrophysiologic measures did not improve. IFN α therapy elevated baseline increased serum TNF α levels; therefore, it could not be proved that IFN α exerts its immunomodulatory effects on inflammatory demyelination by down-regulating pro-inflammatory cytokines (i.e., TNF α). The limitations of this study included the unblinded, uncontrolled treatment of a small number of patients for only a relatively brief period.

Another open-label study hinted at the usefulness of IFN α therapy in 12 patients with refractory CIDP.⁷¹ Follow-up periods ranged from 4 months to 8 years. Six patients showed marked and sustained improvement (Rankin scale). The onset of improvement was noted as soon as 2 to 4 days after initiating treatment. No improvement was measured in six other patients and further two patients with respiratory failure died. This small, uncontrolled study demonstrated a meaningful improvement in half the patients and suggested that IFN α therapy could be considered a potentially effective therapeutic option for otherwise intractable CIDP. In the above-mentioned nationwide Italian retrospective analysis of various immunotherapy agents in 110 patients with refractory CIDP;⁵⁰ four of 11 patients responded to IFN α therapy. The presence of axonal injury, patient age at disease onset, and disease duration proved not to be predictors of poor therapeutic response. Based on existing information, it seems reasonable to carefully consider IFN α therapy as a possible strategy in patients with CIDP who are resistant to, or intolerant of, current therapeutic strategies. IFN α may newly induce or exacerbate pre-existing autoimmunity, but indicators of such a risk have not been determined;⁷² any future study must consider autoimmunity in a risk-benefit analysis.

Interferon-beta

IFN has shown success in the management of patients with multiple sclerosis. The interest in the use of IFN β in CIDP patients was partly based on the apparent immune response similarities shared by this inflammatory neuropathy and multiple sclerosis,³¹ though the exact method of action in CIDP has not been established.³⁰ Case histories report on the benefit of IFN β -1a therapy for refractory, relapsing-remitting^{73–75} and progressive pure motor⁷⁶ CIDP. Improvement or stabilization of various measures was noted as early as 2 weeks after treatment onset. Patients suffered no relapses during long-term therapy (up to 40 months), regained or maintained functional independence and showed electrophysiologic improvement. Longer durations of treatment and follow-up periods were possible reasons for the observed efficacy of IFN β therapy.

However, in the above-mentioned Italian nationwide retrospective analysis of the effects of various immunosuppressive drugs in 110 patients with refractory CIDP;⁵⁰ none of three patients treated with IFN β responded to therapy (defined as improvement by one point on the Rankin Scale). Open-label and randomized controlled studies also report on a less-than-impressive effect of IFN β treatment in patients with refractory CIDP. In a 6-month prospective open study, four patients with refractory CIDP were treated with subcutaneous IFN β -1a (6 mU 3x/week for 1 week, then 12 mU 3x/week for 23 weeks).⁷⁷ Overall, patients demonstrated no statistically significant benefit in the chosen primary outcome measures (Neurologic Disability Scale, a functional disability scale, timed 10-metre walk test, and the Hammersmith Motor Disability Test); electrophysiologic studies showed no improvement in summed motor responses. Yet, any potential therapeutic benefit was marred by poor prognostic indicators i.e., long-standing disease that proved refractory to other treatment and that axonal loss on electrophysiologic

studies. Yet, combination treatment with IVIG (mean interval 8 weeks) resulted in significant improvement in patients who relapsed during, or did not respond to, IFN β -1a therapy and hinted at a possible synergistic treatment effect. In addition, a long-term (up to 29 months) follow-up report on the same patients seemed to underscore the impression that combination therapy of IFN β /IVIG resulted in a better outcome in patients who had not responded to either treatment alone.⁷⁸ A 6-month, multicenter, prospective, open-label study evaluated the safety and efficacy of intramuscular IFN β -1a (30 μ g/week) in 20 patients with IVIG-resistant CIDP.⁷⁹ There were no serious adverse events. Clinical disease improved in seven patients, stabilized in 10 patients, and continued to worsen in three patients. The primary efficacy endpoints (quantitative Neurological Disability Score and clinical grading scale) showed significant improvement according to the protocol analysis; however, there was no change in grip strength post-treatment. Electrophysiologic studies showed improved mean motor nerve potential areas. Improvement was independent of patient age or gender, duration of disease, and clinical form of CIDP. These encouraging results led to two placebo-controlled randomized trials. In a 3-month, randomized, double-blind, crossover study, 10 patients with refractory CIDP received subcutaneous placebo or IFN β -1a (11 μ g 3x/week for 2 weeks, then 22 μ g 3x/week for 10 weeks), followed by a 4-week wash-out period and then opposite treatment for 12 weeks.⁸⁰ Results demonstrated no significant treatment differences on any of the chosen clinical or neurophysiologic outcome measures. It was concluded that IFN β was safe but ineffective in refractory CIDP. However, any potential benefit of this cytokine may have been negatively skewed by the resistant nature of the neuropathy (e.g., irreversible axonal injury) and the relatively short duration of this low-dose treatment protocol.

The second study was a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial on 67 patients with IVIG-dependent CIDP treated with intramuscular IFN β -1a (30 μ g or 60 μ g) or placebo once or twice weekly.⁸¹ The primary outcome measure was total IVIG dose required from week 16 to 32. After 16 weeks, IVIG was discontinued, but re-initiated at relapse (>2 point worsening of Medical Research Council (MRC) sum score and 1 grade on overall disability sum score [ODSS]) or termination of study at 32 weeks. On comparing treated versus placebo groups, there was no difference in the total IVIG dose required, or in the relapse rate after discontinuing IVIG. Thus, IFN β -1a provided no significant dose reduction in IVIG-dependent CIDP. The evidence from the observational studies provides inconclusive support for the use of IFN β -1a. Randomized trials showed significant benefit and differences in design and outcome measures prevented meta-analysis.¹⁶ With further trials, it may be worth pursuing the use of IFN β -1a in the CIDP patient subgroup requiring high-dose IVIG maintenance therapy.

Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha

TNF α is a cytokine with pro-inflammatory and immune regulatory properties and thus plays significant roles in various aspects of immune system development, immune-response regulation, and T-cell-mediated tissue injury.⁸²⁻⁸⁴ The pathogenic role of TNF α in the inflammatory demyelinating neuropathies was recently reviewed.⁸⁵

Tumor Necrosis Factor-alpha Antagonists

No individual case reports have been published on the treatment of CIDP with TNF α antagonists. Limited available data have not proved that TNF α is directly involved in the pathogenesis of CIDP,³⁰ so that this cytokine may

merely be marker of an activated immune system. A single retrospective, uncontrolled study reported on the possible efficacy of etanercept therapy in 10 patients with refractory CIDP.^{86,87} Patients received 'standard' dose (25 mg subcutaneously twice weekly) etanercept. Significant improvement was determined in three patients and possible improvement in three patients, respectively, judged by manual muscle strength testing, sensory thresholds, and functional disabilities 4–6 months after starting treatment. Any benefit of treatment with etanercept was probably mediated by inhibition of the pro-inflammatory role of TNF- α in the pathogenesis of inflammatory demyelination neuropathies.^{88,89} This open-label, retrospective study has its inherent limitations and the full potential of anti-TNF- α treatment of immune-mediated neuropathies warrants further study preferably not confined only to treatment-resistant patients; however, no trials are registered. Theoretically, alteration of cytokine activity by TNF- α antagonists has a potential as an antigen-non-specific treatment approach to inflammatory demyelination of the peripheral nervous systems. Potential benefits of TNF- α blockade must be measured against drug side effects.⁹⁰⁻⁹²

Complement

Data implicate complement activation in the pathogenesis of immune-mediated myelin damage.^{30,92} Moreover, *in vitro* and *in vivo* murine models of anti-GQ1b antibody-mediated demyelinating neuropathy showed significant neuroprotective effects by inhibiting the formation of the C5b-9 membrane attack complex.⁹³⁻⁹⁶

Eculizumab

Eculizumab or h5G1.1-mAb (Soliris®) is a recombinant humanized IgG2/4 κ mAb that prevents cleavage of human complement component C5 and thus blocks the formation of C5b-9 and subsequent generation of pro-inflammatory molecules.^{97,98}

No reports on the use of this mAb in patients with CIDP exist. Of potential interest is a 14-week phase I study of eculizumab (three doses 600 mg weekly; five doses 900 mg twice-weekly) in 13 patients (10/13 with concomitant IVIG) with multifocal motor neuropathy. The mAb was deemed safe; there was no difference in the secondary outcome measure (IVIG dosing interval).⁹⁹ A small treatment effect was noted in patient-rated subjective scores and selected clinical and electrophysiologic measurements. To fully explore the potential of eculizumab, longer-term, placebo-controlled studies are necessary. Complement inhibition with eculizumab seems a theoretically attractive agent for therapeutic trials also in patients with CIDP,²⁰ because one proposed mechanism of effective IVIG treatment of CIDP includes complement binding.¹⁰⁰ In an 8-month open-label study of eculizumab in patients with CD59 deficiency, primary outcome measures will assess (among other outcome measures) whether this mAb can improve the baseline neurologic deficits or reduce the relapse rate in patients with relapsing CIDP and alter the cumulative steroid and IVIG dosage before compared with after treatment [ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT01579838]. Provisional data are thus far unknown.

Conclusion

'Standard' randomized controlled trials are difficult to apply to CIDP because: (a) there is difficulty enrolling large patient numbers in a relatively rare disease; (b) there exists no universal agreement on disease definition; (c) CIDP may not be a single disease; (d) the natural disease course varies; (e) there exists no universal agreement on treatment outcome measures;

Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyneuropathy

and (f) there exists no universal agreement on the definition of therapeutic failure. Moreover, the full potential of investigational drugs will be unlikely realized if studies are restricted only to refractive patients (i.e., with indeterminate component of axonal injury), thus inadvertently creating a negative selection bias. The success rate of any future randomized controlled studies with biological agents could be potentially improved by: (a) enrolling sufficient patient numbers from multiple trial centers; (b) applying clear definitions of patient selection that are both disease specific

and sensitive; (c) using validated outcome measures to establish therapeutic response; (d) ensuring study periods that reflect the chronic nature of disease; (e) enrolling patients with earlier, perhaps more responsive phases of disease; and (f) developing and applying molecular biological techniques to discover/ascertain biological markers that help specify appropriate targeted immunotherapy for specific CIDP patient subgroups. Finally, the viability of biological therapeutics in the modern health care environment will have to consider and apply risk-benefit and cost-benefit analyses. ■

- Koller H, Kieseier BC, Jander S, Hartung HP. Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *N Engl J Med*, 2005;352:1343-56.
- Laughlin RS, Dyck PJ, Melton LJ, et al. Incidence and prevalence of CIDP and the association with diabetes mellitus. *Neurology*, 2009;73:39-45.
- Rajabally YA, Simpson BS, Beri S, et al. Epidemiologic variability of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy with different diagnostic criteria: a study of a UK population. *Muscle Nerve*, 2009;39:432-8.
- Brannagan TH. Current diagnosis of CIDP: the need for biomarkers. *J Peripher Nerv Syst*, 2011;16(Suppl.):3-13.
- Bromberg MB. Review of the evolution of electrodiagnostic criteria for chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Muscle Nerve*, 2011;43:780-94.
- Kieseier BC, Kiefer R, Gold R, et al. Advances in understanding and treatment of immune-mediated disorders of the peripheral nervous system. *Muscle Nerve*, 2004;30:131-56.
- Hughes RA, Allen D, Makowska A, Gregson NA. Pathogenesis of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *J Peripher Nerv Syst*, 2006;11:30-46.
- Van Doorn PA, Brand A, Strengers PF, et al. High-dose intravenous immunoglobulin treatment in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over study. *Neurology*, 1990;40:209-12.
- Hahn AF, Bolton CF, Zochodne D, Feasby TE. Intravenous immunoglobulin treatment chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy: a double-blind, placebo-controlled, cross-over study. *Brain*, 1996;119:1067-77.
- Mendell JR, Barohn RJ, Freimer ML, et al. Randomized controlled trial of IVig in untreated chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Neurology*, 2001;56:445-9.
- Hughes RA, Donofrio P, Brill V, et al. Intravenous immunoglobulin (10% caprylate-chromatography purified) for the treatment of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy (ICE study): a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Neurol*, 2008;7:136-44.
- Dyck PJ, Daube J, O'Brien P, et al. Plasma exchange in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *N Engl J Med*, 1986;314:461-5.
- Hahn AF, Bolton CF, Pillay N, et al. Plasma-exchange therapy in chronic demyelinating polyneuropathy: a double-blind, sham-controlled, cross-over study. *Brain*, 1996;119:1055-66.
- Dyck PJ, O'Brien PC, Oviatt KF, et al. Prednisone improves chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy more than no treatment. *Ann Neurol*, 1982;11:136-41.
- Hughes RA, Swan AV, van Doorn PA. Cytotoxic drugs and interferon's for chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2004;4:CD003280.
- Mahdi-Rogers M, Swan AV, van Doorn PA, Hughes RA. Immunomodulatory treatment other than corticosteroids, immunoglobulin and plasma exchange for chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*, 2010;11:CD003280.
- Barohn RJ, Kissel JT, Warmolts JR, Mendell JR. Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. Clinical characteristics, course, and recommendations for diagnostic criteria. *Arch Neurol*, 1989;46:878-84.
- Gorson KC, Allam G, Ropper AH. Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy: clinical features and response to treatment in 67 consecutive patients with and without monoclonal gammopathy. *Neurology*, 1997;48:321-8.
- Argyriou AA. Molecularly targeted therapies for dysimmune neuropathies. *Mol Med*, 2009;15:283-7.
- Dalakas MC. Clinical trials in CIDP and chronic autoimmune demyelinating polyneuropathies. *J Peripher Nerv Syst*, 2012;17(Suppl.):34-9.
- Merkies IS, Lauria G. 131st ENMC international workshop: selection of outcome measures for peripheral neuropathy clinical trials 10-12 December 2004, Naarden, the Netherlands. *Neuromuscul Disord*, 2006;16:149-56.
- Hartung HP, Lehmann HC, Willison HG. Peripheral neuropathies: establishing common clinical research standards for CIDP. *Nat Rev Neurol*, 2011;7:250-1.
- Dalakas MC. Potential biomarkers for monitoring therapeutic response in patients with CIDP. *J Peripher Nerv Syst*, 2011;16(Suppl.):63-7.
- Finsterer J. Treatment of immune-mediated, dysimmune neuropathies. *Acta Neurol Scand*, 2005;112:115-25.
- Nobile-Orazio E. Treatment of dysimmune neuropathies. *J Neurol*, 2005;252:385-95.
- Brannagan TH. Current treatments of chronic immune-mediated demyelinating polyneuropathies. *Muscle Nerve*, 2009;39:563-78.
- Kuitwaard K, van Doorn PA. Newer therapeutic options for chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Drugs*, 2009;69:987-1001.
- Gorson KC. An update on the management of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord*, 2012;5:359-73.
- Stübgen JP. Drug-induced dysimmune demyelinating neuropathies. *J Neurol Sci*, 2011;307:1-8.
- Stübgen JP. A review on the use of biological agents for chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *J Neurol Sci*, 2011;307:1-9.
- Rice GP, Hartung HP, Calabresi PA. Anti-alpha 4 integrin therapy for multiple sclerosis: mechanism and rationale. *Neurology*, 2005;64:1336-42.
- Leussink VI, Zettl U, Jander S, et al. Blockade of signaling via the very late antigen (VLA-4) and its counterligand vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1) causes increased T cell apoptosis in experimental autoimmune neuritis. *Acta Neuropathol*, 2002;103:131-6.
- Wolf C, Menget T, Stenner MP, et al. Natalizumab treatment in a patient with chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Arch Neurol*, 2010;67:881-3.
- Kieseier BC, Lehmann HC, Meyer zu Horste G. Autoimmune diseases of the peripheral nervous system. *Autoimmun Rev*, 2012;11:191-5.
- Maloney DG, Grillo-Lopez AJ, White CA, et al. IDEC-C2B8 (rituximab) anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody therapy in patients with relapsed low-grade non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Blood*, 1997;90:2188-95.
- Rossignol E, D'Anjou G, Lapointe N, et al. Evolution and treatment of childhood chronic inflammatory polyneuropathy. *Pediatr Neurol*, 2007;36:88-94.
- D'Amico A, Catteruccia M, De Benedetti F, et al. Rituximab in a childhood-onset idiopathic refractory chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol*, 2012;16:301-3.
- Sadnicka A, Reilly MM, Mummery C, et al. Rituximab in the treatment of three coexistent neurological autoimmune diseases: inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy, Morvan syndrome and myasthenia gravis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, 2011;82:230-2.
- Bodley-Scott DD. Chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy responding to rituximab. *Prac Neurol*, 2008;5:242-5.
- Kasamon YL, Nguyen TN, Chan JA, Nascimento AF. EBV-associated lymphoma and chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy in an adult without overt immunodeficiency. *Am J Hematol*, 2002;69:289-93.
- Briani C, Zara G, Zambello R, et al. Rituximab-responsive CIDP. *Eur J Neurol*, 2004;11:788.
- Kilidireas C, Anagnostopoulos A, Karandreas N, et al. Rituximab therapy in monoclonal IgM-related neuropathies. *Leuk Lymphoma*, 2006;47:859-64.
- De Benedetti L, Franciotta D, Beronio A, et al. Rituximab efficacy in CIDP associated with idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura. *Muscle Nerve*, 2008;38:1076-7.
- Münch C, Anagnostou P, Meyer R, Haas J. Rituximab in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy associated with diabetes mellitus. *J Neurol Sci*, 2007;256:100-2.
- Knecht H, Baumberger M, Tobon A, Steck A. Sustained remission of CIDP associated with Evans syndrome. *Neurology*, 2004;63:730-2.
- Gono T, Matsuda M, Shimajima Y, et al. Rituximab therapy in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy with anti-SGPG IgM antibody. *J Clin Neurosci*, 2006;13:683-7.
- Siddiqui K, Cahalane E, Keogan M, Hardiman O. Chronic ataxic neuropathy with cold agglutinins: atypical phenotype and response to anti-CD20 antibodies. *Neurology*, 2003;61:1307-8.
- Gorson KC, Natarajan N, Ropper AH, Weinstein R. Rituximab treatment in patients with IVig-dependent immune polyneuropathy: a prospective pilot study. *Muscle Nerve*, 2007;35:66-9.
- Lunn MPT. Rituximab usage in CIDP: a retrospective e-mail based data collection. *J Peripher Nerv Syst*, 2009;14(Suppl.):92.
- Cocito D, Grimaldi S, Paolasso I, et al. Immunosuppressive treatment in refractory chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy: a nationwide retrospective analysis. *Eur J Neurol*, 2011;18:1417-21.
- De Benedetti L, Briani C, Franciotta D, et al. Rituximab in patients with chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy: a report of 13 cases and review of the literature. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, 2011;82:306-8.
- De Benedetti L, Zardini E, Briani C, et al. B-cell-activating factor in rituximab-treated patients with anti-MAG polyneuropathy. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, 2011;82:1291-4.
- Nimmerjahn F, Lünemann JD. Expression and function of inhibitory Fcγ-receptor in CIDP. *J Peripher Nerv Syst*, 2011;16(Suppl. 1):41-4.
- Bielekova B, Bcker BL. Monoclonal antibodies in MS: mechanisms of action. *Neurology*, 2010;74(Suppl.):S31-S40.
- Coles A, Deans J, Compston A. Campath-1H treatment of multiple sclerosis: lessons from the bedside for the bench. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg*, 2004;106:270-4.
- Hirst C, Raasch S, Llewelyn G, Robertson N. Remission of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy after alemtuzumab (Campath 1H). *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, 2006;77:800-2.
- Marsh EA, Hirst CL, Llewelyn JG, et al. Alemtuzumab in the treatment of IVIG-dependent chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *J Neurol*, 2010;257:913-9.
- Jones JL, Phuach CL, Cox AL, et al. IL-21 drives secondary autoimmunity in patients with multiple sclerosis following therapeutic lymphocyte depletion with alemtuzumab (Campath-1H). *J Clin Invest*, 2009;119:2052-61.
- Brannagan TH, Patterson SK, Alemtuzumab: the future of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy treatment? *Expert Rev Clin Immunol*, 2010;6:319-21.
- Dushenko GM. Interferon α: biology, pharmacology and therapy for chronic viral hepatitis. In Thomson AW, Lotze MT (eds). *The Cytokine Handbook*. Amsterdam: Academic Press, 2003;1233-54.
- Mak TW, Saunders ME. *The immune response. Basic and clinical principles*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 2006;469-74.
- Creange A. A role for interferon-beta in Guillain-Barré syndrome? *BioDrugs*, 2000;14:1-11.
- Engel WK, Adomato BT. Long-term interferon alpha-2A (α) benefits otherwise-intractable chronic fever-responsive Schwannian immune neuropathy (FR-SIN). *Neurology*, 1992;42(Suppl. 3):467.
- Pavesi G, Cattaneo L, Marbini A, et al. Long-term efficacy of interferon-α in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *J Neurol*, 2002;249:777-9.
- Ueda M, Ota K, Takeuchi M, et al. Treatment with interferon-alpha 2a in a patient with chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Rinsho Shinkeigaku*, 2000;40:155-9.
- Sabatelli M, Mignogna T, Lippi G, et al. Interferon-α may benefit steroid unresponsive chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, 1995;58:638-9.
- Mancuso A, Ardita FV, Leonardi J, Scuderi M. Interferon-α-2a therapy and pregnancy. Report of a case of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*, 1998;77:869-70.
- Harada H, Ohkoshi N, Fujita Y, et al. Clinical improvement following interferon-α alone as an initial treatment in CIDP. *Muscle Nerve*, 2000;23:295-6.
- Gorson KC, Allam G, Simovic D, Ropper AH. Improvement following interferon-alpha 2a in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Neurology*, 1997;48:777-80.
- Gorson KC, Ropper AH, Clark BD, et al. Treatment of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy with interferon-alpha 2a. *Neurology*, 1998;50:84-7.
- Sabatelli M, Quaranta L, Madia F, et al. Interferon alpha may benefit CIDP patients refractory to standard therapies: description of long-term results on 12 patients. *J Peripher Nerv Syst*, 2001;6:56.
- Dumoulin FL, Leifeld L, Sauerbruch T, Spengler U. Autoimmunity induced by interferon-alpha therapy for chronic viral hepatitis. *Biomed Pharmacother*, 1999;53:242-54.
- Choudhary PP, Thompson N, Hughes RA. Improvement following interferon beta in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *J Neurol*, 1995;242:252-3.
- Cocco E, Mamusa E, Carboni N, et al. Treatment of refractory chronic demyelinating polyneuropathy with interferon β1b. *J Neurol*, 2005;252:1420-2.
- Villa AM, Garcea Q, Di Egidio M, et al. Interferon beta-1a in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy: a case report. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr*, 2004;62:892-4.
- Martina IS, van Doorn PA, Schmitz PI, et al. Chronic motor neuropathies: response to interferon-β1a after failure of conventional therapies. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, 1999;66:197-201.
- Kuntzer T, Radzwill AJ, Lettry-Trouillat R, et al. Interferon-beta 1a in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy. *Neurology*, 1999;53:1364-5.
- Radzwill AJ, Kuntzer T, Fuhr P, Steck AJ. Long term follow up in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) under interferon beta-1a (IFN-β1a) and intravenous immunoglobulin (IVig). *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*, 2001;70:273.
- Vallat JM, Hahn AF, Léger JM, et al. Interferon beta-1a as an investigational treatment for CIDP. *Neurology*, 2003;60(Suppl.):S23-S8.
- Hadden RD, Sharrack B, Bensa S, et al. Randomized trial of interferon beta-1a in chronic inflammatory polyradiculoneuropathy. *Neurology*, 1999;53:57-61.

81. Hughes RA, Gorson KC, Cros D, et al., Intramuscular interferon beta-1a in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy, *Neurology*, 2010;74:651-7.
82. Cope A, Ettinger R, McDevitt H, The role of TNF- α and related cytokines in the development and function of the autoreactive T-cell repertoire, *Res Immunol*, 1997;148:307-12.
83. Cope AP, Liblau RS, Yang XD, et al., Chronic tumor necrosis factor alters T cell responses by attenuating T cell receptor signaling, *J Exp Med*, 1997;185:1573-84.
84. Kollias G, Douni E, Kassiotis G, Kontoyiannis D, The role of tumor necrosis factor and receptors in models of multi-organ inflammation, rheumatoid arthritis, multiple sclerosis, and inflammatory bowel disease, *Ann Rheum Dis*, 1999;58(Suppl.):32-9.
85. Stübgen JP, Tumor necrosis factor- α antagonists and neuropathy, *Muscle Nerve*, 2008;37:281-92.
86. Latov N, Sherman WH, Improvement with etanercept (Enbrel) in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy, *Ann Neurol*, 2000;48:473.
87. Chin RL, Sherman WH, Sander HW, et al., Etanercept (Enbrel) therapy for chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy, *J Neurol Sci*, 2003;210:19-21.
88. Hartung HP, Jung S, Stoll K, et al., The role of inflammatory mediators in demyelinating disorders of the CNS and PNS, *J Neuroimmunol*, 1992;40:197-210.
89. Hartung HP, Immune-mediated demyelination, *Ann Neurol*, 1993;33:563-7.
90. Ramos-Casals M, Roberto-Perez-Alvarez, Diaz-Lagares C, et al., Autoimmune diseases induced by biological agents: a double-edged sword?, *Autoimmun Rev*, 2010;9:188-93.
91. Bongartz T, Sutton AJ, Sweeting MJ, et al., Anti-TNF antibody therapy in rheumatoid arthritis and the risk of serious infections and malignancies: systematic review and meta-analysis of rare harmful effects in randomized controlled trials, *JAMA*, 2006;295:2275-85.
92. Ramos-Casals M, Brito-Zeron P, Muñoz S, et al., Autoimmune diseases induced by TNF-targeted therapies: analysis of 233 cases, *Medicine*, 2007;86:242-51.
93. Willison HJ, Halstead SK, Beveridge E, et al., The role of complement and complement regulators in mediating motor nerve terminal injury in murine models of Guillain-Barré syndrome, *J Neuroimmunol*, 2008;201:172-82.
94. Halstead SK, Humphreys PD, Goodfellow JA, et al., Complement inhibition abrogates nerve terminal injury in Miller Fisher syndrome, *Ann Neurol*, 2005;58:203-10.
95. Halstead SK, Humphreys PD, Zitman FM, et al., C5 inhibitor rE576 protects against neural injury in an *in vitro* mouse model of Miller Fisher syndrome, *J Peripher Nerv Syst*, 2008;13:228-35.
96. Halstead SK, Zitman FMP, Humphreys PD, et al., Eculizumab prevents anti-ganglioside antibody-mediated neuropathy in a murine model, *Brain*, 2008;131:1197-208.
97. Thomas TC, Rollins SA, Rother RP, et al., Inhibition of complement activity by humanized anti-C5 antibody and single-chain Fv, *Mol Immunol*, 1996;33:1389-401.
98. Kaplan M, 2002 Eculizumab (Alexion), *Curr Opin Investig Drugs*, 2002;3:1017-23.
99. Fitzpatrick AM, Mann CA, Barry S, et al., An open label clinical trial of complement inhibition in multifocal motor neuropathy, *J Peripher Nerv Syst*, 2011;16:84-91.
100. Dalakas MC, Mechanisms of action of IVIg and therapeutic considerations in the treatment of acute and chronic demyelinating neuropathies, *Neurology*, 2002;59(Suppl. 6):S13-21.