Gamma Knife Radiosurgery for Trigeminal Neuralgia – A Review
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Abstract

Gamma knife radiosurgery (GKRS) evolved from the vision of Lars Leksell as a method of bloodless surgery for treating a wide variety of intracranial pathologies. Since the first GKRS procedure for trigeminal neuralgia (TN) in the early 1970s, thousands of medically refractory patients have been treated, with good results. GKRS has become a first-line treatment for medically refractory TN along with microvascular decompression and percutaneous rhizotomy. GKRS offers the advantages of minimal invasiveness and extremely low risk. When recommending a surgical treatment modality for medically refractory TN, one must consider patient preferences, procedural risks, medical co-morbidities and the success rates of the various approaches. In this context, we review the role of GKRS in the treatment of medically refractory TN.
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What is Trigeminal Neuralgia?

Trigeminal neuralgia (TN) is a vexing clinical problem for a number of reasons, not least of which is clearly defining its clinical spectrum. A commonly accepted definition of TN is that of a facial pain syndrome in which a patient experiences brief, episodic and sharp attacks of pain in the distribution of the trigeminal nerve. These painful attacks are typically unilateral but can rarely be bilateral. Painful attacks are often brought on by triggers including chewing or touching the affected dermatome. Atypical TN includes more lingering or non-episodic constant pain that does not have the typical tactile triggers. Moreover, atypical facial pain, often bilateral, can be present in the context of somatoform disorders in which no organic cause can be identified. Finally, one or more of these different types of pain can be present in the same patient at the same time. It is important to distinguish between these different types of facial pain because their aetiologies are probably different and thus their treatments as well.

Burchiel’s recent classification scheme, with minor modifications, links the nature of the trigeminal pain with possible mechanisms (see Table 1).1 In this scheme, what has been known as tic douloureux or typical (type 1) TN is characterised by primarily episodic painful attacks located in the distribution of the trigeminal nerve branches. When constant or lingering pain is the predominant feature but there are additional episodic features, the syndrome is called type 2 TN. These idiopathic forms are distinguished from the deafferentation pain syndromes caused by either unintentional (i.e. accidental trauma) or intentional (purposeful surgical injury to the nerve) damage to the trigeminal nerve. Facial pain following a herpes zoster outbreak falls into this condition as well. These latter conditions are associated with demonstrable trigeminal sensory loss. Finally, somatoform facial pain is labeled atypical facial pain, and is characterised by its bilaterality, as well as presence of pain well outside of the innervation of the trigeminal nerve. Unless otherwise specified, this article is focused on the paroxysmal trigeminal pain syndromes including types 1 and 2 TN as well as TN caused by multiple sclerosis (MS). None of the therapies covered in this article has meaningful benefit for constant or deafferentation facial pain.

Although TN has an annual incidence of 27 per 100,000,2 the mechanism underlying its episodic facial pain remains incompletely understood. In many patients, it is related to vascular compression of the trigeminal nerve by branches of the superior cerebellar artery3 or, less commonly, adjacent veins. How this mediates pain transmission is not clear, but it has been postulated that vascular compression results in local demyelination and axonopathy, which results in ephaptic transmission between the damaged heavily myelinated fibres and the smaller unmyelinated pain fibres.4 This finding has led to the use of microvascular decompression (MVD) surgery as a primary treatment for TN, one that attacks the aetiology in a significant number of patients. Patients with MS suffer from TN at a rate several-fold higher than the general population (2 %).5 Demyelination along the trigeminal system due to the underlying autoimmune phenomenon is implicated, but whether ephaptic transmission or hyperexcitability of the trigeminal nucleus actually causes the episodic pain remains unproven.6 Because TN in MS does not feature vascular compression as its cause, it requires a different treatment approach. Finally, deafferentation syndromes of the trigeminal nerve are the result of nerve injury, not simply demyelination and are analogous to phantom limb pain. The aetiologies of typical tic douloureux include vascular cross-compression as well as demyelinating events in the context of MS. The various aetiologies require different treatment options. Depending on the pain syndrome, various treatment strategies may work well for some, but not all patients.
Treatment Options for Trigeminal Neuralgia

The first-line management for TN is medical therapy. Typical initial oral agents include carbamazepine, oxcarbazepine, gabapentin, phenytoin and baclofen, used alone or in combination. The effectiveness of medications typically wanes over time despite increasing doses, with many patients not able to tolerate side effects.\(^2\) Eventually, as many as 50 % of TN patients can be labelled as medically refractory and require alternative management for pain relief.\(^3\) Surgical treatment options for TN fit on a spectrum of invasiveness and risk from MVD (most invasive, highest risk) to gamma knife radiosurgery (GKRS) (least invasive, lowest risk). Treatment of these medically refractory patients needs to be tailored with consideration given to patient age, medical co-morbidities, severity of symptoms, and personal preference.

The goal of surgical management of TN is to achieve pain control and yet minimise risks. Surgeons must balance surgical risks, preservation of trigeminal sensation, and hopefully eliminate the need for continued medication use. The first successful open surgical therapy for TN involved sectioning the trigeminal nerve at one of a number of locations, the most popular and successful approach being the subtemporal approach for retrogasserian neuroectomy.\(^10\) Walter Dandy is first credited with suggesting that vascular compression of the trigeminal nerve was the aetiology of TN.\(^11\) However, it was Peter Jannetta, with the use of the operating microscope, who demonstrated the utility of vascular decompression.\(^1\) Although not initially meeting with widespread acceptance, the long-term success of MVD has led to it becoming the treatment of choice if a craniotomy is feasible. In a large surgical series, 80 % of patients experienced complete pain relief immediately after MVD, with nearly another 10 % having partial relief.\(^4\) These results have proved durable: at one year, 75 % of patients report excellent results (defined as 98 % decrease in pain and off medications) with another 9 % reporting a good outcome (a 75 % decrease in pain and on low-dose medication). At 10 years, 64 % continued to have excellent outcomes, while 4 % had good outcomes. However, the major complication rate following MVD was around 8 %, including permanent neurological deficit, cerebrosensory fluid leak, bacterial meningitis and death. Thus, although MVD is a very effective therapy with a high success rate, it is associated with a number of risks, including some that can be severe.

For patients who are unable or unwilling to tolerate the risks associated with MVD, several different percutaneous rhizotomy procedures are available. The first percutaneous therapy directed at the gasserian ganglion is credited to Harris in 1910.\(^2\) These first procedures involved the injection of alcohol into the ganglion and were successful in producing pain relief but at the expense of often profound anaesthesia of the face and resultant deafferentation pain in some patients. Recurrences of TN were treated by additional injections when necessary.\(^3\) Unfortunately, this early technique was associated with other potential morbidity, including spread of the alcohol within the cerebrospinal fluid and resultant damage to other cranial nerves. This type of procedure evolved further when Hakanson inadvertently discovered that injection of glycerol, a much weaker neurolytic alcohol, produced symptomatic relief of TN.\(^4\) Specifically, glycerol is confined to the trigeminal cistern by actually measuring the cistern volume using non-ionic contrast media to perform cisternography.

Other techniques that use a transfacial percutaneous approach to the trigeminal cistern include radiofrequency rhizotomy and balloon microcompression. In our experience at the University of Pittsburgh, 1,174 patients underwent percutaneous retrogasserian glycerol rhizotomy. Ninety per cent of patients achieved early complete pain relief.\(^5\) With longer-term follow-up out to 11 years, persistent pain control was achieved in 77 % of patients; 55 % were able to eliminate medication and 22 % had pain control but still required some medicine.\(^6\) Kanpolat et al. treated 1,600 TN patients with radiofrequency rhizotomy.\(^7\) After five years, 58 % were pain-free and 42 % had recurred pain. At 20-year follow-up, 41 % of patients remained pain-free. The advantage of the percutaneous techniques is that they possess intrinsically less surgical risk, offer immediate or near-immediate pain relief and are repeatable. However, there are patients who are not candidates, including those with medical conditions requiring long-term anticoagulation or antiplatelet agents. Percutaneous radiofrequency rhizotomy is also associated with the risk of a diminished corneal reflex, masseter weakness, anaesthesia dolorosa, aseptic meningitis and herpes simplex reactivation.

Gamma Knife Radiosurgery for Trigeminal Neuralgia

Since the development of the gamma knife in the 1960s by Leksell and Larsson, the technology has evolved tremendously. All gamma knife instruments are based on the same fundamental principle: closed-cranial irradiation of intracranial targets using multiple photon beams after localisation of the target(s) in stereotactic space.\(^8\) Each unit possesses either 192 or 201 radioactive cobalt-60 sources that are spherically arrayed via collimator helmets to focus their beams to a centre point. The procedure begins with application of a stereotactic frame to the head. Treatment planning images are then obtained, typically by magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or, if contraindicated, computed tomography (CT). Finally, the actual treatment consists of the patient lying on a couch with his or her head in the stereotactic frame rigidly attached to the instrument. The patient is then placed in the focus of the chosen beams which converge on the target.

GKRS was first used by Leksell to treat TN in 1971.\(^1\) Since this initial experience, GKRS has been used to treat TN in thousands of patients worldwide. Unlike other TN treatments, GKRS is effectively non-invasive. It is similar to the percutaneous techniques in that its mechanism treats the trigeminal nerve through lesioning and bypasses the cause (i.e. vascular compression). Interestingly, although GKRS is very effective at eliminating the paroxysmal pain of TN, the risk of facial anaesthesia or dysaesthesias is low. It was originally suggested that the mechanism
Pain

Table 2: The Barrow Neurological Institute Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>No trigeminal neuralgia pain, requires no medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Occasional pain, requires no medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIa</td>
<td>No pain, requires continued medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIb</td>
<td>Some pain, controlled adequately with medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Pain improved, but not adequately controlled with medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Continued severe pain without relief</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Axial Magnetic Resonance Imaging Images (Left T1 and Right T2) Showing the Radiosurgical Target at the Right Trigeminal Nerve in a Patient with Trigeminal Neuralgia

of action was a selective effect of radiation on certain populations of axons. Following irradiation of the trigeminal nerve by GKRS, MRI shows evidence of contrast enhancement at the radiosurgical target site.\(^{20}\) Histological evaluation of the trigeminal nerve in primates following GKRS showed axonal degeneration and mild oedema, with both large and small myelinated and unmyelinated axons seeing effects.\(^{20}\) After a high radiation dose (100 Gy), nerve necrosis was identified. Thus these data demonstrated the effect of GKRS is non-selective.

Our experience at the University of Pittsburgh treating TN with GKRS has spanned nearly three decades. In our recent report by Kondziolka et al. we reviewed our 25-year experience in over 500 medically refractory patients with idiopathic TN.\(^{21}\) The median age of this cohort was 72 and nearly half had recurrent pain after previous procedures. Although the gasserian ganglion had previously been the radiosurgical target, the most common target at present is the cisternal portion of the trigeminal nerve. Specifically, the nerve was targeted 3–8 mm distal to its exit site from the pons. In the majority of patients, a single 4 mm isocentre was targeted to the nerve and a dose of 80–85 Gy was administered (see Figure 1). In order to compare results across studies, many investigators have adopted the Barrow Neurological Institute (BNI) score as a standardised vehicle to indicate the success of a radiosurgical treatment (see Table 2).\(^{22}\) Following GKRS, 89% of patients initially responded to treatment (BNI scores I–IIb) with a median latency of one month. Only 11% failed to achieve a good result (BNI scores IV and V). Forty percent of the responding patients achieved complete pain relief (BNI score I). Results were durable in most patients but tended to decline as follow-up lengthened. The probabilities of maintaining adequate pain relief (BNI scores I–IIb) at one, two, five and 10 years were 80, 71, 46, and 30%, respectively. Pain recurred in 193 patients (38%) after a median of about two years, but only 147 (29%) required additional surgical treatment for better pain control. We observed no early complications related to GKRS. Around 10% of patients eventually developed increased facial sensory dysfunction in the form of paraesthesias or sensory loss. Interestingly, patients who developed worsened facial sensory dysfunction had a significantly lower rate of pain recurrence (19% of these patients recurred). Only one patient developed deafferentation pain (anaesthesia dolorosa, 0.2%) and this patient had pre-existing sensory loss after an MVD done prior to GKRS. Overall, most patients experienced good pain relief and had very low associated morbidity. Similar results have been reported in other large series.\(^{23–25}\)

Several avenues of investigation have been taken in an attempt to improve upon results with GKRS. Pollock et al. sought to improve patient pain relief through dose escalation.\(^{26}\) In this study, patients receiving 70 Gy were compared with those receiving 90 Gy. With the higher dose there was a significant reduction in treatment failure, with a trend toward improved pain control. However, 90 Gy resulted in a significant increase in permanent sensory dysfunction (64 versus 15%) with 32% of patients developing bothersome dysaesthesias. The conclusion of this study was that dose prescription should be kept below 90 Gy. Flickinger et al. performed a prospective double-blind, randomised trial comparing short- and long-segment GKRS for TN.\(^{27}\) Patients were treated with either one or two 4 mm isocentres to the cisternal segment of the trigeminal nerve. Although pain relief rates were similar between the two groups, there was increased facial numbness and bothersome paraesthesias in the two-isocenter group. Thus single isocentre treatments are favoured. Other groups have evaluated whether proximal or distal target sites improve outcome or change complication rates.\(^{26–28}\) Matsuda et al. performed the only comparison, although retrospective in nature, between anterior and posterior targeting of the trigeminal nerve.\(^{29}\) They observed that with posterior targeting of the nerve patients had improved early pain relief and a lower rate of complications. However, other groups advocate for anterior cisternal targeting because of its improved pain relief and low complication rate.\(^{26–29}\) Thus, this question remains unanswered. It is our routine to target the nerve 3–8 mm anterior to the junction of the nerve with the pons such that the brainstem surface is irradiated at the 20% isodose line or less.\(^{30}\) The target is based on the variable distance between the pons and the cavernous sinus with the goal of minimising the dose to the lateral pons.

Which Patients Should Receive Which Therapy?

Choosing which patients should undergo GKRS for TN requires consideration of a number of factors including the suspected pain aetiology, patient age, surgical fitness, previous treatments, severity of pain, patient preference and understanding of each technique’s success and complication rates. Ten years after initial MVD for medically refractory TN, 64% of patients continue to have nearly complete relief of pain without the need for medication.\(^{31}\) This is twice the rate of durable pain relief for GKRS after 10 years.\(^{32}\) Cohort analyses comparing the efficacy of MVD versus GKRS have shown that MVD is superior to GKRS in achieving long-lasting pain relief.\(^{23–25}\) Rates of sensory dysfunction were comparable, but patients undergoing GKRS were not exposed to any of the surgical morbidities. Another important difference lies in the rapidity with which relief takes effect. Following MVD, most patients have immediate relief (i.e. upon waking from anaesthesia),\(^{33}\) while the median time to pain relief following GKRS is approximately one month.\(^{34}\)

MVD has also proven more effective in achieving complete and long-lasting pain relief when compared with percutaneous
lesioning techniques. Tromien et al. retrospectively compared MVD with percutaneous radiofrequency lesioning. They found that with radiofrequency lesioning there was a 50 % risk of pain recurrence after two years, whereas 64 % of patients undergoing MVD were still pain-free after 20 years. A meta-analysis of 28 studies confirmed that MVD was superior to radiofrequency lesioning. Taken together with the accepted vascular compression mechanism of TN, these results suggest that, for patients who are surgically fit and willing to accept the risks of surgery, MVD is the best approach to achieve long-lasting pain relief.

Henson et al. compared their results with glycerol rhizotomy and GKRS. They observed that both procedures provided similar early pain relief rates (86 versus 92 %, respectively, for glycerol rhizotomy and GKRS), but that glycerol rhizotomy provided more rapid pain relief (<24 hours). However, glycerol rhizotomy failed significantly more frequently in the long term and was associated with more facial sensory disturbance. Percutaneous radiofrequency lesioning has similar rates of pain control (58 % pain-free after five years) but, like glycerol rhizotomy, possesses an increased risk of facial sensory dysfunction and dysesthesias.

Overall, percutaneous rhizotomy approaches achieve a more rapid onset of pain relief (i.e. immediate or within days), whereas GKRS takes around a month to take effect. In addition, although GKRS appears have a lower rate of long-term pain relief versus percutaneous approaches (i.e. 29 versus 52 %, respectively, long-term pain-free rate for GKRS and radiofrequency rhizotomy), GKRS has a greatly reduced risk of facial sensory dysfunction (i.e. 30 versus 54 %, respectively, for GKRS versus glycerol rhizotomy). We recommend the use of percutaneous procedures in patients not medically fit for MVD and for whom pain is so severe that the latency period of GKRS is unacceptable.

The mechanism of TN in MS is felt to be related to autoimmune-mediated demyelination at points along the trigeminal system. Although there may be evidence of vascular compression on imaging or at the time of posterior fossa exploration, the results of MVD for MS-related TN have been disappointing. Percutaneous techniques have been used for TN in MS patients for many years, but results are not as good as those in non-MS patients. As MS patients often have co-morbidities that preclude open surgical intervention, GKRS is an ideal, minimally invasive approach. In our series, 97 % of patients achieved BNI score I–IIIb pain control following GKRS for MS-related TN. Sixty-two per cent of patients achieved complete pain control off medications (BNI score I). BNI score–IIIb pain control was maintained at rates of 82, 74, and 54 % after one, three and five years, respectively. Pain recurred in 38 % of patients after a median of 75 months. Only two patients in our series developed facial sensory dysfunction (5 %) and none developed anaesthesia dolorosa. These results compare favourably with our experience in treating idiopathic forms of TN (see Table 3).

GKRS also has a role in the treatment of TN caused by VBE. In this study of 20 patients, only 30 % achieved BNI score I pain control, while 75 % achieved BNI scores I–IIIb pain control. Sixty per cent had pain recurrence, while 10 % developed facial sensory dysfunction. Long-term pain control (BNI scores I–IIIb) rates were 53, 20, and 10 % at one, three and five years, respectively. These results are not as good as those for patients without VBE but, due to the minimal rate of complications, GKRS should be considered as a reasonable option for these patients.

TN often recurs regardless of treatment approach. At least one-quarter of patients have pain recurrence after an initial MVD. We have observed a recurrence rate of one-quarter to one-third in patients treated by glycerol rhizotomy and approximately 40 % in patients treated by GKRS. Thus, patients often require multimodality therapy and repeat procedures, even repeat GKRS. Park et al. evaluated the validity of a

<p>| Table 3: Treatment Outcomes After Gamma Knife Radiosurgery for Idiopathic and Multiple Sclerosis-related Trigeminal Neuralgia at the University of Pittsburgh |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Idiopathic Trigeminal Neuralgia</th>
<th>MS-related Trigeminal Neuralgia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete pain relief (BNI score I)</td>
<td>40 %</td>
<td>62 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNI scores I–IIIb relief</td>
<td>89 %</td>
<td>97 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to pain relief (median)</td>
<td>One month</td>
<td>10 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNI scores I–IIIb relief at:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One year</td>
<td>80 %</td>
<td>83 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three years</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>74 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five years</td>
<td>46 %</td>
<td>54 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain recurrent rate</td>
<td>38 %</td>
<td>38 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to recurrence (median)</td>
<td>48 months</td>
<td>75 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial sensory dysfunction</td>
<td>11 %</td>
<td>5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaesthesia dolorosa</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BNI = Barrow Neurological Institute; MS = multiple sclerosis; NR = not reported.

Figure 2: Flow Diagram Depicting a Suggested Approach to the Management of Medically Refractory Type 1 Trigeminal Neuralgia
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    - Repeat MVD
    - Severe pain (i.e. cannot eat)
    - Cannot tolerate/refuses MVD

GKRS = gamma knife radiosurgery; MVD = microvascular decompression; TN = trigeminal neuralgia.
repeat GKRS strategy after previous failed GKRS treatment for TN in 119 patients.1 In this cohort, 54 % of patients had undergone previous surgical procedures in addition to their first GKRS procedure. Following repeat GKRS, 32 % achieved complete pain relief (BNI score I) and 87 % achieved BNI scores I-IIb pain control after a median latency of 1.5 months. Although 34 % of patients had pain recurrence, long-term pain control (BNI scores I-IIb) was achieved in 88, 70, and 44 % of patients after one, three, or five years, respectively. Twenty-one per cent of patients developed new or worsened facial sensory dysfunction, a rate twice the original procedure. Patients who had good relief from the initial GKRS procedure and those with some degree of facial sensory dysfunction had improved outcomes after repeat GKRS. These results suggest that repeat GKRS is a useful treatment modality, but is associated with an increased risk of facial sensory dysfunction.

Conclusions

TN is a debilitating condition for its sufferers and its management is, at times, not straightforward. Many patients will fail medical management and request some sort of surgical intervention. The vascular compression theory is well accepted and MVD has proven the most efficacious and longest lasting of the surgical options, but it is the most invasive and involves the greatest intrinsic risks. When patients are willing to accept these risks and can tolerate surgery, we recommend MVD. Percutaneous procedures involve less risk than open posterior fossa surgery and they offer relief in a similar immediate fashion, but are less efficacious and can result in a meaningful degree of facial sensory dysfunction. We offer percutaneous glycerol rhizotomy to patients with severe pain, where the latency of GKRS is unacceptable. GKRS is a first-line treatment for TN in patients unwilling or unable to tolerate open procedures or when open procedures have no role (i.e. MVD for MS-related TN). It offers patients an opportunity for meaningful long-lasting pain relief with virtually none of the risks of open surgery and it can be repeated with similar effectiveness. Figure 2 depicts our typical treatment algorithm for TN. Low-risk pain relief and preservation of trigeminal sensation are both appropriate goals for the management of TN.