Early Diagnosis and Treatment – The Use of Ataluren in the Effective Management of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy
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The understanding of the natural history of Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is increasing rapidly and new treatments are emerging that have the potential to substantially improve the prognosis for patients with this disabling and life-shortening disease. For many, however, there is a long delay between the appearance of symptoms and DMD diagnosis, which reduces the possibility of successful treatment. DMD results from mutations in the large dystrophin gene of which one-third are de novo mutations and two-thirds are inherited from a female carrier. Roughly 75% of mutations are large rearrangements and 25% are point mutations. Certain deletions and nonsense mutations can be treated whereas many other mutations cannot currently be treated. This emphasises the need for early genetic testing to identify the mutation, guide treatment and inform genetic counselling. Treatments for DMD include corticosteroids and more recently, ataluren has been approved in Europe, the first disease-modifying therapy for treating DMD caused by nonsense mutations. The use of ataluren in DMD is supported by positive results from phase Ib and phase III studies in which the treatment produced marked improvements in the 6-minute walk test, timed function tests such as the 10 m walk/run test and the 4-stair ascent/descent test compared with placebo. In these trials, ataluren was well tolerated and adverse event profiles were similar to placebo. As such disease-modifying treatments become more widely available, the outlook for children with DMD will improve but physicians must be aware of the disease, rapidly initiate testing where it is suspected and promptly begin appropriate treatment.
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These are exciting times in Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD); the first disease-modifying drug for this indication has been approved in the European Union for ambulatory DMD in patients >5 years; other drug treatments are in the pipeline.1–5 These treatments can be most impactful when used early in the disease process and it is therefore imperative that possible cases of DMD are recognised early, referred to specialist centres promptly and are diagnosed and treated urgently. This is a challenge for neurologists, especially as diagnosis is often delayed with a consequently poorer prognosis.6–7 This review therefore discusses timely issues of current and emerging approaches to the diagnosis and treatment of DMD. This includes up-to-date knowledge of the natural history and diagnosis of DMD, an instructive case example and the current understanding of the genetics of DMD. It also evaluates the clinical study evidence supporting the use of ataluren (TranslarnaTM, PTC Therapeutics) in nonsense mutation DMD (nmDMD).

A typical journey in Duchenne muscular dystrophy – from diagnosis to referral, treatment and later development

DMD is an X-linked recessive disorder that affects 1:3,500–1:5,000 live male births.8–10 The journey of a child with DMD typically starts with delayed walking (later than 18 months) accompanied with developmental and speech delay, possible autism/behavioural problems, cognitive impairment, worsening motor skills, toe walking, falls, calf hypertrophy, a positive Gowers’ sign and significantly increased serum creatinine kinase levels (often >10,000 IU/L).11–12 Although the characteristic presentation is impaired walking, other signs should also be looked for and recognised at an earlier stage. Diagnostic procedures can still involve a muscle biopsy but genetic testing should be the gold standard since it can inform therapy. Genetic testing involves multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) for detecting deletions or duplications and full gene sequencing for detecting small point mutations including nonsense mutations.13–14 Delayed diagnosis can mean a window of opportunity for treatment is missed with consequent irreversible worsening of muscle function and other effects.14–15

Until recent years, the journey of a child with DMD ended with early death during the teenage years. In the 1960s, mean life expectancy was as short as 14.4 years; prior to 1990, range of life expectancy for boys with DMD was 16–21 years (mean 19 years). Since then, developments, particularly the availability of home ventilation, have raised life expectancy to 25–35 years (mean 28 years) and, on occasion, patients survive beyond the age of 40 years.16–17
The DMD journey can be substantially improved with early diagnosis and good disease management. This has been assisted by the development of agreed international standards of care which specify an optimal process that involves a multidisciplinary approach and recommends the use of treatments including corticosteroids. A recent meta-analysis that included 12 DMD studies with a total of 667 participants found evidence that muscle strength was improved for up to 2 years with corticosteroid treatment. In DMD, corticosteroids provide various benefits including: increased muscle strength, prolonged ambulation, reduced need for scoliosis surgery and preserved respiratory and cardiac function in adults. Corticosteroids can be used in children from 4 years of age provided they have immunity to chickenpox. These treatments, however, are associated with various risks that include weight gain, Cushingoid features, behaviour changes, growth delay, fractures, cataracts and skin changes. The adverse effect profiles vary somewhat between different corticosteroids. These risks, however, appear to be outweighed by the benefits as illustrated by data from a population-based study of 477 eligible DMD cases identified by the Muscular Dystrophy Surveillance Tracking and Research Network. Loss of ambulation (LOA) in untreated patients (n=162) occurred at an average age of 10.3 years whereas in patients who received long-term corticosteroid treatment (n=78), LOA occurred 2 years later at a mean age of 12.3 years (p<0.05).

In DMD, the patient journey frequently leads to cardiomyopathy which is an increasingly important cause of left ventricular (LV) dysfunction resulting in arrhythmias and heart failure. Current recommendations advise using angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors after the development of LV dysfunction to improve cardiac function. More recently, several studies have shown that the onset of LV dysfunction can be delayed and mortality decreased with the early commencement of ACE inhibitors by the age of 10 years. In patients who cannot tolerate ACE inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers can be used as an alternative.

The case example below illustrates the journey of one patient with DMD and emphasises the serious effects the disease has on the normal development of a young boy. However, it also indicates that the use of a treatment that addresses the cause of DMD (ataluren) can prolong ambulation beyond the expected age and delay other disease milestones. When used in combination with other standard-of-care therapies such as a corticosteroid and physiotherapy, this treatment has the potential to improve quality of life and prognosis in DMD.

Final survival outcomes with corticosteroid treatment are not yet known and greater long-term experience of these drugs is needed. Nevertheless, there is a marked improvement in boys who receive them. In the adult practice at the National Hospital for Neurology and Neurosurgery, London, UK, among a cohort of 54 adults with DMD and receiving corticosteroids, only one required non-invasive ventilation at the time of transfer to adult services compared with 22/54 who were not receiving corticosteroids. The importance of corticosteroid treatment in DMD was further emphasised by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval in 2017 of deflazacort for the treatment of this disease in children aged 5 years and older. Recent work has shown that long-term glucocorticoid therapy in DMD cuts death risk by 50%. It is likely in the near future that all alternative strategies will need to be proved as complementary to this treatment. Such treatments however need to be used cautiously; a recent study investigated associations between timing of corticosteroid treatment initiation and clinical outcomes in DMD and notably found that an earlier start of corticosteroids is potentially linked to earlier heart disease.

The optimal treatment schedule for corticosteroids in DMD is unclear and it is often a matter of balancing efficacy with side effects. These issues are being investigated further in the ongoing Finding the Optimum Regimen for DMD (FOR-DMD) study (NCT01603407, n=225) that aims to establish whether daily/intermittent prednisolone or daily deflazacort Regimen for DMD (FOR-DMD) study (NCT01603407, n=225) that aims to establish whether daily/intermittent prednisolone or daily deflazacort is the better option. Such treatments however need to be used cautiously; a recent study investigated associations between timing of corticosteroid treatment initiation and clinical outcomes in DMD and notably found that an earlier start of corticosteroids is potentially linked to earlier heart disease.

In the DMD journey, LOA probably correlates with long-term survival, so it is likely that increasing LOA age will, in turn, improve survival. There may also be an additive effect when corticosteroids are used in combination with a disease-modifying drug such as ataluren. Given this experience, when seeing a new patient with DMD it is advisable to prepare both the boy and his family to expect survival into adulthood.
The natural history of DMD and the journey experienced by children with the disease therefore is changing and will continue to change as new treatment options emerge. Improvements in prognosis can be achieved with early diagnosis that enables the optimum effect of treatments such as corticosteroids and ataluren. It is also vital that patients with nonsense mutations are identified indicating those who are eligible for ataluren treatment.

**Genetic testing – a crucial component in accurate diagnosis of Duchenne muscular dystrophy**

DMD results from a deletion, duplication or point mutation (nonsense, frameshifting, etc.) in the dystrophin gene at Xp21 and is recognisable by an absence or reduced levels of dystrophin staining in muscle biopsies.1,5,8,13 The gene encoding dystrophin is located on the X chromosome (Xp21.2), is very large (2.2 Mb) and exhibits a high mutation rate.36 This gene has very long introns and the coding sequence consists of 79 exons involving seven promoters (three full length and four internal), which give rise to different protein isoforms. In skeletal muscle, the full-length protein is 427 kDa, is found at the sarcolemma and, through binding to transmembrane proteins, connects to the extracellular matrix. Dystrophin has a structural role providing strength, flexibility and stability to muscle fibres and is involved in the regulation of signalling processes.36,37

Dystrophinopathies are allelic conditions linked to mutations in the DMD gene that codes for the dystrophin protein. There is a wide spectrum of disease severity ranging from paucisymptomatic forms (cramps and myoglobinuria) and X-linked dilated cardiomyopathy through to Becker muscular dystrophy (BMD) to DMD. Disease severity is dependent on the amount and functionality of the dystrophin protein present.46,50 In approximately 80% of cases there are large rearrangements consisting of deletions or duplications of exon(s); the remaining 20% consist of point mutations.41–2

The reading frame rule, which was described over 25 years ago, largely explains how different mutations within the dystrophin gene could give rise to different clinical presentations.41 Mutations that introduce a single (due to a nonsense mutation) or a series of premature stop codons (due to a frameshifting mutation) in the coding sequence of DMD mRNA lead to the degradation of the mutant transcript by the mRNA surveillance mechanisms and/or premature termination of translation. As a result, no dystrophin protein is synthesised most often leading to the most severe disease, DMD. In contrast, mutations that preserve an open reading frame allow the synthesis of a shorter but partially functional dystrophin protein and are associated with a BMD phenotype of variable severity depending on the amount and functionality of the mutant dystrophin. The reading frame rule holds true for 92–6% and 93% of the mutations in DMD and BMD patients respectively.41,52

The strategy of genetic testing in DMD is to look for the most common mutations first. Deletions are present in about 67–72% of cases and duplications in 7–11% of cases. They are not randomly distributed but rather clustered in ‘hot spots’ around exons 45–55 and exons 2–20, respectively.41,53–55 The multiplex polymerase chain reaction assay has been widely used for a long time as it is a simple and inexpensive procedure that detects 98% of deletions.43 This, however, does not detect duplications nor allow definition of the exact boundary of the deletions in all cases.

Currently, detection of large rearrangements in the DMD gene relies on gene dosage techniques in both male patients and female relatives. These include MLPA using exonic probes on 79 exons, array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) using over 20,000 probes covering the entire gene (introns and exons) and massively parallel next generation sequencing (NGS), which can cover 79 exons with intronic boundaries or the entire gene14–31 and can readily identify deletions and duplications.33 These last few years, MLPA has become the most common dosage technique used. It is highly efficient but false positive results are possible where there is a single exon deletion caused by a point mutation or a single nucleotide polymorphism on the probe-binding region. As recommended by the laboratory best practice guidelines, an independent method should always be used to verify the presence of a single exon.

In addition, false negatives are possible when the deletion is not covered by the probe hybridisation or can give wrong information regarding the extent of the deletion.44–46 Using aCGH has contributed to the characterisation of the full spectrum of large rearrangements in the DMD gene that include triplications and complex rearrangements (non-continuous rearrangements) and allowed the precise definition of intronic boundaries.47 The gene dosage techniques, however, cannot define the location or orientation of duplications and triplications.

In DMD, about 20% of mutations are point mutations, of which almost half (48%) are nonsense mutations (10% of all mutations).41,52 In contrast to large rearrangements, these point mutations are randomly located and their identification requires entire gene sequencing using either an exon-by-exon (Sanger) approach or using the newer NGS technique. In some rare cases, nonsense mutations can occur in BMD. They are preferentially found in the block of in-frame exons 25–40, where the mutations have been shown to induce some degree of exon skipping.56–58 This can eliminate a nonsense mutation giving rise to an in-frame and translatable transcript and a limited amount of internally truncated and partly functional dystrophin. It is therefore important to check the disease phenotype and perform dystrophin analysis in addition to genetic analyses when nonsense mutations are found in this region of the DMD gene.59

Given the value of information provided by genetic analyses, muscle biopsy now is only recommended when genetic analysis is inconclusive (however, some laboratories may request confirmation of a dystrophinopathy diagnosis before engaging in sequencing analyses for identification of point mutations). In such unclear cases, RNA sequencing analysis may also be tried to reveal deep intronic mutations.44 RNA and protein studies are also useful to explore further some cases of discordance between genotype and phenotype.42–44 These approaches are described in the Best Practice Guidelines on Molecular Diagnostics in DMD/BMD, which were published in 2010.62

Genetic testing is important to confirm a diagnosis and to justify multidisciplinary care and early corticosteroid treatment for patients. Establishing a mutation-specific diagnosis is also important in determining whether it is a de novo mutation (in about one-third of isolated cases) or inherited through a maternal carrier (two-thirds of cases). This allows for appropriate genetic counselling of family members and can enable prenatal diagnosis and preimplantation genetic diagnosis (in vitro fertilisation [IVF]) in female carriers. Genetic testing also directs treatment since some therapies are suitable only for certain types of mutations.42–44 Genetic testing is therefore a critical tool in the accurate diagnosis of DMD and helps avoid missing the opportunity for personalised treatment.

Genetic testing occurs only after DMD is suspected and the patient is referred to a specialist centre. One study in the UK showed that symptoms were first reported at a mean age of 2.7 years but a creatine
In DMD management therefore, it is critically important to be aware that disease caused by certain deletions and nonsense mutations can be treated but is essential to identify which is present in each new patient. In DMD, management, patient registries are highly valuable and are helpful in identifying suitable candidates for clinical trials. An example is the Universal Mutation Database-Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (UMD-DMD) in France that aims to include all French patients with a genetically confirmed diagnosis of dystrophinopathy (i.e. DMD gene mutation identified) through the contribution of a network of laboratories and clinical reference centres. This is a searchable database that now includes data on 2,898 patients and unpublished data. In this registry, 61% of dystrophinopathy patients have DMD and among mutations, 79% are large rearrangements and 21% are point mutations. Of all mutations, 10% are nonsense mutations.

Recent data supporting the first disease-modifying treatment in Duchenne muscular dystrophy (ataluren)

When conducting clinical trials in DMD it is important to select endpoints that are appropriate to the treatment under investigation, its mechanism of action and treatment duration. The most common endpoint used in DMD is the 6-minute walk test (6MWT). Timed function tests (TFTs) including the 10 m walk/run test, 4-stair ascent or descent and time to 10% persistent worsening of 6MWT are also used. There is, however, a need for wider ranging assessments in DMD; no single outcome measure in DMD is ideal for all stages of the disease. A wider measure of physical capability is provided by the North Star Ambulatory Assessment (NSAA), which is a tool specifically developed for DMD patients. Combinations of these endpoints have been used in the clinical trials of ataluren, drisapersen, tadalafil, eteplirsen and anti-myostatin monoclonal antibody for the treatment of DMD.

The inclusion criteria of clinical studies involving these drugs in DMD have included specific lower limits for baseline 6-minute walk distance (6MWD) ranging from ≥75 m to ≥300 m, and some had a specific range (e.g. 200–400 m for tadalaflid). In DMD studies, shorter baseline 6MWDs are associated with more rapid disease progression and functional outcome measures are less sensitive in these more advanced cases. It is therefore an important criterion in selecting clinical study participants. This was highlighted in one study (n=57) in which children with longer 6MWDs at baseline (≥350 m) showed a mean decrease of 5 m over 48 months, whereas children with shorter 6MWD at baseline (<350 m) showed a much greater decrease of 107 m (Figure 1). Selecting children with baseline 6MWDs in the range of 300–400 m may be the optimal subgroup to show a treatment effect in a 1-year clinical trial in DMD; the difference between active treatment and placebo will be most marked in this group. Alternatively, other measures may be used in combination with baseline 6MWD to define the patient population; for example, stand from supine >5 seconds corresponds approximately to 6MWD >400 m and may be used as a threshold instead. In addition, patients with a baseline 6MWD <300 m have a higher muscular fat fraction as seen on magnetic resonance spectrometry (MRS) than those with a baseline 6MWD ≥350 m. A >0.8% fat fraction in patients’ muscles correlates with a sharp loss of their ability to walk.

Ataluren is currently the only disease-modifying drug that is approved in Europe for use in nmDMD in ambulatory boys aged ≥5 years. Ataluren has recently been recommended for reimbursement by the UK National Institute for Health and Clinical Care Excellence (NICE) and by the Agenzia Italiana del Farmaco (AIFA). Ataluren has been shown to bind to the ribosome and enables readthrough of a premature stop codon caused by a nonsense mutation resulting in the production of a full-length functional protein. Evidence supporting the use of ataluren in DMD comes from several clinical trials including a phase IIb study in ambulatory patients (n=174) in which males ≥5 years with DMD nonsense mutations and a baseline 6MWD ≥75 m were randomised (1:1:1) to ataluren 80 mg/kg/day or placebo over 48 weeks followed by an open-label extension (70% of patients in all three groups were receiving corticosteroids). After 48 weeks, patients receiving ataluren 40 mg/kg/day showed a 31.7 m smaller reduction in 6MWD compared with placebo (adjusted p=0.0367) (Figure 2). These findings were strengthened by clinically meaningful differences of 1.5 seconds in three of four timed function tests (worsening of 10 m walk/run, 4-stair ascent and 4-stair descent times) for ataluren 40mg/kg/day compared with placebo. Further evidence came from a post-hoc subgroup analysis.
of ‘decline phase’ children aged 7–16 years (n=61) who had a baseline 6MWD between ≥150 and ≤80% predicted for their age and height. Patients were randomized (1:1) to ataluren 40 mg/kg/day or placebo. In the intention to treat (ITT) population at 48 weeks, patients receiving ataluren had a smaller decrease in 6MWD compared with patients receiving placebo but this was not significant (p=0.213). In a pre-specified analysis of boys whose 6MWD was ≥300–<400 m at baseline (n=99), patients on ataluren had a 42.9 ± 15.9 m (least squares mean ± standard error) smaller decrease in their 6MWD compared with patients on placebo (p=0.007) (Figure 3). Secondary efficacy endpoints (10 m run/walk, 4-stair ascent/descent) showed advantages for ataluren treatment versus placebo in the ITT population and even greater differences in the baseline 6MWD ≥300–<400 m subgroup (Table 1). For the ITT population at 48 weeks, there was a numerical but non-significant treatment difference of 0.8 points (p=0.128) in the total NSAA scores which favoured ataluren treatment. When this score was linear transformed, the difference was 1.5 points which remained non-significant (p=0.268). In the baseline 6MWD ≥300–<400 m subgroup, however, the treatment effect was more evident with a total NSAA score difference of 1.7 points (p=0.037) and a linear transformed score difference of 4.3 points (p=0.041). In a post-hoc analysis of this study, TFT scores were significantly different between ataluren and placebo for both entire ITT group and 300–400 m subgroup. For the ITT population, composite TFT was -1.6 ± 0.7 (p=0.023). For 300–400 m subgroup, composite TFT was -3.5 ± 1.0 (p=0.0007).

In the ACT-DMD study, safety findings were consistent with the phase IIb results. The incidence and types of adverse events reported were similar for both ataluren and placebo.

The validity of the clinical study evidence supporting ataluren in DMD is further emphasised by a pre-specified meta-analysis of primary and secondary endpoint data from the ACT-DMD ITT population and the corresponding phase IIb subgroup. This shows a distinct slowing of disease progression in DMD as a result of ataluren treatment in terms of both 6MWD and TFT parameters (Figure 4).

The above evidence from the literature indicates that when designing clinical studies in DMD, selecting appropriate endpoints is vital and differs according to disease progression for patient population subgroups, the therapy and the duration of treatment. Ataluren is a notable development in DMD management and is a first-in-class agent that addresses the underlying cause of nmDMD in patients with suitable genetic profiles. Individual study results from the phase IIb and phase III studies as well as a pre-specified meta-analysis show a treatment effect for ataluren in nmDMD across primary and secondary endpoints and show that the treatment is well tolerated.
reduce or delay functional decline in patients with DMD, in particular in young boys, at a time in their lives when they would otherwise be active and gaining strength.

In the example case illustrated here, ataluren treatment was beneficial in that it appeared to slow disease progression and prolong ambulation compared to the known natural history. It was effective in combination with corticosteroid treatment and was well tolerated. This case also illustrates the potential benefits of effective treatments and the need to commence them earlier. It is important to recognise that the age of LOA is probably associated with long-term survival and so treatments should aim to maintain patients’ ambulation for as long as possible.

In designing clinical trials in DMD it is essential to select an appropriate set of endpoints since no single parameter can capture all of the treatment effects. In the ataluren phase IIb and phase III studies, the use of appropriate endpoints3 emphasised the efficacy of the treatment over placebo. In the 48-week study, this treatment efficacy was especially apparent in terms of 6MWD and TTFs where baseline 6MWD was within prescribed limits. This efficacy was further highlighted by the meta-analysis combining data from both studies. In addition, ataluren treatment was well tolerated with a safety profile that was consistent across studies, and showed no marked differences to placebo.

Conclusion
The development of improved genetic techniques such as MLPA and NGS and the recent emergence of disease-modifying drugs has created a turning point in DMD diagnosis and management. Over the past two decades improvement in ventilation at home and the use of corticosteroid treatments has markedly improved life expectancy but new diagnostics and treatments have the potential to still further improve the prognosis. Genetic analysis has largely replaced muscle biopsy techniques and is now considered an essential diagnostic tool since it can identify the differing mutational causes of the disease, identifies carriers, informs genetic counselling and guides treatment choices. Recognition of the urgent need for therapies has led to the development of ataluren and other emerging treatments that enable the partial restoration of dystrophin protein.14 Such therapeutic approaches may substantially improve outcomes and increase the active life of patients with DMD. As the use of ataluren increases and other disease-modifying drugs are introduced, the outlook for children with DMD is likely to improve in coming decades. Realising this improvement will be dependent on awareness of DMD among physicians and a readiness to refer children suspected of having DMD to specialist centres for genetic screening and commencement of treatment as early as possible.13

Figure 4: Meta-analysis of primary and secondary endpoints in phase II and phase III clinical trials of ataluren in Duchenne muscular dystrophy

6MWT = 6-minute walk test; ACT-DMD = the phase III ataluren confirmatory trial for Duchenne muscular dystrophy; ADP = ambulatory decline phase; CI = confidence interval; ITT = intent to treat. *Including only patients in the ADP subgroup matching ACT-DMD entry criteria. Reproduced with permission from McDonald et al., 2017.30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Decline</th>
<th>Improvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6MWT</td>
<td>-100 to -6</td>
<td>-2 to 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 m walk/run</td>
<td>-10 to -4</td>
<td>3 to 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-stair ascent</td>
<td>-6 to -2</td>
<td>2 to 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-stair descent</td>
<td>-8 to -4</td>
<td>4 to 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6MWT = 6-minute walk test; ACT-DMD = the phase III ataluren confirmatory trial for Duchenne muscular dystrophy; ADP = ambulatory decline phase; CI = confidence interval; ITT = intent to treat. *Including only patients in the ADP subgroup matching ACT-DMD entry criteria. Reproduced with permission from McDonald et al., 2017.
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