Stimulation of Plasticity and Functional Recovery After Stroke – Cell-based and Pharmacological Therapy
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Abstract

Stroke is a major cause of mortality and morbidity worldwide. There are two approaches to treat stroke, a neuroprotective approach that focuses on reducing the lesion and a neurorestorative one, designed to promote neurological recovery by treating the entire central nervous system (CNS). To date, clinical trials of neuroprotective agents for stroke have failed. Recently, cell-based and pharmacological neurorestorative therapies have shown enormous promise in reducing neurological deficits caused by stroke. This article will briefly describe preclinical studies on how the injured CNS can be stimulated by cell-based and pharmacological therapies to amplify its intrinsic restorative processes and thereby lead to improvement in neurological function post-stroke.
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For decades, the primary approach and goal of therapy for stroke and neural injury have been the treatment of the injured tissue, with intervention designed to reduce the volume of cerebral infarction. Enormous effort has gone into the development of neuroprotective agents, including free radical scavengers, glutamate antagonists, among a myriad of others. Neuroprotective agents developed in the laboratory were translated into clinical trials and all have failed. Reasons for these failures are multifaceted and in part may be attributed to inadequate, and often unrealistic, treatment protocols associated with dosing and time of administration, naively translated from preclinical studies to the clinic. The only agent that has been developed and is now in clinical use for the treatment of stroke is recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rtPA) employed for thrombolysis. rtPA is, however, not a neuroprotective agent, but, essentially an agent that improves tissue perfusion by lysing the offending clot. The therapeutic window for rtPA, however, is merely 4.5 hours, and until recently only three hours. However, currently in the US, fewer than 5 % of patients receive rtPA, with the primary reason being the relatively short therapeutic window. In addition, rtPA has a serious adverse side effect of increasing the rate of haemorrhagic transformation. rtPA also cannot be employed for haemorrhagic stroke. Therefore, there is a compelling need to develop therapeutic agents whose use extends well beyond the first few hours of stroke and can be employed to treat all stroke patients. These agents would be designed for treatment days and weeks after stroke. To do this requires a paradigm shift away from neuroprotective agents for stroke that treat the ischaemic lesion, to that of neurorestorative agents which treat the intact or compromised cerebral tissue, to promote brain plasticity and thereby remodel the brain to compensate for the damaged tissue. In this article, we will review select ways to stimulate brain plasticity post-stroke and thereby improve functional outcome.

The majority of stroke patients, particularly younger patients, show improvement in neurological function over time. This improvement may be associated with compensatory processes, which may be attributed to the induction of brain plasticity. Post-stroke or brain injury, cerebral tissue is primed for recovery. The injured and affected cerebral tissue, in various ways reverts to a quasi-ontogenous or developmental state, expressing genes and proteins that are developmental and that lead to brain remodeling. In this quasi-developmental state, angiogenesis, neurogenesis and synaptogenesis are evident. These restorative processes that are interdependent essentially remodel the brain and lead to improved neurological function. However, these restorative processes are often inadequate to fully restore neurological function and many stroke patients are left with severe neurological deficits. The essential question therefore is, whether we can amplify these restorative processes so that neurological function can be enhanced post-stroke. In this article, we will briefly describe ways to do this. Cell-based and pharmacological therapies can stimulate essential...
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restorative processes and thereby lead to improvement in neurological function. We will describe preclinical work and review the applications of these cell-based and pharmacological restorative approaches to the stroke patient.

Cell-based Therapies for Stroke

Cells are essentially a living polypharmacy, providing multiple restorative factors that are biologically titrated to the needs of the tissue. Although stem cells have the capacity to differentiate into all cells,(32,33) their use, thus far for the treatment of stroke, is not connected with this differentiation. Exogenously administered cells appear to stimulate endogenous restorative processes and do not replace injured cerebral tissue.32,33 The administered cells release many trophic factors and more importantly stimulate parenchymal cells, primarily astrocytes, to produce proteins that amplify brain plasticity.34,35 We, and others, have shown that exogenously administered cells delivered by a vascular route greatly amplify the generation of neuroblasts in the subventricular zone.35 These neuroblasts migrate to the site of injury and interact with cerebral vasculature, particularly angiogenic vessels.36 Concurrently, angiogenesis is upregulated, primarily in or near to the boundary zone of the ischemic lesion.35,36 These angiogenic vessels produce an array of factors, including brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which promote neurogenesis.37,38 Therefore, angiogenesis and neurogenesis are coupled restorative systems. The angiogenic vessels promote differentiation of the neuroblasts and the neuroblasts promote further angiogenesis and upregulate the expression of agents, such as angiopoietin 1, which leads to the maturation of the newly formed vasculature.39 Concurrent and likely driven by these processes, is an extensive amplification of neurite outgrowth and increased axonal density.35,36 These rewiring events are present in the ipsilateral hemisphere,37,38 as well as in the contralateral hemisphere and there is a robust and highly significant correlation between neurite outgrowth and functional recovery, particularly in the contralateral hemisphere.37,38 Of substantial interest, it should be noted that not only is there bilateral cerebral hemisphere response and plasticity to cell therapy, but also there is neurite outgrowth in the spinal cord, with axonal extension from the intact to the denervated cord and enhanced plasticity of the cortical spinal tract, which robustly correlate with improvement of neurological function post-stroke.39 Thus, cell therapies amplify endogenous restorative events that facilitate neurological recovery. There have been many studies using a large variety of cells for the treatment of experimental stroke, ranging from adult mesenchymal cells,(40,41) to cord blood,(42) cord tissue(43) and an array of progenitor and stem cells, including embryonic stem cells.44

The transplantation of stem/progenitor cells is a key element in the rapidly growing field of regenerative medicine. Based on their ability to rescue and/or repair injured tissue and partially restore organ function, multiple types of stem/progenitor cells have already entered into clinical trials. Safety for various cells has been demonstrated in stroke patients. Several institutions have carried out Phase I clinical trials with intravenous autologous bone marrow transplantation for stroke patients and have reported preliminary results.45-47

Pharmacological Therapy for Recovery of Function

Cells are not the only means by which to stimulate recovery via the induction of brain plasticity. There are a number of pharmacological agents, some of which mimic or reflect developmental processes, which promote brain recovery. Trophic factors, such as BDNF,(48,49) hepatocyte growth factor (HGF),(50,51) and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF),(52) and other agents such as minocycline(53) have been demonstrated to provide restorative therapeutic benefit in preclinical studies and have moved into clinical trials.(54,55)

Our laboratory has pioneered the use of phosphodiesterase 5 inhibitors,(56,57) statins,(58,59) and agents that increase high-density lipoproteins and hormones such as thymosin beta 4,(60) erythropoietin(61) and carbamylated erythropoietin for the treatment of stroke(62) and neural injury,(63) and we have also employed the multifactor restorative agent cerebrolysin for stroke therapy.(64,65)

All the pharmacological agents tested so far, which show evidence of being neurorestorative, induce brain plasticity, angiogenesis, neurogenesis and synaptogenesis. The molecular and signal transduction pathways by which these agents promote brain plasticity have also been investigated, and they appear to activate specific signaling pathways, such as PDK/Akt(66,67) and sonic hedgehog (Shh).68,69 This is a critical, however, is not a forum to discuss these pathways. We focus here on the observation that pharmacological agents by various means and multiple signal transduction pathways can induce CNS plasticity that enhances functional recovery from stroke and neural injury.

The translation of these restorative agents from the laboratory to the clinic has to be performed with care and laboratory testing must be performed to simulate as close as possible clinical conditions. Not doing so can result in failure of a trial and poor outcome for stroke patients. The use of erythropoietin (EPO) to treat stroke provides an example of the poor translation of laboratory studies to the clinic leading to a negative clinical trial.60 EPO was demonstrated in multiple preclinical studies to provide potent therapeutic benefit for the treatment of stroke, and appeared to be a strong candidate for translation into the clinic. The Phase III clinical trial that was performed, however, was unsuccessful, and had to be terminated because of high mortality and adverse effects.61 Careful comparison of the clinical trial with the preclinical data illustrates the inadequate performance of preclinical studies and the failure to properly test, i.e. to perform studies in the animals that will mimic the use of the agent in the human. Of the stroke patients in the reported clinical trial, 63.4 % were administered rtPA, yet prior to the performance of the clinical trial, the preclinical studies and the failure to properly test, i.e. to perform studies in the animals that will mimic the use of the agent in the human. Of the stroke patients in the reported clinical trial, 63.4 % were administered rtPA, yet prior to the performance of the clinical trial, EPO was not tested in the laboratory in conjunction with rtPA. A subsequent study from our laboratory with the combination of rtPA and EPO clearly demonstrated in animals the adverse effects observed in the human trial.62 There were other flaws associated with the EPO clinical trial, including enrollment of an unacceptably high proportion of patients that did not comply with the inclusion criteria specified in the treatment protocol. Therefore, because of inadequate preclinical study and poor recruitment, a potentially important and promising drug for the treatment of stroke has been removed from testing.

Phosphodiesterase 5 (PDE5) inhibitors are examples of an agent that shows promising therapeutic restorative effects, when administered 24 or more hours after stroke. The studies with PDE5 inhibitors arose because of early studies showing that agents that increase nitric oxide (NO), donors of NO, such as diethylenetriamine NONOate (DATA-NONOate), provide restorative therapeutic effect post-stroke.63 Further studies demonstrated that the restorative effect to increasing NO could be attributed to the increase of cyclic guanosine
monophosphate (cGMP), a major signalling molecule. To confirm the role of cGMP as the target for the restorative therapeutic effect of NO, we sought another way to increase cGMP without increasing NO. cGMP can be increased in tissue by inhibiting its hydrolysis via PDEs. Therefore, by using a PDE6 inhibitor, we would increase cGMP. A widely used PDE6 inhibitor is sildenafil and we have tested sildenafil in preclinical stroke studies, demonstrating its efficacy in young and old animals, with a therapeutic window of at least 30 days post-stroke. Our studies have lead to a Phase II clinical trial sponsored by Pfizer in which a PDE6 inhibitor is used as a restorative agent to treat stroke.

Cerebrolysin, a peptide-based drug, is a prime candidate for the treatment of stroke and neural injuries. Multiple laboratories have demonstrated the safety and efficacy of this agent in the treatment of experimental stroke.[1, 2] Cerebrolysin is presently in clinical trials and is in common use in many countries for the clinical treatment of stroke.[3, 4] We have demonstrated that cerebrolysin induces neurogenesis and angiogenesis in animal models of stroke and concomitantly enhances brain plasticity and recovery from stroke.[5] The full potential of this drug as a restorative agent for the treatment of stroke and neural injury awaits further investigation.

In this article, we have briefly described a novel approach to the treatment of stroke and neural injury, the use of agents to stimulate endogenous recovery mechanisms. Although neuroprotection remains a vital clinical target, it is our belief that therapeutic efficacy would be maximised with a focus on neurorestoration, the amplification of intrinsic CNS processes using cell-based or pharmacological based therapies. The therapeutic approach should be to minimise damage, via neuroprotective agents, and to maximise recovery through neurorestorative agents, treating the intact brain.