Selective Attention and Mental Flexibility are Reduced during the Intercital Period in Migraine
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Abstract
Background: Headache patients frequently complain about difficulties in attention and concentration, even when they are headache free, and psychometric studies concerning attentional deficits in migraine patients between attacks are scarce. Objective: To evaluate selective attention of migraine patients interictally and compare them with healthy volunteers. Patients and Methods: We performed, between February 2011 and July 2011, a case-control study including 45 university students suffering from migraine matched with 45 healthy students as controls. Migraine patients were evaluated in an interictal state. Subjects with another headache type, history of brain injury, epilepsy and visual disturbances were excluded. Mood disorders were assessed using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression (HAD) questionnaire. Selective attention was evaluated using the Delis–Kaplan Executive Functions System (D-KEFS) colour-word interference test. Results: Mean age of patients was 23.29±2.55 years, versus 22.89±2.04 years for controls (p=0.2). The selective attention score was −4.04±7.08 for patients, versus −1.31±7.73 for controls (p=0.02). The mean mental flexibility score was lower in migraine patients compared with controls (38.67±6.79 versus 41.33±6.23; p=0.01). Gender, anxiety, depression scores and migraine characteristics had no correlation with the selective attention score. Conclusion: Selective attention and mental flexibility capacities are significantly reduced in migraine patients during the interictal period. These abnormalities probably contribute to frequent attentional complaints among these patients.
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Selective attention is defined as the preferential allocation of cognitive and neural resources to a specific event that has become behaviourally relevant.1 Attention is a prerequisite to all cognitive tasks and learning. It involves many brain structures grouped into the so-called attentional matrix. Migraine is frequent and occurs in as high as a quarter of the population of western countries.2 In Africa, the prevalence of migraine is 3.3 % in Benin3 and 4.3 % in Tanzania. Pain greatly consumes attentional resources1 and in chronic or recurrent forms can be associated with cognitive disorders especially in attention and memory domains.4 Since the last decade, rapid progress in cognitive neurosciences and functional neuroimaging have resulted in increased interest in cognitive dysfunction in pain states. Cognitive and attentional complaints are frequent in pain-free periods in recurrent-pain patients.1 In migrainous patients, while attentional impairment is well accepted during the headache episode, results in the published literature concerning interictal cognitive dysfunction are still equivocal,5–11 and it is not clear whether psychological factors mediate cognitive dysfunction.12 Migrainous patients report more depressive symptoms;12 therefore, it could be that not pain itself but the experience of depressive symptoms have a negative effect on cognitive functioning. If an attentional deficit really exists between headache episodes, it might contribute to a decreased quality of life and even socio-professional performance. For instance, in a longitudinal study from New Zealand, subjects were followed up with psychometric tests from the age of 3 till the age of 26 years. Subjects diagnosed to suffer from migraine at age 26 were subtly but significantly impaired in tests of verbal ability and had lower academic achievements.13 Thus, the aim of this study was to determine whether migraine patients in an interictal state show impaired, selective attentional performances compared with controls and to identify contributing factors.
Selective Attention and Mental Flexibility are Reduced during the Interictal Period in Migraine

Subjects and Methods

Subjects
This was a case-control study carried out between February 2011 and July 2011 at the Dakar University Medical Center (COUD). We included students suffering from migraine according to the International Classification of Headache Disorders-II (ICHD-II) criteria who had consulted at COUD since January 2011. Patients were randomly selected from the COUD database, contacted by phone and asked to consult again in a pain-free period, at least 48 hours after the end of the previous attack. Patients were called again by phone 48 hours after participating in the study to ask if they had suffered an attack in this time interval. We were then able to identify retrospectively 45 migraineurs in interictal and six in preictal periods. Controls were 45 gender- and age-matched healthy students, consulting for systematic medical screening at COUD. Participants with another headache type, history of head injury, epilepsy, visual disturbances and preventive migraine use within the last 6 months before the study were excluded as well as controls with a history of headache or migraine inheritance. The six patients in the preictal period were excluded for further data analysis.

Ethical clearance was obtained from the Cheikh Anta Diop University Ethical Committee. A verbal consent was obtained from all participants before enrollment in the study.

Methods

Instruments
Different tools were used during the study.

Delis–Kaplan Executive Functions System Colour-word Interference Test
Selective attention is measured in tasks that involve the control of competing responses. The Stroop task provides a clear illustration of the basic capacity to focus on relevant cues concomitantly and the ability to ignore irrelevant (even conflicting) material. Given that our study sample was composed of subjects with a high level of formal education, we used a modified and more sensitive version of the Stroop task, with an additional sub-task known as Delis–Kaplan Executive Functions System (D-KEFS) colour-word interference test. This version is composed of four sub-tasks of increasing difficulty, each consisting of 50 items presented in random order on a sheet of paper in five lines of 10 items. The four sub-tasks are as follows:

- Sub-task I or Reading: 50 colour names (red, green and blue) printed in black that have to be read.
- Sub-task II or Naming: 50 coloured spots equally distributed among three colours (red, green and blue) have to be named.
- Sub-task III or Conflicting: the subject has to name the colour of the ink used to print 50 coloured names equally distributed among the three following colours: red, green and blue. The colour word rarely matches the colour of the ink used to write the colour word.
- Sub-task IV or Switching: the rule is identical to sub-task III except that when the colour name is surrounded with a rectangle, the colour name has to be read instead of naming the colour of the ink.

Questionnaire for Headache Assessment
This questionnaire was used for patients and included headache characteristics (headache periodicity, location, type, intensity, triggering factors, aggravating factors and associated symptoms) as well as past medical history, notion of treatment used, and general and neurological examination.

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Questionnaire
We used a French version of the hospital anxiety and depression (HAD) questionnaire to assess anxiety and depression symptoms in subjects. HAD includes seven items for each of anxiety and depression. It is a validated tool for the assessment of mood disorders in clinical practice and research.

Protocol and Measures
Participants were asked to consult between 09.00 and 11.00 am after a normal night’s sleep. Data collection was carried out in a calm and relaxed atmosphere in a quiet room, well illuminated with a temperature of around 25°C. Socio-demographic data were obtained for all subjects. Patients were asked questions on the characteristics of their headaches. They then underwent a general and neurological examination. The HAD questionnaire was filled in by all subjects, and the anxiety and depression scores were obtained for every subject.

The D-KEFS colour-word interference test was then blindly administered by one of us (YF). All subjects were informed that they were going to perform an attentional test and no details about our assumptions were provided before the test. At each sub-task, the subjects were asked to name as quickly and accurately as possible. Each sub-task was preceded by a practice trial of 10 stimuli in order to familiarise the subject with the procedure and in order to ensure that instructions had been well understood. The duration to perform each sub-task was 45 seconds, measured with a chronometer. Outcome measures from the attentional task were number of words named and number of errors (incorrect colour naming or reading) for each of the four sub-tasks.

Two additional outcome measures were interference scores 1 and 2. Interference score is designed to reveal the increase in time for reacting to colours caused by the presence of conflicting colour word stimuli and is thus a measure of the interference of colour words upon naming colour. Interference scores are measures of selective attention and were obtained as follows with the Golden formula:

\[
IS = \frac{S_1 - S_{predict}}{S_1 + S_{predict}}
\]

where \( S_1 \) indicates score for sub-task 1, \( S_2 \) indicates score for sub-task 2, \( S_3 \) indicates score for sub-task 3 and \( S_1 \) indicates score for sub-task 4.

Statistical Analysis
Data were encoded in the CSpro 3.0 software and analysed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) 16.0 software. Interference and D-KEFS sub-tasks scores of participants were separately compared with the non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis test. Continuous variables were compared using analysis of variance (ANOVA) test. A p value <0.05 was set as significance threshold. The correlation between clinical, affective and cognitive variables was analysed using the Spearman test.

Results

Characteristics of the Study Population
Socio-demographic Characteristics
We included 45 cases of migraine and 45 healthy controls (see Table 1). The Male/Female sex ratio was 2/3 in the two groups. Age of the...
participants ranged from 19 to 30 years. Mean age of all the participants was 23.09±2.35 years. Mean age was 23.29±2.55 years for the patients group, 22.89±2.04 years for controls (p=0.2). All the participants were university students.

Headache Features
Migraine subtypes were migraine without aura in 39 (87 %) patients and migraine with visual aura in six (13 %) patients. The mean number of headache days per month in patients was 10.58±5.44 days. The mean delay from the end of the last migraine attack to consultation was 4.29±2.57 days.

Anxiety and Depression
Anxiety and depression symptoms were more frequent in patients than controls (p=0.0008 and p=0.01, respectively) (see Table 1).

Comparisons
Comparison of Mean Scores at Different Sub-tasks of Delis–Kaplan Executive Functions System Colour-word Interference Test
Patients had a mean score of 93.6±14.61 at sub-task 1 (reading), significantly lower than that of controls reaching 101.93±21.69 (p=0.03) (see Figure 1). At the sub-task 2 (naming), the patients’ mean score was 72.6±10.91 versus 75.51±10.6 for controls (p=0.2). On sub-task 3 (conflicting) the patients’ mean score was 43.87±8.23 versus 46.29±7.03 (p=2.14). However, on sub-task 4 (switching), the mean score for patients was 36.67±6.79, significantly lower than 41.33±6.23 obtained for controls (p=0.01). Patients’ scores at sub-tasks 1 and 4 did not correlate with anxiety and depression scores (r=0.11; p=0.49 and r=−0.08; p=0.60, respectively).

Interference Scores
The interference score is a measure of selective attention (see Figure 2). The mean interference score 1 was 3.16±6.12 for patients versus 3.64±7.47 for controls, and there was no significant difference between the two groups (p=0.73). The mean interference score 2 was −4.04±7.08 for patients, significantly lower than −1.31±7.73 obtained for controls (p=0.02). Again, anxiety and depression scores of patients and controls did not correlate with interference scores 1 and 2 (r=−0.06; p=0.55 and r=−0.02; p=0.8, respectively).

Errors
Mean number of errors (defined as incorrect colour naming or reading) increased across the four sub-tasks, from 0.56±0.69 to 2.13±1.14 in the patients’ group and from 0.47±0.78 to 1.71±1.18 for controls. At sub-task 1 (reading) and sub-task 2 (naming), there was no significant difference in the number of errors in the two groups (p=0.57 and p=0.09, respectively). However, at sub-task 3 (conflicting) and sub-task 4 (switching) that need more attentional resources, patients committed more errors than controls (p=0.04 and p=0.03, respectively).

Discussion
The prevalence of migraine in many countries is high,19 and cognitive complaints from migraine patients are frequent.18 Despite the increasing number of studies concerning cognitive function in migraine, available results do not allow to draw a definite conclusion of migraine impact on cognitive functioning during the interictal period.11–13,17,20 Discrepancies between available studies might be attributed at least partially to methodological factors. Variability of neuropsychological tests used, clear identification of patients in the interictal period and small sample sizes represent the main issues limiting data interpretation. We show here that selective attention and mental flexibility of migraine patients are impaired in the interictal period. In other words, the abilities of these patients to focus on a specific task and to switch from one task to another are altered compared with their healthy counterparts in the

Table 1: Socio-demographic Data, Anxiety and Depression Scores of the Study Sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Study Sample</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age range (years)</td>
<td>19–30</td>
<td>19–30</td>
<td>19–28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex-ratio Male/Female</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>23.09±2.35</td>
<td>23.29±2.55</td>
<td>22.89±2.04</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAD-A score*</td>
<td>9.82±3.74</td>
<td>11.11±3.66</td>
<td>8.53±3.39</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAD-D score†</td>
<td>6.12±3.47</td>
<td>6.98±3.65</td>
<td>5.27±3.09</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Anxiety score to hospital anxiety and depression (HAD) (from 0 to 21); †Depression score to HAD (from 0 to 21).

Figure 1: Mean Scores of Patients and Controls at Different Sub-tasks of Delis–Kaplan Executive Functions System

Figure 2: Mean Interference Scores of Patients and Controls at the Delis–Kaplan Executive Functions System Colour-word Interference Test

Errors
Mean number of errors (defined as incorrect colour naming or reading) increased across the four sub-tasks, from 0.56±0.69 to 2.13±1.14 in the patients’ group and from 0.47±0.78 to 1.71±1.18 for controls. At sub-task 1 (reading) and sub-task 2 (naming), there was no significant difference in the number of errors in the two groups (p=0.57 and p=0.09, respectively). However, at sub-task 3 (conflicting) and sub-task 4 (switching) that need more attentional resources, patients committed more errors than controls (p=0.04 and p=0.03, respectively).

Discussion
The prevalence of migraine in many countries is high,19 and cognitive complaints from migraine patients are frequent.18 Despite the increasing number of studies concerning cognitive function in migraine, available results do not allow to draw a definite conclusion of migraine impact on cognitive functioning during the interictal period.11–13,17,20 Discrepancies between available studies might be attributed at least partially to methodological factors. Variability of neuropsychological tests used, clear identification of patients in the interictal period and small sample sizes represent the main issues limiting data interpretation. We show here that selective attention and mental flexibility of migraine patients are impaired in the interictal period. In other words, the abilities of these patients to focus on a specific task and to switch from one task to another are altered compared with their healthy counterparts in the
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Interictal period. We will now discuss in more details the results of the various tests we used.

The D-KEFS word-color interference test sub-tasks are of increasing difficulty. Mean scores of migraine patients at any sub-task of the D-KEFS colour-word interference test were inferior to those of controls, showing a reduced speed in completing different sub-tasks. The significantly low performances of patients at sub-task 1 (reading) can be attributed to a prolonged reaction time in the initiation of cognitive and motor processes. At sub-task 4 (switching), which requires more attentional resources, the capacity of patients to switch from one task to another was altered compared with that of controls. Grisart and colleagues in a study using the same version of Stroop test found no difference in scores for sub-task 4 between chronic pain patients with mild pain intensity and controls. However, the latter study included mainly chronic low back pain patients without headaches. Migraine patients might thus be more prone to difficulties in mental flexibility. The prefrontal dorsolateral cortex is particularly involved in tasks requiring mental flexibility. In familial hemiplegic migraine, abnormalities of calcium channels that have been implicated are also involved in the transmission of synaptic information in cognitive neural networks. 

Interference score is a measure of selective attention. Migraine patients had numerically lower selective attentional scores than controls, and this difference was significant only for interference score 2, which is more sensitive. Mulder and colleagues found reduced attentional performances in a pain-free period in migraineurs with aura but not in migraineurs without aura. The use of a less-sensitive version of the Stroop test by Mulder and colleagues can explain the discrepancy between our results. The small number of patients with migraine with aura included in our study did not allow us to evaluate selective attention specifically in this subgroup. Gisgen and colleagues using the Stroop test in a population including many pain categories, found that decreased selective attention score was correlated to pain intensity, except with headache where pain-free patients showed significantly reduced interference score. The latest result suggests a specific cognitive processing in migraine patients compared with other pain syndromes. Villa and colleagues found deficits in selective and alternate attention in migraineous children during pain-free periods compared with controls. Although spatial and colour-selective visual attention are different, they share common neural networks in the attentional matrix.

Surprisingly, interference scores were not correlated to migraine frequency. Migraine characteristics seem to have no effect on interference scores, except that in one study migraine with aura was associated with lower attentional performances.

Anxiety and depression symptoms were more frequent in migraine patients compared with controls. This is in accordance with other studies. Non-headache factors and psychiatric comorbidity, in particular, have been correlated by some authors to cognitive performances in migraine patients. However, many other studies reported no association between anxiety and depression scores on the one hand and interference scores on the other hand in migraine patients.

A weakness in our study is the relatively small sample size of subjects. We believe, nonetheless, that our results are reliable because of the matched control group and the use of a more sensitive tool to detect selective attention deficits. From a clinical and practical point of view, it is important to realise that the experience of recurrent migraine attacks may influence selective attention and mental flexibility interictally. Our understanding of the effects of migraine on cognitive functioning has important implications for appreciating the range of problems reported by patients by providing further insights into the pathophysiology of this disorder. There still remains the need for a larger study, including more patients, to confirm our findings.

11. Moller HJ, Headache where pain-free patients showed significantly reduced interference score. The latest result suggests a specific cognitive processing in migraine patients compared with other pain syndromes. Villa and colleagues found deficits in selective and alternate attention in migraineous children during pain-free periods compared with controls. Although spatial and colour-selective visual attention are different, they share common neural networks in the attentional matrix.