Dystonia is characterised by involuntary muscle contractions causing twisting movements and abnormal postures. It is clinically and aetiologically heterogeneous and is most usefully classified by aetiology as either primary or secondary dystonia. In primary dystonias, dystonia is the only neurological sign (except for tremor), and there is no evidence of an acquired cause or of any neurodegenerative process. In secondary dystonias, dystonia occurs together with other neurological symptoms and is due to acquired causes or neurodegenerative disease. An intermediary category is termed ‘dystonia plus syndromes,’ and consists of disorders in which there is no acquired aetiology or neurodegeneration, but in which there are neurologic symptoms other than dystonia. This category includes dopa-responsive dystonia (DRD/DYT5), myoclonus dystonia (MD/DYT11) and rapid-onset dystonia-parkinsonism (RDP/DYT12) (see Table 1). Primary dystonia is subdivided into early-onset and adult-onset forms. Early-onset primary dystonias typically initially affect a limb and subsequently spread, frequently becoming generalised. Genes have been identified in two forms of early-onset primary dystonia: DYT1 and DYT6. Late-onset primary dystonia typically occurs in either cervical, cranial or brachial muscles, and remains focal or segmental. Adult-onset focal dystonia (with cervical dystonia as the most common form) is far more common than early-onset primary dystonia.

Although the pathophysiology of dystonia remains incompletely understood, advances in two major areas of research over the past two decades have led to important insights into mechanisms of dystonia.

First, with the identification of dystonia genes, investigations using cellular and animal models of dystonia have become possible. Second, advances in functional neuroimaging have led to the possibility of identification of distinct functional, anatomical and neurochemical abnormalities in dystonia patients. Dystonia is currently conceptualised as a neurofunctional disorder characterised by alterations at various levels and multiple points along the sensorimotor circuit. There are multiple causes of these disruptions, and lesions along different points in interconnected pathways can yield similar motor dysfunction. The existence of dystonia endophenotypes in genetic forms of dystonia suggests that it may be a ‘second hit’ disorder, in which genetically predisposed brains can be thrown into an unbalanced dystonic state by environmental or genetic factors.

Ultimately, a more complete understanding of the pathophysiology of dystonia should lead to better, more rational, targeted therapies.

**Abstract**
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Table 1: Classification of Dystonia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>I.</th>
<th>Primary Dystonia (Formerly Idiopathic): Dystonia is the Only Symptom; No Neurodegeneration or Acquired Aetiology is Present</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Early Onset: Onset in Childhood or Adolescence, Usually Limb Onset with Spread to Other Regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DYT1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Other genes not yet identified (e.g. autosomal recessive DYT2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Mixed Phenotype: Primarily Adolescent and Early Adult Onset, Although also with Later Onset, Usually with Onset in Neck, Cranial Muscles or Arm, with Spread to Other Regions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DYT6 (THAP1): Mennonite kindreds and other families of European ancestry; dystathria is a common feature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DYT13 (gene not identified): one Italian family with segmental cervical and cranial muscle involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DYT17 (gene not identified): one Lebanese family</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Adult Onset (&gt;26 Years of Age), Usually Onset in Neck, Cranial Muscles, or One Arm; Usually Remains Focal or Segmental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DYT17 (gene not identified): in a German family with torticollis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Other genes/causes not yet identified</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II.</th>
<th>Secondary Dystonia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Dystonia-Plus Syndromes: Inherited Syndromes with No Evidence of Neurodegeneration; Features Other Than Dystonia are Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dopa-responsive dystonia (DRD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Autosomal dominant form due to guanosine triphosphate cyclohydrolase I (GCH1) mutations (DYT5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Autosomal recessive forms due to mutations in tyrosine hydroxylase (TH), 6-pyruvoyltetrahydropterin synthase (6-PTPS), sepiapterin reductase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Myoclonus dystonia (MD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Many familial cases are due to epsilon-sarcoglycan (SGCE) mutations (DYT11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- DYT15: locus on chromosome 18 in one family; gene not identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rapid-onset dystonia-parkinsonism (RDP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- ATP1A3 mutations (DYT12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Inherited Disorders with Dystonia Associated with Neurodegeneration and Other Neurologic Symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autosomal dominant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Huntington’s disease (HD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Machado-Joseph’s disease/spinocerebellar ataxia type 3 (SCA3) disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other SCA subtypes (e.g. SCA2, 6, 17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Familial basal ganglia calcifications (Fahr’s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dentatorubral-pallidoluysian atrophy (DRPLA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neuroferritinopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autosomal recessive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Juvenile Parkinsonism (Parkin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wilson’s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glutaric acidemia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>III.</th>
<th>Dystonia as a Feature of Other Movement Disorders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Dystonia Due to Degenerative Parkinsonian Disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parkinson’s disease (PD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Multisystem atrophy (MSA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cortico-basal-ganglionic degeneration (CBGD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Paroxysmal Dyskinesia Disorders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paroxysmal kinesigenic dyskinesia (PKD) (DYT10/episodic kinesigenic dyskinesia 1, EKD2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paroxysmal nonkinesigenic dyskinesia (PNKD) (DYT8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Choreoathetosis/spasticity, episodic (CSE) (DYT9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Tics (Dystonic tics)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other than the basal ganglia can lead to secondary dystonia, have led to the concept of dystonia as a neuro-functional disorder, i.e. a disorder characterised by abnormal connectivity that may occur in a structurally normal appearing brain. Dystonia symptoms may occur, then, due to developmental abnormalities resulting in synaptic dysfunction or increased plasticity of motor circuits; dystonia is therefore considered to be a motor system disorder rather than a disease of a particular motor structure. Accordingly, studies using various investigative tools have yielded evidence of dysfunction in almost every region of the central nervous system (CNS) involved in motor control and sensorimotor integration, including cortex, brainstem, cerebellum and spinal cord.4,6

Genetic and Molecular Mechanisms

Primary Dystonias

Early-onset Torsion Dystonia

Early-onset torsion dystonia (DYT1) is a childhood-onset disease with a mean age of onset of 12 years and onset before age 26 years in almost all clinically ascertained cases, although onset up to age 64 years has been reported. It typically first affects a limb and in
approximately 65% of patients progresses over 5–10 years to
generalised or multifocal dystonia. It does not commonly affect
cranial muscles. It is caused by an in-frame GAG deletion in exon 5 of
the DYT1 (TOR1A) gene, which results in the loss of a glutamic acid
residue in the C-terminal region of the protein. The mutation is
inherited in an autosomal dominant pattern with reduced penetrance
of approximately 30%. The reason for reduced penetrance is not
known, but it is likely a result of both genetic and environmental
factors. Risch et al. identified the first evidence of an intragenic
modifier of DYT1 dystonia penetrance, demonstrating a protective
effect of the 216H allele in trans with the GAG deletion.7

The precise role of torsinA, the protein encoded by the DYT1 gene,
remains unknown. It is a member of the AAA+ family of proteins
(ATPases associated with a variety of cellular activities), chaperone
proteins that mediate conformational changes in target proteins and
perform a variety of cellular functions. TorsinA is widely distributed
in the brain, with intense expression in the substantia nigra dopamine
neurons, cerebellar Purkinje cells, thalamus, globus pallidus,
hippocampus and cortex.8,9 It is restricted to neurons in the brain and
in the normal state it localises to the lumen of the endoplasmic
reticulum. Current evidence, including studies using DYT1 patient
fibroblasts, indicates that mutant torsinA likely leads to dysfunction
of the endoplasmic reticulum and nuclear envelope, resulting in
abnormalities in nucleo–cytoskeletal connections and/or protein
processing through the secretory pathway.10

Early-onset Torsion Dystonia Animal Models
Two types of mouse models of DYT1 have been produced:
 heterozygous knock-in mice, in which the GAG mutation is introduced
into the mouse genome and over-expressed via genetic promoters. Neither of
these models has overt dystonic features, although they both manifest
more subtle motor abnormalities, including hyperactivity and deficits
in beam walking.11,12 Another transgenic mouse model has impaired
motor-learning in a similar fashion to13 motor sequence learning
deficits found in DYT1 non-manifesting carriers.14 Mice that are either
homozygous knock-in or knock-out for the mutation die at birth,
whereas a knock-down mouse model in which expression of torsinA
is reduced has a phenotype similar to the heterozygous knock-in
mice.15 This suggests that the pathogenic deletion produces a loss of
function of torsinA. The loss of function may be the result of a
dominant negative effect, in which the mutant protein interferes with
the wild-type protein.

DYT6 Dystonia
DYT6 dystonia is characterised by a relatively early but broad age of
onset (mean 16 years, range five to 49 years). The body regions first
affected include the arm, cranial muscles (larynx, tongue and facial
muscles) and neck. In contrast to DYT1, onset at the leg is uncommon.
The dystonia usually progresses, but the degree of progression is
variable. For most patients with DYT6 dystonia, disability is due to
cranial and cervical dystonia, including significant speech difficulties.
As in DYT1, DYT6 is inherited in an autosomal dominant pattern with
reduced penetrance. The DYT6 gene, THAP1, is the most recently
identified dystonia gene.16 The initial report was of two mutations in the
THAP1 gene causing DYT6 dystonia in five families. Subsequent
genetic screening studies in families with early-onset, non-DYT6,
non-focal primary dystonia identified 11 additional THAP1 mutations in
families of diverse ancestries, suggesting that mutations in THAP1 may
underlie a substantial proportion of dystonia in early-onset non-DYT1
families, particularly in those with affected cranial muscles.17,18 The
THAP1 protein is a sequence-specific DNA binding factor that regulates
cell proliferation through modulation of target genes. Mutated THAP1
may disrupt DNA binding, resulting in transcriptional dysregulation,
although how this disrupts brain function leading to dystonia remains
unknown. Determining the specific pathogenic mechanisms of THAP1
mutations and their import in other dystonia populations are important
future research goals.19

Dystonia Plus Syndromes
Dopa-responsive Dystonia
The classic form of dopa-responsive dystonia (DRD/DYT5) is an
early-onset dystonia presenting in childhood (five to six years of age)
with dystonia of the lower extremities, and a diurnal pattern to the
symptoms. Arm dystonia, hyperreflexia and parkinsonism are other
common features. The hallmark feature of this disorder is a dramatic
and sustained resolution of dystonia symptoms in response to
low-dose levodopa therapy.20 The autosomal dominant form of DRD is
caused by mutations in the GCH1 gene. GCH1 is required for the
synthesis of tetrahydrobiopterin, an essential cofactor for tyrosine
hydroxylase, which is the rate-limiting enzyme in dopamine synthesis.
Penetrance is incomplete and is gender related, with females
manifesting dystonia two to four times more frequently than males.21
Over 100 different GCH1 mutations have been identified,22 but GCH1
mutations are not found in approximately 25% of patients with DRD.23
Recessive genetic causes account for some of the mutation negative
cases, but a small proportion of DRD cases remain unexplained.24

There are several autosomal recessive forms of DRD to be found,
which are due to varying mutations in genes encoding other enzymes
involved in dopamine synthesis, including tyrosine hydroxylase (TH),25
6-pyruvoyltetrahydropterin synthase (6-PTPS)26 and sepiapterin
reductase.27 Typically, the clinical picture in these conditions is
different from GCH1 DRD, with infantile-onset severe neurologic
symptoms, including hypotonia, severe bradykinesia, drooling, ptosis,
miosis, oculogyria, cognitive impairment and seizures.28 Another
important autosomal-recessive cause of the DRD phenotype are
mutations in the ‘juvenile’ parkinsonism parkin gene.29,30,31 Generally
the presence of early prominent parkinsonism and severe dyskinasias
favours parkin mutations.

Myoclonus Dystonia
Myoclonus dystonia (MD) (DYT11 and DYT15) is characterised by
prominent early-onset myoclonus with or without dystonia. Symptom
onset is typically in the first or second decade, and symptoms tend to
plateau in adulthood. The neck and arms are the most commonly
involved sites, followed by the trunk and bulbar muscles and, least
commonly, the legs.26 The myoclonus may improve dramatically with
alcohol. Psychiatric symptoms, including anxiety, depression and
obsessive-compulsive disorder appear to be increased in MD family
members.24 MD is caused by dominantly inherited mutations in
epislon-sarcoglycan (SGCE). All forms of SGCE mutations have been
identified, including missense, nonsense, deletions and insertions.
The gene is maternally imprinted, so most individuals who inherit the
gene from their mother are usually unaffected. SGCE is one of five
known members of the sarcoglycan gene family, which encode
components of the dystrophin-glycoprotein complex (DGC). Recessive
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mutations in the other sarcoglycan genes cause various forms of muscular dystrophy, none of which include dystonia (and patients with MD do not have muscular dystrophy). SGCE mutations do not account for all familial MD and are probably not responsible for most sporadic MD cases. Only about 50% of those with a family history and 10–15% of sporadic cases are found to have mutations (Raymond and Ozelius 2009, gene clinics update). One other gene locus for MD has been mapped to chromosome 18p in one family (DYT15).31

Rapid-onset Dystonia-Parkinsonism

RDP (DYT12) is a rare disorder that is characterised by both dystonia and parkinsonism. It is usually sudden and rapid in onset, evolving over hours to days. The disorder usually begins in childhood or early adulthood, and can sometimes be associated with environmental triggers of emotional or physical stress. Motor features may include dystonia–parkinsonism–hyperreflexia or dystonia alone. The dystonia is characterised by prominent bulbar features of dysarthria and facial grimacing. Limb dystonia is usually tonic, with relatively sustained limb posturing. The parkinsonian features of bradykinesia, with waxy, effortful movements and postural instability are prominent. Seizures, depression and social phobia have also been reported. After the initial onset or period of worsening, symptoms tend to plateau or can sometimes improve and, occasionally, after a more insidious onset there may be a sudden worsening.32,33 The gene has been identified as ATP1A3, which codes for the sodium potassium transporting ATPase alpha-3 chain, a catalytic subunit of the sodium-potassium pump.34 Inheritance is autosomal dominant with normal penetrance, and de novo mutations have been observed. At least six missense mutations have been identified; structural modeling and cell studies indicate that they are loss-of-function mutations that impair enzyme activity or stability. ATP1A3 is expressed in neurons in the CNS although it is found in peripheral cell types as well. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) homovanillic acid (HVA), a dopamine metabolite, is reduced in some patients, but neuroimaging and pathology do not suggest nigral degeneration, and RDP is generally refractory to treatment, including levodopa.

Neuropathologic Mechanisms – Impaired Inhibition, Abnormal Sensory Processing and Abnormal Plasticity

In conjunction with investigations into the cellular and molecular mechanisms involved in genetic forms of dystonia, clinical studies in patients with various forms of dystonia and in non-manifesting gene carriers have explored a variety of neurophysiologic abnormalities in dystonia. The primary neurophysiologic mechanisms considered to be important in dystonia pathogenesis include decreased cortical inhibition, increased cortical excitability, abnormal sensory processing and maladaptive cortical plasticity. Evidence of these abnormalities has been demonstrated across various levels of the sensorimotor circuit.

A role for abnormal sensorimotor processing and maladaptive cortical plasticity in dystonia pathogenesis is suggested by several clinical phenomena in which dystonia occurs (or, in the case of sensory tricks, improves) in association with an alteration or disturbance in sensorimotor feedback. Examples include occurrences of task-specific dystonias such as writer’s cramp and musician’s dystonia in the setting of highly repetitive motor tasks; peripheral trauma causing dystonia at the affected body part; blepharospasm occurring after dry eyes or eye irritation and the phenomenon of sensory tricks improving dystonia symptoms. Furthermore, in studies on monkeys, highly repetitive and stressful hand movements led to abnormal hand movements similar to dystonia and to degradation of the receptive fields corresponding to the hands in the primary sensory cortex.35,36

Early neurophysiologic investigations of sensorimotor processing in dystonia that took place included electroencephalographic (EEG) and magnetoencephalographic (MEG) studies of evoked responses in the somatosensory cortex of patients with focal hand dystonia, which found a less segregated representation of the individual digits in the somatosensory homunculus in these patients compared with non-dystonic subjects.37,38 Studies of sensory processing in dystonia patients using spatial discrimination thresholds (SDT) or temporal discrimination thresholds (TDT) (tests of discrimination between two close-interval sensory stimuli) have found abnormal SDTs and TDTs in patients with adult-onset sporadic primary dystonia and in their unaffected relatives.39,40 Notably, a recent study comparing SDT and TDT as potential endophenotypes found that TDT was a much more reliable measurement.40

‘Double pulse’ repetitive transmagnetic stimulation (rTMS) studies have demonstrated evidence of decreased cortical inhibition and increased excitability in various forms of dystonia, including both DYT1 dystonia patients and DYT1 non-manifesting carriers,41 and in the hand motor cortex in both focal hand dystonia patients as well as in patients with blepharospasm.42 Interestingly, in two TMS studies in patients with psychogenic dystonia, the abnormalities of cortical inhibition seen in patients with organic dystonia were also seen in the psychogenic dystonia patients.43,44 rTMS studies are also used as probes of cortical plasticity in dystonia. In these studies, conditioning protocols are used that produce long-term changes in cortical excitability, i.e. either decreased or increased excitability, depending on the frequency of the repetitive pulses. Motor excitability is assessed by measuring the electromyography (EMG) response to a standard single TMS pulse before and at various times after the plasticity-inducing protocol. These studies have found evidence supportive of aberrant plasticity in patients with various forms of dystonia, including focal hand dystonia and in DYT1 manifesting and non-manifesting carriers.45,46 For example, in a paired associative stimulation (PAS) protocol, a stimulus to the median nerve is paired with a subsequent TMS pulse to the contralateral hand motor cortex. With this protocol, healthy subjects have normal facilitation in the median-innervated abductor pollicis brevis (APB) muscle and no facilitation in the ulnar-innervated first dorsal interosseus (FDI) muscle. By contrast, patients with focal hand dystonia have enhanced facilitation in both the APB as well as the ulnar innervated FDI,47,48 demonstrating a loss of topographic specificity. In a TMS study examining cortical inhibition and cortical plasticity in psychogenic dystonia, abnormalities of cortical inhibition were confirmed in the psychogenic patients, but only patients with organic dystonia had abnormal plasticity.49

Functional and Anatomic Motor Circuit Abnormalities

Functional imaging studies have extended the findings of neurophysiologic studies by delineating patterns of metabolic and microstructural abnormalities in dystonia patients and non-manifesting gene carriers. The abnormalities found in these studies point to defects in connectivity in both the cortico–striato–pallido–thalamocortical and cerebello–thalamocortical pathways. In early studies using fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) imaging,
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Eidelberg and colleagues identified disease-specific patterns of co-varying metabolic activity, first in patients with sporadic dystonia and then in both manifesting and non-manifesting DYT1 gene carriers. These patients expressed an abnormal metabolic brain network characterised by relative increases in the posterior putamen/globus pallidus, cerebellum and supplementary motor area (SMA). Studies in manifesting and non-manifesting DYT6 carriers found a somewhat different pattern of abnormalities, with relative reductions in the putamen and cerebellum and in the upper brainstem extending into the thalamus. Although the trait-related metabolic abnormalities (i.e. the abnormalities seen in both non-manifesting and manifesting gene carriers) differed across genotypes, all patients with dystonia showed relative metabolic increases in the pre-SMA and parietal association regions regardless of genotype or of heterogeneous clinical manifestations. More recent studies have identified a more distinct metabolic pattern related to clinical penetrance, characterised by relative increases in the pre-SMA and parietal association cortices and relative reductions in the inferior cerebellum, brainstem and ventral thalamus. This pattern distinguished manifesting from non-manifesting carriers across genotypes, and supports the notion of an important role for sensorimotor dysfunction in the clinical penetrance of dystonia because the cortical areas involved are those associated with sensory processing.

Further support for maladaptive sensorimotor processing is provided by oxygen-labeled water PET studies in DYT1 gene carriers, in which testing was performed in two scenarios: one assessed brain activation during a simple motor task and the other assessed activation in a non-motor audio-visual setting. Not surprisingly, differences were noted between manifesting and non-manifesting carriers. In the non-motor condition, both had abnormal increases in network activity, with a pattern of increased activation involving the sensorimotor cortex, dorsal premotor cortex, supplementary motor area and cerebellum. By contrast, when performing a motor task, only the manifesting carriers had increased activation. This dissociation between motor and non-motor patterns of activation suggests an underlying genotype-related abnormality in the integration of audio-visual input with sensorimotor network activity, with additional motor-activated dysfunction in those patients with clinical dystonia. The basis for this difference, at least in the genetic groups of DYT1 and DYT6 dystonia, may relate to developmental structural defects in the cerebello-thalamo-cortical pathway.

The cerebellum has been suggested to have a prominent role in modulating cortical plasticity, so that developmental structural abnormalities in the cerebellum and its outflow pathways may give rise to alterations in cortical activation responses during movement and learning, leading to the functional changes seen in dystonia. Studies using magnetic resonance diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) have found evidence of microstructural abnormalities in dystonia gene carriers. The most recent studies using DTI together with probabilistic tractography point to critical abnormalities involving cerebello-thalamo-cortical pathways, thought to be developmental in origin, in both manifesting and non-manifesting DYT1 and DYT6 gene carriers. Non-manifesting carriers were found to have an additional area of fiber tract disruption situated distally along the thalamocortical segment of the pathway in tandem with the proximal cerebellar outflow abnormality. In individual gene carriers, the difference in connectivity measured at these two sites was predictive of clinical penetrance. The authors suggest that cerebellar outflow tract disruption in gene carriers is associated with disease manifestation and that disruption of the more distal thalamo-cortical segment of the pathway can abolish this effect, possibly by limiting transmission of abnormal cerebellar output signals to the motor cortex, leading to the absence of clinical manifestations.

**Neurochemical Abnormalities**

Similar to the molecular, neurophysiologic and functional-anatomic abnormalities found in dystonia, various neurochemical abnormalities have been identified at multiple points along the motor circuit in studies of dystonia, including deficits in dopamine, acetylcholine and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA). Although similar to the other types of abnormalities, it is not clear which, if any, of these defects is necessary or primary for dystonia because these neurotransmitters function as components of an inter-dependent and plastic network.

**Dopamine**

Dopamine dysfunction is clearly associated with various forms of dystonia. Dopamine deficiency is the crucial defect found in dopa-responsive dystonia, and when it is corrected with levodopa therapy, the dystonia resolves. Alterations in dopamine-related transmission are also clearly a factor in tardive dystonia and acute dystonic reactions, which are caused by dopamine (D2) receptor blocking medications. Dystonia is also a common feature of Parkinson’s disease, can be a complication of levodopa therapy, and is a feature of some genetic Parkinsonian syndromes (e.g. PARK2, PARK9). However, the role of dopamine in the pathogenesis of primary dystonia remains uncertain. Patients with primary dystonia do not have a clear or consistent response to dopaminergic therapy, indicating that the underlying neurochemical defect is not a simple dopamine deficiency in these patients. Post-mortem studies in primary dystonia have shown modest alterations in dopamine, and functional imaging studies in primary dystonia patients using radio-labelled D2 antagonist tracers have found reduced D2 binding in the caudate and putamen. Similar findings were seen in DYT1 non-manifesting carriers, indicating that the dopamine-related abnormalities do not directly cause dystonia.

**Acetylcholine**

A central role for cholinergic dysfunction in dystonia pathogenesis is suggested by the observation that anticholinergic medications are the most effective pharmacological agents in improving dystonia symptoms in primary dystonia patients. In studies in torsinA transgenic mice, Pisani and colleagues have found evidence of abnormal cholinergic transmission, with a paradoxical excitation of cholinergic interneurons in response to activation of D2 receptors rather than the normal response of inhibition of firing activity that likely leads to enhanced acetylcholine release. More recently, they further investigated the hypothesis that this abnormal cholinergic tone could disrupt synaptic plasticity in this DYT1 mouse model, and using cross-clamp electrophysiologic recordings found evidence of altered corticostratial synaptic plasticity, and then further demonstrated that with pharmacologic normalisation of striatal acetylcholine, normal plasticity could be fully restored in the transgenic mice. Conversely, when acetylcholine was pharmacologically increased, the plasticity abnormalities were seen in mice expressing normal torsinA and in non-transgenic controls. These findings support the notion that unbalanced cholinergic transmission plays a pivotal role in the abnormal synaptic plasticity of DYT1 dystonia, and also provide a clue to the ability of anticholinergic drugs to improve dystonia symptoms.
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Gamma-aminobutyric Acid

GABA is the primary inhibitory neurotransmitter and is also important in shaping plastic responses of the CNS to somatosensory and other stimuli, including the maintenance and plasticity of cortical receptive fields. Drugs that potentiate GABA, such as benzodiazepines and baclofen, are modestly effective against dystonia symptoms. In animal studies, application of the GABA antagonist bicuculline to the motor cortex of monkeys produces abnormal movements similar to task-specific dystonia. Studies measuring GABA levels in the cortex and striatum of patients with adult-onset primary dystonia found decreased GABA levels in cortical and subcortical regions contralateral to the dystonic limb.

Treatment of Dystonia

Pharmacological Treatment

The medications that are most effective in the treatment of dystonia include anticholinergics (trihexyphenidyl), GABA agonists (baclofen and benzodiazepines) and dopaminergic agents. The effectiveness of these classes of drugs is consistent with the findings discussed above of alterations in dopaminergic and cholinergic neurotransmission and reduced GABA-mediated inhibition in the dystonic CNS. Trihexyphenidyl is the first-line medication for treatment of childhood-onset primary generalised or segmental dystonia. Focal dystonia can be effectively treated with botulinum toxin injections. The toxin blocks the vesicular release of acetylcholine into the neuromuscular junction, causing temporary local chemodenervation and muscle weakness, reducing the excessive activity of the affected dystonic muscles. Botulinum toxin is the first-line treatment for cervical dystonia and blepharospasm, and is also frequently used to treat laryngeal dystonia (spasmodic dysphonia) and focal limb dystonia. Aside from its direct peripheral effect of weakening affected muscles, botulinum toxin injections may also reduce afferent feedback from affected muscles, potentially normalising abnormal plastic changes in the CNS. Accordingly, DTI studies have shown normalisation of white-matter abnormalities after botulinum toxin treatment in some dystonia patients, and in TMS studies in cervical dystonia patients treated with botulinum toxin, abnormalities in hand motor cortex were reversed.

Neurosurgical Treatment

Historically, dystonia patients were treated surgically with pallidotomy or thalamotomy. These lesional surgeries provided significant benefit to dystonia symptoms in some cases, but also frequently caused permanent, disabling side effects, particularly dystarthisia. Lesional surgeries have now been replaced by deep brain stimulation (DBS), which mimics a lesional effect but is reversible and adjustable. In the past two decades, DBS has come to the forefront as an important treatment option for patients with severe medically refractory primary dystonia. The current accepted target in DBS for dystonia is the globus pallidus (Gpi). DBS is thought to generate its clinical effect by inducing afferent feedback from affected muscles, potentially mimicking a lesion effect but is reversible and adjustable. In the motor systems-level effects that result in clinical dystonia. How the cell biologic effects of the identified mutant proteins translate into the motor systems-level effects that result in clinical dystonia. Further exploration of which neurophysiologic or metabolic abnormalities exist in many other regions throughout the motor circuit. The existence of dystonia endophenotypes in genetic forms of dystonia, i.e. abnormalities related to the gene mutation regardless of clinical manifestation of dystonia, suggest that it may be a ‘second hit’ disorder in which genetically predisposed brains may be thrown into an unbalanced dystonic state by environmental or genetic factors. Major challenges for future investigations include searching for common molecular pathways among the various genetic dystonias; production of an animal model that manifests a clearly dystonic phenotype; exploration of the question of the extent to which the identified mechanisms in the genetic primary dystonias are involved in the more common primary focal dystonias or secondary dystonia; further exploration of which neurophysiologic or metabolic abnormalities are true endophenotypes and which are related to clinical penetrance of dystonia and further investigation of the basic question of how the cell biologic effects of the identified mutant proteins translate into the motor systems-level effects that result in clinical dystonia. Ultimately, a more complete understanding of the pathophysiology of dystonia should lead to better, more rational, targeted therapies.
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