The Benefits of Continuous Dopaminergic Stimulation in the Long-term Treatment of Parkinson's Disease

a report by Emilia Martignoni¹ and Fabio Blandini²

1. Associate Professor of Neurology, University of Piemonte Orientale, Novara and Head, Service of Neurorehabilitation and Movement Disorders of the IRCCS S and 2. Head, Laboratory of Functional Neurochemistry, Neurological Institute "C Mondino"

DOI:10.17925/ENR.2006.00.02.57

Introduction

One of the current hot topics in the treatment of Parkinson's disease (PD) is continuous dopaminergic stimulation (CDS). The interest for this treatment strategy is prompted by the notion that, after a few years of therapy with levodopa - the precursor of deficient neurotransmitter dopamine - the response to the drug is complicated by the onset of motor fluctuations, which are a frequent source of disability for PD patients.1 In fact, the use of levodopa, the 'magic'² gold standard for the relief of PD symptoms, can adequately compensate the clinical picture for only a limited period of time, which corresponds to the early phase of the disease, during which the effect of the drug is completely satisfying and the motor response to the drug exceeds what the half-life and the plasma peak of the drug may theoretically support. Unfortunately, this response vanishes after few years: about 50% of PD patients treated with levodopa for more than five years develop motor complications (see Figure 1), which can be described as relatively foreseeable changes of variable duration, from phases of good motor performances to others of inadequate mobility, related to the shortening of the efficacy of each levodopa dose.3 In some cases, fluctuations can also induce depression, anxiety, tachycardia and sweating, which mostly resolve, together with the motor symptoms, when the positive wave of fluctuation comes back.4 The neural basis of this phenomenon lies in the modification of striatal dopaminergic stimulation induced by PD and only partially improved by the standard preparations of levodopa. Progression of disease severity,⁵ which is the clinical expression of the amount of neuronal loss, greatly influences the time of onset of motor complications, but also age,6 as well as peripheral factors able to affect levodopa pharmacokinetics, such as gastric emptying, can influence the intestinal absorption of levodopa oral formulations.7

The Basal Ganglia Function is Continuous

In the healthy brain, the dopamine neurons fire

tonically and the concentration of dopamine at the striatal level is constantly maintained.8,9 Stimuli from the environment, especially if new or important in relation to motivation, can modify the extracellular content of dopamine for minutes or hours; also projections from the cortical areas can induce slower or more tonic release of dopamine, but the healthy brain can do its job without any problem, maintaining a relatively constant concentration of dopamine at the striatal level.10 The re-uptake capability of dopamine transporter and the autoregolatory mechanisms of dopaminergic neurons are among the main contributors for the maintenance of a constant level of dopamine.11 All these characteristics make dopamine a prominent transmitter for the stability of basal ganglia function and explain why it is essential for the selection and processing of neuronal activity associated with normal movement.

Dopamine Function in Basal Ganglia and Parkinson's Disease

As a consequence, it is easy to imagine what the loss of dopamine in PD can imply. After the disease onset and an initial compensatory phase, the dopaminergic denervation of the striatum triggers a cascade of events disrupting the functional organisation of the basal ganglia circuitry, which loosens the capability of selecting and facilitating normal movement.12 Moreover, restoration of dopaminergic function becomes a problem, because regular levodopa, which has a half-life of about 90 minutes, is unable to restore the physiological function of basal ganglia. This fact is not perceived clinically, at least in the early phase, when the patient treated with levodopa has a good clinical response, often compared to a 'honeymoon' period. However, the striatal dopamine receptors, instead of being continuously stimulated by dopamine, as in physiological conditions, are subjected to changing concentrations of dopamine - related to the typical oscillating profile of levodopa plasma levels - so the basal ganglia become less and less efficient. This



Emilia Martignoni is Associate Professor of Neurology at the University of Piemonte Orientale, Novara and Head of the Service of Neurorehabilitation and Movement Disorders of the IRCCS S. Maugeri Foundation, Scientific Institute of Veruno (NO). She has written in more than 450 publications, including papers in international referred journals, book chapters and proceedings of congresses. Her particular fields of interest are Parkinson's disease and movement disorders, disorders of nociception and adaptive systems and their biological correlates.



Fabio Blandini is Head of the Laboratory of Functional Neurochemistry at the Neurological Institute "C Mondino", Pavia. Dr Blandini is also contract professor at the Schools of Specializations in Neurology and Neurophysiopathology at the University of Pavia. His research interests currently focus on the pathophysiology of Parkinson's disease and the functional anatomy of basal ganglia. Dr Blandini is associate editor of Functional Neurology and a member of the editorial board of Neurobiology of Disease.

Table 1: Complications of Parkinson's DiseaseRelated to Treatment

Motor fluctuations	Dyskinesia
Wearing-off phenomena	Peak-of-dose dyskinesia
End-of-dose hypokinesia	Biphasic dyskinesia
	(onset and end of dose)
Early-morning hypokinesia	
Postprandial hypokinesia	
Nocturnal hypokinesia	
Unpredictable on-off fluctuations	

non-continuous, pulsatile stimulation of dopamine receptors has also been studied in animal models of PD. In non-human primates, the administration of short-lasting dopaminergic drugs induces motor complication similar to those found in PD patients.^{13,14} Moreover, pulsatile dopaminergic stimulation induces plastic changes at the striatal level, involving modifications of gene and protein expression, possibly associated with the development of motor fluctuations; therefore, an aberrant form of neuronal plasticity, causing remodelling of neuronal contacts and pathways, may play a role in this phenomenon.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ It should also be noted that, with the progression of PD, the loss of dopamine in the pars compacta of the substantia nigra makes the striatal concentration of dopamine more and more dependent on the plasma levels of levodopa and reduces the possibility of dopamine terminals to buffer the fluctuations of the plasma levels of dopamine.

Benefits of Prolonged Dopamine Agonists Stimulation in Parkinson's Disease

The development of motor complications with the administration of short-lasting levodopa was clear after few years of use of this drug, which, when introduced in the late sixties, had a spectacular effect on PD. Motor complications can be various (see Figure 1), but all are due to the loss of dopamine in the basal ganglia induced by PD and not adequately compensated by the doses and the way of administration of levodopa. The use of drugs directly stimulating the dopamine receptors and having a different half-life promoted studies comparing their administration with levodopa. The comparison clearly shows that dopamine agonists induce a significant delay in the onset of motor complications, both dyskinesias and fluctuations, but with a weaker clinical efficacy then levodopa.18-20 The fact that the difference is made by prolonged stimulation can also be argued by the studies showing that a short-acting dopamine agonist like apomorphine rapidly induces dyskinesia if injected, in nonhuman primates, as a bolus, and does not if administered by continuous infusion.^{21,22} In the same way, chronic levodopa administration to 6-hydroxydopamine-lesioned rats in a pulsatile²³ or continuous way²⁴ does or does not induce motor fluctuation.

Prolonged Dopamine Agonists Stimulation is Possible using Different Ways of Administration

The search for therapeutic strategies that may circumvent the problems posed by long-term treatment with levodopa has led to the wide use of dopamine agonists as first choice treatment.¹⁸⁻²⁰ This, however, may not be enough: optimising the pharmacological treatment of PD should include additional steps, such as improving the clinical effect of dopamine agonists and extending the tooshort effects of levodopa.

As for dopamine agonists, the use of alternative routes of administration has shown good clinical results. Apomorphine and lisuride, given subcutaneously with a pump mostly for waking time, allowed good motor performances without motor fluctuations for many years.^{25,26} Both these dopamine agonists have a very short half-life and the difference is made by their continuous administration. Obviously, this route of administration may not be suitable for all patients; thus, other ways are being explored: for example, a patch containing a new dopamine agonist, rotigotine, to be applied every 24 hours, is now available.27 In conclusion, the different formulations of dopamine agonists can provide a continuous dopaminergic stimulation, which is associated with less or no motor fluctuation; the debate about their benefits must take into account the ratio between clinical efficacy and their side effects, which are mainly of the psychiatric type.²⁸

As far as prolonging levodopa effects is concerned, continuous levodopa delivery by intra-intestinal infusion during the waking hours, has been proposed and is now available.29 Also, this mode of administration has proven able to reduce established dyskinesia in PD patients with advanced disease;³⁰ however, this delivery system, otherwise giving very good clinical results, is not suitable for many patients because its application requires a surgical procedure and surveillance for the potential need of repositioning or replacing the catheter. An attempt to prolong the oral levodopa effect has also been made with slow release formulations of levodopa. An old open study, carried out in long-term treated patients, showed that the sustained release formulation of levodopa

plus benserazide in association with the standard formulation plus benserazide was useful in improving predictable fluctuations.³¹ The comparison between the standard formulations and the slow release preparation of levodopa plus carbidopa demonstrated similar levels of control of PD-related symptoms, including fluctuations or dyskinesia, after five years of observation.32 Another possibility for prolonging the duration of levodopa effect is to add an inhibitor of catecol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) - the catabolic enzyme that coverts levodopa into its main metabolite 3-O-methyldopa - thus blocking the metabolism of the drug. COMT inhibitor entacapone, acting on the peripheral metabolism of levodopa, administered every three hours together with levodopa, induced changes in the pharmacokinetic profile of the drug similar to those obtained with continuous infusion.33 Another COMT inhibitor, more potent than entacapone and also acting within the central nervous system, is tolcapone,34,35 now available again after having been withdrawn from the market for its potential hepatotoxicity,36 which may be facilitated by genetic predisposition;³⁷ frequent controls of liver function are therefore required when using this drug. Other drugs blocking the central metabolism of dopamine are the monoamine oxidase-B

inhibitors (MAOBIs), such as deprenyl, which, when proposed as initial treatment, proved able to induce fewer motor complications than levodopa after more than two years;³⁸ a recent meta-analysis, however, has pointed out that the judgement of MAOBIs in early PD requires further large, longterm comparative trials, which include patientrated quality of life measures.³⁹

Conclusions

Continuous dopaminergic stimulation is currently considered the best therapeutic option for PD. This view is supported by both experimental studies and clinical evidence.40 Continuous dopaminergic stimulation can be pursued using different approaches, which implies that there are different tools for trying to relieve PD symptoms and for tailoring the best possible therapy for each patient. In fact, if the choice of the therapy is mainly influenced by the disease characteristics, other factors cannot be neglected, including the age of the patient, comorbidities, concomitant use of other drugs and, last but not least, how each patient and his/her family would manage their life with PD. As for 'when' continuous dopaminergic stimulation should be started, the obvious answer is: as soon as possible.

References

- 1. Martignoni E, et al, "Motor complications of Parkinson's diseas", Neurol Sci (2003);24: pp. S27-S29.
- 2. Mercuri NB, Bernardi G, "The 'magic' of L-dopa: why is it the gold standard Parkinson's disease therapy?", Trend Pharmacol Sci (2005);26: pp.341–344.
- 3. Fahn S, "The spectrum of levodopa-induced dyskinesias", Ann Neurol (2000);47(Suppl. 1): pp. 2-11.
- 4. LIMPE, "Guidelines for the treatment of Parkinson's Disease 2002", Neurol Sci (2003);24(Suppl. 3): pp. S157-S164.
- 5. Lewis SJG, Foltynie T, Blackwell AD, et al., "Heterogeneity of Parkinson's disease in the early clinical stages using a data driven approach", J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry (2005);76: pp.343–348.
- 6. Sossi V, de la Fuente-Fernandez R, Schulzer M, et al., "Age-related differences in levodopa dynamics in Parkinson's disease: implications for motor complications", Brain (2006);129: pp. 1050–1058.
- 7. Muller T, Erdmann C, Bremen D, et al., "Impact of gastric emptying on levodopa pharmacokinetics in Parkinson disease patients", Clin Neuropharmacol (2006);29: pp.61–67.
- 8. Abercombie ED, Bonatz AE, Zigmond MJ, "Effects of L-DOPA on extracellular dopamine in striatum of normal and 6hydroxydopamine-treated rats", Brain Res (1990);525: pp. 36–44.
- 9. Venton BJ, Zhang H, Garris PA, et al., "Real-time decoding of dopamine concentration changes in the caudate-putamen during tonic and phasic firing", J Neurochem (2004);89: pp. 1284–1295.
- 10. Inoue M, Katsumi Y, Hayashi T, et al., "Sensory stimulation accelerates dopamine release in the basal ganglia", Brain Res (2004);1026: pp. 179–184
- 11. Rodriguez M, Ginzales J, Sabate M, Obeso J, Pereda E, "Firing regulation in dopaminergic cells: effect of the partial degeneration of nigrostriatal system in surviving neurons", Eur J Neurosci (2003);18: pp. 53–60.
- 12. Blandini F, Nappi G, Tassorelli C, Martignoni E, "Functional changes of the basal ganglia circuitry in Parkinson's disease", Progress in Neurobiology (2000);62: pp. 63–88.
- Maratos EC, Jackson MJ, Pearce RK, et al., "Both short- and long-acting D-1/D-2 dopamine agonists induce less dyskinesia than L-DOPA in the MPTP-lesioned common marmoset [Callithrix jacchus]", Exp Neurol (2003);179: pp. 90–102.
- 14. Pearce RK, Banerji T, Jenner P, Marsden CD, "De novo administration of ropinirole and bromocriptine induces less

dyskinesia than levodopa in the MPTP treated marmoset", Mov Disord (1998);13: pp. 234-241.

- Nielsen KM, Soghomonian JJ, "Dual effects of intermittent or continuous L-DOPA administration on gene expression in the globus pallidus and subthalamic nucleus of adult rats with a unilateral 6-OHDA lesion", Synapse (2003);49: pp. 246–260.
- Tel BC, Zeng BY, Cannizzaro C, et al., "Alterations in striatal neuropeptide mRNA produced by repeated administration of L-DOPA, ropinirole or bromocriptine correlate with dyskinesia induction in MPTP-treated common marmosets", Neuroscience (2002);115: pp. 1047–1058.
- 17. Picconi B, Centonze D, Hakansson K, et al., "Loss of bidirectional striatal synaptic plasticity in L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia", Nat Neurosci (2003);6: pp. 501–506.
- 18. Rascol O, Brooks DJ, Korczyn AD, et al., "A five-year study of the incidence of dyskinesia in patients with early Parkinson's disease who were treated with ropinirole or levodopa", N Engl J Med (2000);342: pp. 1484–1491.
- 19. Bracco F, Battaglia A, Chouza C, et al., "The long-acting dopamine receptor agonist cabergoline in early Parkinson's disease: final results of a 5-year, double-blind, levodopa-controlled study", CNS Drugs (2004);18: pp. 733–746.
- 20. The Parkinson Study Group, "Pramipexole vs levodopa as initial treatment for Parkinson disease. A 4-year randomized controlled trial", Arch Neurol (2004);61: pp. 1044–1053.
- Blanchet PJ, Calon F, Martel JC, et al., "Continuous administration decreases and pulsatile administration increases behavioral sensitivity to novel dopamine D2 agonist (U-91356A) in MPTP-exposed monkeys", J Pharmacol Exp Ther (1995);272: pp. 854–859.
- 22. Bibbiani F, Costantini LC, Patel R, Chase TN, "Continuous dopaminergic stimulation reduces risk of motor complications in Parkinsonian primates", Exp Neurol (2005);192: pp. 73–78.
- 23. Juncos JL, Engber TM, Raisman R, et al., "Continuous and intermittent levodopa differentially affect basal ganglia function", Ann Neurol (1989);25: pp. 473–478.
- Engber TM, Susel Z, Juncos JL, Chase TN, "Continuous and intermittent levodopa differentially affect rotation induced by D-1 and D-2 dopamine agonists", Eur J Pharmacol (1989);168: pp. 291–298.
- Deleu D, Hanssens Y, Northway MG, "Subcutaneous apomorphine: an evidence-based review of its use in Parkinson's disease", Drugs Aging (2004);21: pp. 687–709.
- Stocchi F, Ruggieri S, Vacca L, Olanow CW, "Prospective randomized trial of lisuride infusion versus oral levodopa in PD patients", Brain (2002);125: pp. 2058–2066.
- 27. Morgan JC, Sethi KD, "Rotigotine for the treatment of Parkinson's disease", Expert Rev Neurother (2006);6: pp. 1275–1282.
- 28. Richard IH, Frank S, McDermott MP et al., "The ups and downs of Parkinson disease: a prospective study of mood and anxiety fluctuations", Cogn Behav Neurol (2004);17: pp. 201–207.
- Sage JI, Trooskin S, Sonsalla PK, et al., "Long-term duodenal infusion of levodopa for motor fluctuations in parkinsonism", Ann Neurol (1988);24: pp. 87–89.
- 30. Nyholm D, Nilsson Remahl AI, et al., "Duodenal levodopa infusion monotherapy vs oral polypharmacy in advanced Parkinson disease", Neurology (2005);64: pp. 216–223.
- 31. Pacchetti C, Martignoni E, Sibilla L, et al., "Effectiveness of Madopar HBS plus Madopar standard in patients with fluctuating Parkinson's disease: two years of follow up", Eur Neurol (1990);30: pp. 319–323.
- 32. Koller WC, Hutton JT, TolosaE, Capilldeo R, "Immediate-release and controlled-release carbidopa/levodopa in PD: a 5-year randomized multicenter study. Carbidopa/Levodopa Study Group", Neurology (1999);53: pp. 1012–1019.
- 33. Olanow CW, Stocchi F, "COMT inhibitors in Parkinson's disease: can they prevent and/or reverse levodopa-induced motor complications?" Neurology (2004);62(Suppl .1): pp. S72–81.
- 34. Randomized, placebo-controlled study of tolcapone in patients with fluctuating Parkinson disease treated with levodopacarbidopa, Tolcapone Fluctuator Study Group III, Arch Neurol (1998).
- 35. Blandini F, Nappi G, Fancellu R, et al., "Modifications of plasma and platelet levels of L-DOPA and its direct metabolites during treatment with tolcapone or entacapone in patients with Parkinson's disease", J Neural Transm (2003);110(8): pp. 911–922.
- 36. Lees AJ, Ratziu V, Tolosa E, et al., "Safety and tolerability of adjunctive tolcapone therapy in early Parkinson's disease patients", J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry (2006); [Epub ahead of print]
- 37. Martignoni E, Cosentino M, Ferrari M, et al., "Two patients with COMT inhibitor-induced hepatic dysfunction and UGT1A9 genetic polymorphism", Neurology (2005);65: pp. 1820–1822.
- 38. Caraceni T, Musicco M, "Levodopa or dopamine agonist, or deprenyl as initial treatment for Parkinson's disease. A randomized multicenter study", Parkinsonism and Related Disorders (2001);7: pp. 107–114.
- Ives NJ, Stowe RL, Marro J et al., "Monoamine oxidase type B inhibitors in early Parkinson's disease: meta-analysis of 17 randomised trials involving 3525 patients", BMJ (2004);329(7466): p. 593.
- 40. Olanow CW, Obeso JA, Stocchi F, "Continuous dopamine-receptor treatment of Parkinson's disease: scientific rationale and clinical implications", Lancet Neurol (2006);5: pp. 677–687.



The first and only transdermal patch for early-stage Parkinson's disease

- Once-daily non-ergolinic dopamine agonist¹
- Steady-state plasma concentration profile over 24 hours²
- Proven efficacy in early Parkinson's disease^{1,3}
- Well tolerated³

The Parkinson's Patch

NEUPRO® Rotigotine. Prescribing Information. Presentation: Neupro® is a thin, matrix-type square transdermal patch. Neupro 2 mg/24 h transdermal patch: Releases 1 mg rotigotine over 24 hours. 10 cm³ patch contains 4.5 mg rotigotine. Neupro 4 mg/24 h transdermal patch: Releases 4 mg rotigotine over 24 hours. 20 cm³ patch contains 9.0 mg rotigotine. Neupro 6 mg/24 h transdermal patch: Releases 4 mg rotigotine over 24 hours. 30 cm³ patch contains 13.5 mg rotigotine. Neupro 8 mg/24 h transdermal patch: Releases 6 mg rotigotine over 24 hours. 30 cm³ patch contains 13.5 mg rotigotine. Neupro 8 mg/24 h transdermal patch: Releases 8 mg rotigotine over 24 hours. 30 cm³ patch contains 13.5 mg rotigotine. Neupro 8 mg/24 h transdermal patch: Releases 8 mg rotigotine over 24 hours. 40 cm³ patch contains 18.0 mg rotigotine. Indications: To treat the signs and symptoms of early-stage idiopathic Parkinson's disease without concomitant levodopa therapy. Dosage: Neupro is applied to the skin once a day.The patch memains on the skin for 24 hours and will then be replaced by a new one at a different application site. Treatment is initiated with a single daily dose of 2 mg/24 h in Keek 3 and 8 mg/24 h in Week 4, until an effective dose is reached. Maximal dose is 8 mg/24 h. Contraindications: Hypersensitivity to rotigotine or to any of the excipients. Neupro should be removed prior to Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) or cardioversion to avoid burns. Warnings and precautions: External heat should not be applied to the patch. Dopamine agonists are known to cause hypotension, and monitoring of blood pressure is recommended. Where somnolence or sudden sleep onset occurs, or where there is persistent, spreading or serious skin reak to application to minimise the risk of skin reactions. In case of generalised skin reaction associated with use of Neupro, discontinue treatment. Avoid exposure to direct sunlight until the skin is healed. If reatment is to be withdrawn, it should be gradually reduced to avoid symptoms of neurol

those patients who are insufficiently controlled by rotigotine. **Undesirable effects**: Very common side effects include nausea, vomiting, somnolence, dizziness and application site reactions. Common side effects include anorexia, hallucinations, sleep attacks, insomnia, abnormal dreams, headache, dyskinesia, lethargy, orthostatic hypotension, hypertension, hiccup, cough, constipation, diarrhoea, dry mouth, dyspepsia, hyperhydrosis, erythema, pruritis, asthenic conditions and peripheral oedema. Uncommonly, syncope, loss of consciousness, visual disturbances, or hypotension may occur. Rareky psychotic disorders, increased libido or convulsion may occur. Product Licence number: EU/1/05/331/001-013 Product Licence holder: SCHWARZ PHARMA Ltd, Shannon Industrial Estate, Shannon, Co. Clare, Ireland. Date of preparation: October 2005. Date of literature preparation: January 2006. Neupro® is a registered trademark. Prescribers should consult the Summary of Product Characteristics for the full information on side-effects, warnings and precautions. Further information is available from SCHWARZ PHARMA AG, Alfred-Nobel-Straße 10, Monheim, Germany.

References:

Neupro Summary of Product Characteristics.
Braun M et al. Poster presented at EFNS 2005.
Watts RL et al. Poster presented at MDS 2004. Abstract P737.

