#### Scientific Rationale for Continuous Dopaminergic Stimulation in Parkinson's Disease

#### a report by M Maral Mouradian

William Dow Lovett Professor of Neurology and Director, Centre for Neurodegenerative and Neuroimmunologic Diseases, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey

DOI:10.17925/ENR.2006.00.02.62



M Maral Mouradian is the William Dow Lovett Professor of Neurology and Director of the Center for Neurodegenerative and Neuroimmunologic Diseases in the Department of Neurology, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, New Jersey, US. She also holds joint professorships in the Department of Neuroscience and Cell Biology and in the Department of Molecular Biology, Microbiology and Immunology at the same institution. During the last 13 years of her National Institutes of Health (NIH) tenure, Dr Mouradian directed the Genetic Pharmacology Unit of the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS)/NIH prior to assuming her current posts at the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey. In addition to carrying out basic and translational research, she evaluates and treats patients with Parkinson's disease (PD) and conducts clinical research. A member of the Scientific Advisory Board of the American Parkinson Disease Association and the editorial board of Neurology she is an associate editor of Pharmacology and Therapeutics. She is the recipient of the NIH Award of Merit and a member of the Alpha Omega Alpha honour medical society. After obtaining her medical education and neurology training, Dr Mouradian joined the NIH in Bethesda, Maryland, US, where she obtained further training in clinical research on PD, as well as in molecular biology.

Since its introduction nearly four decades ago, levodopa (L-dopa) continues to be the most efficacious agent in ameliorating many of the motor symptoms of Parkinson's disease (PD). This dopamine precursor improves quality of life, reduces disability and prolongs independent living and life expectancy.

A good response to L-dopa is often used to help make the diagnosis of clinical PD and is used as a benchmark against which other newer antiparkinsonian agents are evaluated. The initial stable response to infrequent oral dosing with L-dopa is gradually replaced by shorter responses known as 'wearing-off phenomenon', necessitating more frequent dosing as well as the addition of agents that slow down the catabolism of the active derivative dopamine, such as inhibitors of catechol-O-methyl transferase and monoamine oxidase. Eventually, the shortened and unstable motor response becomes unpredictable with no apparent relation to the timing of L-dopa intake. This is known as the 'on-off' phenomenon.

The clinical syndrome is complicated further with the emergence of involuntary movements that are often choreiform, but sometimes dystonic in nature. The significance of these motor response complications lies in the fact that they affect the majority of patients with PD treated chronically with L-dopa, represent a major source of disability in many patients rivalling that caused by the underlying disease itself, and are the commonest reason for surgical intervention in PD.

#### Causes for the Unstable Motor Response to L-dopa

Both peripheral pharmacokinetic factors and central pharmacodynamic changes contribute to the unstable response to L-dopa. The short plasma halflife of L-dopa of around 90 minutes is a clear limitation, necessitating frequent dosing in advanced cases in order to maintain therapeutic blood and brain levels. Absorption of L-dopa takes place primarily in the duodenum and is influenced by erratic gastric emptying and food boluses. While these peripheral pharmacokinetic factors are no different in the early versus the advanced disease, they assume clinical relevance only in advanced patients because of the fundamental central changes that occur in the brain in late stages of the disease. The fluctuating plasma L-dopa levels go unnoticed in early disease because of the wide therapeutic window for this drug between its therapeutic anti-

parkinsonian effect and the 'toxic' dyskinesias.

Competition with dietary large neutral amino acids

for entry across the blood-brain barrier can interfere

with the access of L-dopa to its site of action.

As the disease progresses, the therapeutic window narrows significantly, allowing the fluctuations to become clinically apparent. When circulating L-dopa levels fall below a certain threshold, parkinsonian symptoms re-emerge, but when the drug levels exceed the upper limit of the therapeutic window, dyskinesias dominate.

Only during the brief periods when the drug levels are within the narrow therapeutic window are patients mobile with no dyskinesias. Besides the narrowing of the therapeutic window, the dose response curve for the motor effects of L-dopa within the therapeutic dose range changes from a relatively linear curve with a small slope in early disease to an S-shaped curve encompassing a virtually vertical slope. Small oscillations in plasma L-dopa levels are translated to marked changes in the clinical response, sending the patient to abrupt and unpredictable shifts between bradykinetic 'off' states and dyskinetic 'on' states.

One of the central prerequisites for the unstable motor response to L-dopa is severe nigrostriatal denervation in advanced disease. Clinical experience has shown that L-dopa given to non-parkinsonian patients produces no dyskinesias in the absence of nigral neuronal degeneration. 1-methyl-4phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP)-lesioned monkeys with upwards of 95% loss of dopamine neurons develop dyskinesias within days of starting L-dopa therapy, whereas partially lesioned animals WORLD PARKINSON'S DISEASE

> Promoting a constructive dialogue between science and society

euroYAPmeet conterence for younger people with Parkinson's disease

## **EPDAPlus**



### www.epda.eu.com





# HAN SON'S DISE OF

MULTIDISCIPLINARY CONFERENCE

tel/fax: +44 (0)1732 457 683

email: info@epda.eu.com

are much more resistant to the development of Ldopa-induced dyskinesias.

With advancing PD, as the number of dopamineproducing nigral neurons decrease from about 50% at the onset of symptoms down to about 20% or less, striatal dopaminoceptive medium spiny neurons become increasingly exposed to the fluctuations in plasma and brain levels of L-dopa and, hence, dopamine. The presence of a normal complement of nigral dopaminergic neurons in non-parkinsonian individuals shields the striatum from oscillating L-dopa levels.

Additionally, individuals who have developed severe subacute Parkinsonism, as a result of accidental exposure to the dopaminergic neurotoxin MPTP, have massive nigrostriatal degeneration and manifest L-dopa-induced motor response fluctuations and dyskinesias within months of starting therapy. Patients with PD due to mutations in the parkin gene and severe neuronal loss also develop dyskinesias early on.

Finally, several prospective, double-blind, controlled studies have compared the time to onset of motor response complications in untreated patients randomised to initiate therapy with either standard oral L-dopa or relatively longer-acting dopamine agonists. While dopamine agonist therapy per se is associated with less dyskinesias, those who start with a dopamine agonist first and then switch to L-dopa develop fluctuations at about the same time as those who start L-dopa from the outset. The latter finding suggests that the duration of disease, and by implication the degree of neuronal loss, is an important element in the genesis of motor complications.

Experimental observations suggest that the second prerequisite for the development of motor fluctuations in PD is long-term intermittent L-dopa administration. In monkeys rendered parkinsonian by MPTP, repeated dosing with oral L-dopa produces dyskinetic movements, while treatment with a long-acting dopamine agonist is associated with considerably less or no dyskinesias.

While such an observation may be attributed simply to the lesser tendency of dopamine agonists than Ldopa to induce dyskinesias, studies comparing the same dopaminomimetic agent given in different treatment schedules have yielded similar results. Parkinsonian monkeys develop involuntary movements if apomorphine is injected daily, but not if the same drug is delivered at the same dose level through an implant releasing apomorphine continuously. Similarly, 6-hydroxydopaminelesioned rats injected twice daily with L-dopa rotate much more that those in which L-dopa is infused continuously through a pump. Over time, such intermittently treated mice develop the clinical equivalent of 'wearing-off' phenomenon with reduced duration of motor effects of L-dopa, a phenomenon that is dependent on the severity of dopamine neurons loss.

#### Pathophysiological Changes with Advancing PD and Intermittent L-dopa Therapy

Support for the notion that continuous dopaminergic stimulation is superior to intermittent therapy stems from the fact that, under normal conditions, dopamine neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta fire tonically at a steady slow rate of about four hertz, regardless of whether the animal is at rest or moving.

Striatal dopamine concentrations are stable as well. With the anticipation of reward or novel stimuli, dopamine neurons can fire in bursts, but the efficient re-uptake by dopamine transporters allows the maintenance of intrasynaptic dopamine concentrations to be fairly steady. In early PD, when half the dopaminergic neurons are still intact, dopamine can be synthesised and stored in slowly turning over vesicles, only to be released tonically, thus shielding the post-synaptic dopamine receptors from oscillations in circulating L-dopa levels.

With advancing disease, as more and more neurons disappear and the capacity to store dopamine and release it tonically is diminished, standard intermittent oral therapy with anti-parkinsonian agents with a relatively short half-life, such as Ldopa, result in oscillations in striatal intrasynaptic dopamine levels that mirror the oscillations in plasma. A neurotransmitter system that is designed to function normally tonically is replaced with an artificially pulsatile system. This non-physiologic situation is believed to underlie the altered pharmacodynamics of the L-dopa response.

Biochemical and molecular aberrations have been documented in experimental models as a result of intermittent stimulation of the nigrostriatal dopaminergic system.

Repeated administration of short-acting dopaminomimetics in rodent and non-human primate models of PD associated with dyskinesias result in altered expression of various peptide transmitters and signalling molecules, including enkephalin, dynorphin, neurotensin, Fos and JunB proteins, ERK1/DARP32 and D1 signalling molecules. Some of these changes have also been detected in post-mortem brain tissue of PD patients, including substantially elevated preproenkephalin in patients who had L-dopa-induced dyskineisas compared to patients treated with L-dopa but did not manifest dyskinesias or to normal control individuals. In MPTP monkeys treated with a D2 dopamine agonist, neither the enkephalin changes nor dyskinesias seen with intermittent therapy occur if the drug is given continuously.

Dopamine receptor-mediated mechanisms modulate the sensitivity of ionotropic glutamatergic receptors of the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) and alphaamino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid (AMPA) types on striatal medium spiny neurons. With dopaminergic denervation, and to a greater extent with intermittent dopaminergic therapy, the sensitivity of these glutamate receptors is increased. Changes in the phosphorylation state of certain sub-units of these glutamate receptors enhance cortical excitatory input to these spiny efferent neurons, thus altering striatal output in ways that compromise motor function. These transmitter modifications reflect increases in the firing rates and other burst parameters of medium spiny neurons that appear to underlie changes in motor behaviour.

a prospective study, L-dopa-treated Parkinson patients experiencing severe 'off' times and dyskinesias were randomised to either continue receiving intermittent oral L-dopa or to switch to a continuous subcutaneous infusion of lisuride. As expected, the lisuride infusion group showed marked improvement in 'off' times and dyskinesias compared with the oral L-opa group. After a period of four years, 'off' time had improved by around 71% in the infusion group and worsened by 21% in the oral L-dopa group. Dyskinesias were reduced by 49% in patients infused with lisuride, but increased by 59% in patients given oral L-dopa. These encouraging observations support the notion that long-term continuous dopaminergic stimulation minimises motor response complications.

The plasticity of the basal ganglia circuitry that underlie the central pharmacodynamic changes associated with long-term intermittent dopaminergic stimulation also appears to be reversible, at least to some extent. Motor fluctuations of the unpredictable "on-off" type, which tend to be quite resistant initially even to continuous dopaminomimetic therapy, are eventually ameliorated with persistent

One of the central prerequisites for the unstable motor response to L-dopa is severe nigrostriatal denervation in advanced disease.

#### Advantages of Continuous Dopaminergic Stimulation

The merits of continuous dopaminergic stimulation have been amply demonstrated in stabilising the motor fluctuation in patients with advanced PD. This has been shown both with L-dopa and dopamine agonists. L-dopa infused continuously intravenously or intraduodenally markedly reduces oscillations in plasma drug levels and 'wearing-off' fluctuations and dyskinesias. Dopamine agonists have similar properties when delivered continuously, such as lisuride and apomorphine infused subcutaneously, or rotigotine transdermally.

While the question whether continuous antiparkinsonian therapy postpones or minimises future genesis of fluctuations and dyskinesias has been convincingly demonstrated in primate and rodent models as described above, proving the same notion in the clinical setting has been more challenging. In

drug delivery such as with intravenous infusion of Ldopa. Abruptly switching back to intermittent therapy does not revert the patients to their baseline severity of motor fluctuations. Rather, a relatively smooth motor response is enjoyed for at least several more days until the beneficial effect of continuous therapy eventually dissipates. The latter observation suggests that continuous therapy had produced plastic changes in the basal ganglia, which lasted well beyond the removal of the physiologic stimulation and re-introduction of intermittent therapy. The advantages of continuous dopaminergic therapy in PD can be gained in both early and advanced disease where fluctuations can be ameliorated both by stabilising circulating drug levels and by normalising some of the central pharmacodynamic alterations attending chronic, non-physiologic, intermittent stimulation.

A version of this article containing full references can be found in the Reference Section on the website supporting this briefing (www.touchneurology.com).

#### References

- 1. Marsden CD, Parkes JD, Lancet (1976); 1:pp. 292-296.
- 2. Chase TN, et al., Adv Neurol (1990); 53:pp. 377-381.
- 3. Chase TN, et al., Funct Neurol (1988); 3:pp. 429-436.
- 4. Lang AE, Lozano AM, N Engl J Med (1998); 339:pp. 1044-1053.
- 5. Deuschl G, et al., N Engl J Med (2006); 355:pp. 896-908.
- Verhagen Metman LMM, "Levodopa therapy of Parkinson's disease and associated long-term motor response complications", LeWitt PA, Oertel, WH (eds.), Parkinson's Disease, The Treatment Options (1999); London: Martin Dunitz, pp. 117–139
- 7. Nutt JG, Fellman JH, Clin Neuropharmacol (1984); 7:pp. 35-49.
- 8. Nutt JG, et al., N Engl J Med (1984); 310:pp. 483-488.
- 9. Leenders KL, et al., Ann Neurol (1986); 20:pp. 258-262.
- 10. Mouradian MM, et al., Ann Neurol (1988); 24:pp. 372-378.
- 11. Mouradian MM, et al., Ann Neurol (1989); 25:pp. 523-526.
- 12. Chase TN, Holden EM, Brody JA, Arch Neurol (1973); 29:pp. 328-333.
- 13. Bedard PJ, Di Paolo T, Falardeau P, et al., Brain Res (1986); 379:pp. 294-299.
- 14. Pearce RK, Banerji T, Jenner P, et al., Mov Disord (1998); 13:pp. 234-241.
- 15. Jenner P, Ann Neurol (2000); 47:pp. S90-99; discussion S99-104.
- 16. Pearce RK, Heikkila M, Linden IB, et al., Psychopharmacology (Berl) (2001); 156:pp. 402-409.
- 17. Ballard PA, Tetrud JW, Langston JW, Neurology (1985); 35:pp. 949-956.
- 18. Khan NL, et al., Brain (2003); 126:pp. 1279-1292.
- 19. Rascol O, et al., N Engl J Med (2000); 342:pp. 1484-1491.
- 20. Parkinson Study Group, JAMA (2000); 284:pp. 1931-1938.
- 21. Whone AL, et al., Ann Neurol (2003); 54:pp. 93-101.
- 22. Parkinson Study Group, JAMA (2002); 287:pp. 1653-1661.
- 23. Blanchet PJ, Grondin R, Bedard PJ, Mov Disord (1996); 11:pp. 91-94.
- 24. Blanchet PJ, Calon F, Martel JC, et al., J Pharmacol Exp Ther (1995);272:pp. 854-859.
- 25. Bibbiani F, Costantini LC, Patel R, et al., Exp Neurol (2005); 192:pp. 73-78.
- 26. Engber TM, Susel Z, Juncos JL, et al., Eur J Pharmacol (1989); 168:pp. 291-298.
- 27. Juncos JL, Engber TM, Raisman R, et al., Ann Neurol (1989); 25:pp. 473-478.
- 28. Papa SM, Engber TM, Kask AM, et al., Brain Res (1994); 662:pp. 69-74.
- 29. Skirboll S, Wang J, et al., Exp Neurol (1990); 110:pp. 187-193.
- 30. Sarre S, De Klippel N, Herregodts P, et al., Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol (1994); 350:pp. 15-21.
- 31. Schultz W, Rev Neurol (Paris) (1994); 150:pp. 634-639.
- 32. Chase TN, et al., Neurology (1993); 43:pp. S23-27.
- 33. Engber TM, Susel Z, Kuo S, et al., Brain Res (1991); 552:pp. 113-118.
- 34. Engber TM, Boldry RC, Kuo S, et al., Brain Res (1992); 581:pp. 261-268.
- 35. Morissette M, et al., Brain Res Mol Brain Res (1997); 49:pp. 55-62.
- 36. Calon F, et al., Ann Neurol (2000); 47:pp. S70-78.
- 37. Cenci MA, et al., Neuroscience (1999); 94:pp. 515-527.
- 38. Pavon N, et al., Biol Psychiatry (2006); 59:pp. 64-74.
- 39. Calon F, Birdi S, Rajput AH, et al., J Neuropathol Exp Neurol (2002); 61:pp. 186-196.
- 40. Oh J D, Russell D S, Vaughan C L, et al., Brain Res (1998); 813:pp. 150-159.
- 41. Chase T N, Oh J D, Konitsiotis S, J Neurol (2000); 247 Suppl 2:pp. II36-42.
- 42. Chase T N, Parkinsonism Relat Disord (2004); 10:pp. 305-313.
- 43. Chase T N, Baronti F, Fabbrini G, et al., Neurology (1989); 39:pp. 7-10; discussion 19.
- 44. Nutt J G, Obeso J A, Stocchi F, Trends Neurosci (2000); 23:pp. S109-115.
- 45. Olanow W, Schapira A H, Rascol O, Trends Neurosci (2000); 23:pp. S117-126.
- 46. Mouradian M M, Juncos JL, Fabbrini G, et al., Ann Neurol (1987); 22:pp. 475-479.
- 47. Syed N, Murphy J, Zimmerman T, Jr, et al., Mov Disord (1998); 13:pp. 336-338.
- 48. Nyholm D, Nilsson Remahl A I, Dizdar N, et al., Neurology (2005); 64:pp. 216-223.
- 49. Baronti F, Mouradian M M, Davis T L, et al., Ann Neurol (1992); 32:pp. 776-781.
- 50. Stocchi F, Vacca L, De Pandis M F, et al., Neurol Sci (2001); 22:pp. 93-94.
- 51. Manson A J, Turner K, Lees A J, Mov Disord (2002); 17:pp. 1235-1241.
- 52. Katzenschlager R, Hughes A, Evans A, et al., Mov Disord (2005); 20:pp. 151-157.
- 53. Metman L V, Gillespie M, Farmer C, et al., Clin Neuropharmacol (2001); 24:pp. 163–169.
- 54. Stocchi F, Ruggieri S, Vacca L, et al., Brain (2002); 125:pp. 2058-2066.

## Duodopa gives life back to your **PD patient**\*

#### Solvay Pharmaceuticals

**\$**)

SOLVAY

a Passion for Progress

Duodopa contains 1 ml contains 20 mg levodopa and 5 mg carbidopa monohydrate.100 ml contain 2000 mg levodopa and 500 mg carbidopa monohydrate. Pharmaceutical form: intestinal gel. Therapeutic indications:Treatment of advanced levodopa-responsive Parkinson's disease with severe motor fluctuations and hyper/dyskinesia when available combinations of Parkinson medicinal products have not given satisfactory results. Posology and method of administration: for long-term administration, the gel should be administered with a portable pump directly into the duodenum or upper jejunum by a permanent tube *via* percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy with an outer transabdominal port and ose adjustments should be carried out in association International products have not server motion schedule motion and mysel-myschnesk while available continuous maintained in products have not given satisfactory results. Prosonlogy and motion and an inner intertimal table Atternatively, a radiological gastrogiunostomy may be considered if percuraneous endoscopic gastrostomy in a not excitation of the transabdominal pot and dose adjustments with a percologic gastrostomy in an user intertimal table. Atternatively, a radiological gastrogiunostomy may be considered if percuraneous endoscopic gastrostomy in the object metal and to adjust the time OFF (bradylinesia) and minimizing OF-time with dealing of the patient response for the individual patient, which means mainizing the functional OF-time with a consolication of the sense of the sense of the sense of the monitory of the patient sense of the time of the sense of t performed with Duodopa. The following interactions are known from the generic combination of levodopa? Cartifoins needed in concomitant administration of Duodopa with the following medicinal products: anthypertensives, antidegressants, anticholinergics, COMT hibitors, some antipsychotics and benzoliazepines. Duodopa can be taken concomitantly with the recommended dose of an MAO inhibitor, which is selective for MAO type B (for instance selegiline-HC1). Concomitant use of sleugiline and levodopa-carbidopa has been associated with serious orthostatic hypotension. Amantadine has a synergic effect with levodopa and hay increase levodopa related adverse events. An adjustment of the dose of an Obavitability of levodopa as to be disturbed in patients who are on a protein rich diet. The effect of administration of antacids and Duodopa on the bioavailability of levodopa. As levodopa is competitive with recrain amino acids, the absorption of levodopa, arb to be enstudied. <u>Pregnancy</u>: there are no adequate date from the use of levodopa carbidopa in pregnant women. Data from animal studies have shown reproduction toxicity. The potential risk for humans is unknown. Duodopa should not be used during pregnant women. Data from animal studies have shown reproduction toxicity. The potential risk for humans is unknown. Duodopa should not be used during presenting with somolence natures: levolopa and carbidopa any carbidopa any cause dizines and orthostatic hypotension. Therefore, caution should be exercised when diving or using machines. Patients being treated with buodopa and ple diving of engaging in activities where impaired alertness may put them, or there exercised when diving or using machines. Junity of engaging an activities where impaired alertness may put them, or these exercised when diving or using machines. Levolopa: elevated urea nitrogen, alkaline phosphatases, S-AST, S-AIT, LDH, billoubin, blood sugar, creatinie, uric acid and positive Coomb is test, and lowered values of hamogolicanis hous a estresed in the evol